

2018 April

connect to the world of dairy

# Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules for Dairy Products



### Prepared by the **Technical Secretariat**:

The European Dairy Association (EDA), ACTALIA, the Alliance for Beverage Cartons and the Environment (ACE), the French Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME), BEL group, French Commissariat Général au Développement Durable (CGDD), Constantia Flexibles, Coopérative Laitière de la Sèvre (CLS), Centre National Interprofessionnel de l'Economie Laitière & Association de la Transformation Laitière Française (CNIEL/ATLA), Danone, DMK GROUP, the European Container Glass Federation (FEVE), Fonterra, FrieslandCampina, the International Dairy Federation (IDF), the Institut français de l'élevage (IDELE), REWE Group, and Quantis

Version number: Version 1.0 (accepted by the EF steering committee on 19 April 2018) Date of publication: 25 April 2018 Time validity: 31 December 2020

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



connect to the world of dairy



PROJECT INFORMATION	
Project Title	Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCR) for Dairy Products
Contracting organisation	The European Dairy Association (EDA)
Liability Statement	Information contained in this report has been compiled from and/or computed from sources believed to be credible. Application of the data is strictly at the discretion and the responsibility of the reader. Quantis is not liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of the information in this document.
Quantis Project team	Xavier Bengoa, Project manager (xavier.bengoa@quantis-intl.com) Carole Dubois, Business Leader (carole.dubois@quantis-intl.com) Sebastien Humbert, Expert, quality control (sebastien.humbert@quantis-intl.com)
Commissioner	Hélène Simonin (hsimonin@euromilk.org) European Dairy Association (EDA) 22-28 avenue d'Auderghem 1040 Brussels – Belgium
Associated files	See full list of Annexes

This report has been prepared by

**Quantis Switzerland,** EPFL Innovation Park, Bât. D, 1015 Lausanne - Switzerland Tel: +41 21 353 59 10, E-mail: <u>info@guantis-intl.com</u>, Web: <u>www.guantis-intl.com</u>

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy



### **Executive Summary**

Through its initiative, "Building the Single Market for Green Products", the European Commission (EC) aims to harmonise the communication of environmental performances

of products and organisations for producers and consumers alike. Member States and the private sector are encouraged to test a life cycle assessment (LCA)-based method developed by the European Commission's Joint Research Centre (JRC) to measure the environmental performance of products throughout their life cycles, known as the Product Environmental Footprint (PEF).

The EC launched a four-year pilot testing period for both the non-food and food sectors through a multistakeholder process to develop product-specific rules, Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCR), as well as to test communication principles for a few specific sectors and products.

In May 2014, the EC approved the pilot to develop Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCR) for the dairy sector. The Technical Secretariat (TS) responsible for developing the PEFCR is composed of the following organisations: The European Dairy Association (EDA), ACTALIA, the Alliance for Beverage Cartons and the Environment (ACE), the French Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME), BEL group, the French Commissariat Général au Développement Durable (CGDD), Constantia Flexibles, Coopérative Laitière de la Sèvre (CLS), Centre National Interprofessionnel de l'Economie Laitière & Association de la Transformation Laitière Française (CNIEL/ATLA), Danone, DMK GROUP, the European Container Glass Federation (FEVE), Fonterra, FrieslandCampina, the International Dairy Federation (IDF), the Institut français de l'élevage (IDELE), REWE Group, and Quantis.

This PEFCR covers the full life cycle (cradle to grave) for dairy products sold on the European + EFTA market. The following sub-categories are considered: liquid milk, dried whey products, cheeses, fermented milk products, and butterfat products. A PEF screening study was conducted for each of these sub-categories, identifying hotspots and relevant impact categories. Six supporting studies were then conducted by different TS members as to test the applicability of the PEFCR on real products. The PEFCR provides detailed guidance related to the use of primary and secondary data, data quality requirements,

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

allocation rules, impact categories that shall be addressed and further environmental information to be provided when assessing the PEF of dairy products. Although all dairy products could not be included in this pilot project, the TS advices that the future development of PEFCRs for other dairy products complies with the content of this PEFCR. Moreover, this PEFCR may be used as a guidance document for PEF studies of dairy products not covered by this PEFCR. However, in that case, compliance cannot be claimed.

The PEFCR shall enable comparative assessment of different products from the same sub-category. It is not meant for comparing dairy products from different subcategories or comparing dairy and non-dairy products. The use of the present PEFCR is optional for in-house applications, it is recommended for external applications without comparison or comparative assertions, while it is mandatory for external applications with comparisons or comparative assertions.

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary			
Li	List of figures		
Li	List of tables 10		
Α	cronyr	ns	
D	efinitic	ns16	
1	In	troduction	
2	Ge	eneral information about the PEFCR	
	2.1	Technical Secretariat	
	2.2	Consultation and stakeholders 23	
	2.3	Review panel and review requirements25	
	2.4	Review statement	
	2.5	Geographic validity	
	2.6	Language of PEFCR	
	2.7	Conformance to other documents	
3	Р	EFCR scope	
	3.1	Product classification	
	3.2	Representative products	
	3.3	Functional unit and reference flow	
	3.4	System boundary	
	3.4.1	Life cycle stages	
	3.4.2	Foreground and background systems 40	

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



### connect to the world of dairy

	3.4.3	Overview of inputs and outputs for the LC stage "raw milk supply"
	3.4.4	Overview of inputs and outputs for the LC stage "dairy processing"
	3.4.5	Exclusions and cut-off
	3.5	PEF impact assessment
	3.6	Limitations
4	M	ost relevant impact categories, life cycle stages and processes
5	Lif	e cycle inventory
	5.1	List of mandatory company-specific data 60
	5.1.1	Dairy processing
	5.1.2	Non-dairy ingredients
	5.1.3	Packaging
	5.2	List of processes expected to be run by the company
	5.2.1	Raw milk production
	5.2.2	Transport from dairy farm to processing unit76
	5.2.3	Packaging
	5.3	Data gaps
	5.4	Data quality requirements
	5.4.1	Company-specific datasets
	5.5	Data needs matrix (DNM)
	5.5.1	Processes in situation 1
	5.5.2	Processes in situation 2
	5.5.3	Processes in situation 3

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



### connect to the world of dairy

	5.6	Which datasets to use?	87
	5.7	How to calculate the average DQR of the study	88
	5.8	Allocation rules	88
	5.8.1	Multi-functionality decision hierarchy	88
	5.8.2	Multi-functionality at the dairy farm	89
	5.8.3	Multi-product processes at dairy processing	91
	5.8.4	Transportation of raw milk and distribution of packaged dairy products	94
	5.8.5	Transportation from retail to consumer home	94
	5.9	Electricity modelling	94
	5.10	Climate change modelling	98
	5.11	Modelling of wastes and recycled content	101
6	Life	e cycle stages	104
	6.1	Raw milk supply	104
	6.1.1	Raw milk production	104
	6.1.2	Raw milk transport from dairy farm to processing unit	105
	6.2	Dairy processing and non-dairy ingredients supply	105
	6.2.1	Liquid milk	105
	6.2.2	Dried whey products	106
	6.2.3	Cheeses	107
	6.2.4	Fermented milk products	107
	6.2.5	Butterfat products	108
	626	Consumphilos	100

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain/



### connect to the world of dairy

	6.2.7	Wastewater treatment	109
	6.2.8	Transport of non-dairy ingredients to the dairy unit	110
	6.3	Packaging	111
	6.4	Distribution	115
	6.5	Use stage	117
	6.6	End of life	118
7	PEI	F results	121
	7.1	Benchmark values	121
	7.1.1	Characterised benchmark values	122
	7.1.2	Normalised benchmark values	127
	7.1.3	Weighted benchmark values	132
	7.2	PEF profile	137
	7.3	Additional technical information	137
	7.4	Additional environmental information	137
	7.4.1	Impact on biodiversity	137
8	Ve	rification	140
9	Re	ferences	142
A	NNEX 1	<ul> <li>List of EF normalisation and weighting factors</li> </ul>	145
A	NNEX 2	– Check-list for the PEF study	148
A	NNEX 3	– Critical review report of the PEFCR	150
A	NNEX 4	– Benchmarks definition and parameters	151
A	NNEX 5	– Default dry matter content of dairy products	156

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain/



### connect to the world of dairy

ANNEX 6 – Life cycle inventory	161
ANNEX 7 – Existing sectorial guidance documents	162
ANNEX 8 – Additional characterised results for fermented milk products	163

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

## List of figures

32
37
41
43
44
45
46
47
48

## List of tables

Table 1: Members of the Technical Secretariat	22
Table 2: Consultations and stakeholders	24
Table 3: PEFCR review panel	25
Table 4: Sub-categories of dairy products	30
Table 5: CPA codes covered by the PEFCR	33
Table 6: Representative products for each sub-category	35
Table 7: Key aspects of the FU	35
Table 8: Default functional unit and reference flow for each sub-category	38
Table 9: Life cycle stages and included activities	39
Table 10: List of the impact categories to be used to calculate the PEF profile	50
Table 11: Most relevant life cycle stages for each product sub-category	55
Table 12: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Liquid milk"	55
Table 13: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Dried whey products"	56

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

Table 14: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Cheeses"       57
Table 15: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Fermented milk products"
Table 16: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Butterfat products"       59
Table 17 Data collection requirements for an example mandatory process
Table 18: Foreground specific activity data required for dairy processing
Table 19: DQR guidance for dairy processing    62
Table 20: Included and excluded inputs on the dairy farm <sup>1</sup> 65
Table 21: Included and excluded on-farm resources use and emissions         65
Table 22: Allocation methods to be used for products produced on dairy farms         70
Table 23: Foreground specific activity data required for raw milk modelling
Table 24: DQR guidance for raw milk production    75
Table 25: Foreground specific activity data required for packaging
Table 26: DQR guidance for packaging    77
Table 27: How to assess the value of the DQR parameter for the processes for which company specific
values are used
values are used.81Table 28: Criteria for the data quality assessment.81Table 29: Data Needs Matrix (DNM)83Table 30: How to assign the values to parameters in the DQR formula when secondary datasets are used86Table 31: Default list of ingredients for 1 l of liquid milk, unpackaged105Table 32: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of dried whey products, unpackaged106Table 33: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of cheese, unpackaged.107Table 34: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of fermented milk products, unpackaged.108Table 35: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of butterfat products, unpackaged.108
values are used.81Table 28: Criteria for the data quality assessment.81Table 29: Data Needs Matrix (DNM)83Table 30: How to assign the values to parameters in the DQR formula when secondary datasets are used86Table 31: Default list of ingredients for 1 l of liquid milk, unpackaged105Table 32: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of dried whey products, unpackaged106Table 33: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of cheese, unpackaged107Table 34: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of fermented milk products, unpackaged108Table 35: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of butterfat products, unpackaged108Table 36: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of butterfat products, unpackaged108Table 36: Default data for consumables used in dairy processing109
values are used
<ul> <li>values are used</li></ul>

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairg



### connect to the world of dairy

Table 40: Default parameters for returnable glass bottles	114
Table 41: Default parameters for secondary and tertiary packaging, per kg of dairy product	114
Table 42: Parameters for dairy products storage in the distribution stage	116
Table 43: Energy and refrigerants consumption at the distribution centre and at retail	116
Table 44: Default parameters for dairy products storage at the consumer home	117
Table 45: Food loss and waste rates of dairy products	120
Table 46: Characterised benchmark values for liquid milk (1000 ml)	122
Table 47: Characterised benchmark values for dried whey products (1000 kg)	123
Table 48: Characterised benchmark values for cheeses (10 g dry matter)	124
Table 49: Characterised benchmark values for fermented milk products (125 g)	125
Table 50: Characterised benchmark values for butterfat products (50 g)	126
Table 51: Normalised benchmark values for liquid milk (1000 ml)	127
Table 52: Normalised benchmark values for dried whey products (1000 kg)	128
Table 53: Normalised benchmark values for cheeses (10 g dry matter)	129
Table 54: Normalised benchmark values for fermented milk products (125 g)	130
Table 55: Normalised benchmark values for butterfat products (50 g)	131
Table 56: Weighted benchmark values for liquid milk (1000 ml)	132
Table 57: Weighted benchmark values for dried whey products (1000 kg)	133
Table 58: Weighted benchmark values for cheeses (10 g dry matter)	134
Table 59: Weighted benchmark values for fermented milk products (125 g)	135
Table 60: Weighted benchmark values for butterfat products (50 g)	136
Table 61: Typical information to be provided on schemes related to biodiversity	139

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy



## Acronyms

ACE	Alliance for Beverage Cartons and the Environment
ADEME	Agence de l'environnement et de la maîtrise de l'énergie (French Environment and Energy Management Agency)
AFNOR	Association Française de Normalisation (French national organization for standardization)
ATLA	Association de la Transformation Laitière Française (French Dairy Processors Association)
B2B	Business to business
B2C	Business to consumer
BOM	Bill of Materials
CFF	Circular Footprint Formula
CGDD	Commissariat Général au Développement durable (French Ministry of Environment
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CLS	Coopérative Laitère de la Sèvre (Dairy Cooperative of the Sèvre)
CNIEL	Centre National Interprofessionnel de l'Economie Laitière (French Dairy Interbranch Organization)
CO2	Carbon dioxide
COD	Carbon organic demand
СРА	Classification of Products by Activity
СТИ	Comparative toxic units
DC	Distribution centre
DM	Dry matter
DMI	Dry matter intake
DMK	Deutsches Milchkontor
DNM	Data Needs Matrix
EC	European Commission
EDA	European Dairy Association
EF	Environmental Footprint
EFTA	European Free Trade Association
ELCD	European reference Life Cycle Database
EoL	End of life
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
FEVE	European Container Glass Federation
FPCM	Fat and protein corrected milk
FPE	Flexible Packaging Europe
FU	Functional unit

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

g	Gram
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GR	Geographical representativeness
GWP	Global warming potential
HDPE	High density polyethylene
IDELE	Institut Français de l'Elevage (French Livestock Institute)
IDF	International Dairy federation
ILCD	International Reference Life Cycle Data System
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
JRC	Joint Research Centre
kg	Kilogram
km	Kilometre
kWh	Kilowatt hour
L	litre
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LCDN	Life Cycle Data Network
LCI	Life Cycle Inventory
LCIA	Life Cycle Impact Assessment
LDPE	Low density polyethylene
LEAP	Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance
LPB	Liquid packaging board
m	Metre
m <sup>2</sup>	Square metre
m <sup>3</sup>	Cubic metre
MJ	mega joules
ml	Millilitre
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitrous oxide
NACE	Nomenclature Générale des Activités Economiques dans les Communautés Européennes
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
NMVOC	Non-methane volatile compounds
NO <sub>x</sub>	Nitrogen oxides
OEF	Organisation Environmental Footprint
OEFSR	Organisation Environmental Footprint Sector Rule
Р	Precision
PCR	Product Category Rule
PE	Polyethylene
PEF	Product Environmental Footprint
PEFCR	Product Environmental Footprint Category Rule

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



connect to the world of dairy

PET	Polyethylene terephthalate
PM	Particulate matter
PP	Polypropylene
PSR	Pressure State Response
PWG	Packaging Working Group
PYR	The Environmental Register of Packaging PYR Ltd
RP	Representative product
SMGP	Single Market for Green Products
t	Tonne
ТАВ	Technical Advisory Board
TeR	Technological Representativeness
TiR	Time Representativeness
tkm	Tonne kilometre
TS	Technical Secretariat
TSC	The Sustainability Consortium
UUID	Universal Unique Identifier
WRAP	Waste and Resources Action Programme

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy



### Definitions

This glossary defines key terms used in this PEFCR. Some of the terms are based on the PEF Guide (European Commission 2013).

Activity data This term refers to information which is associated with processes while modelling Life Cycle Inventories (LCI). In the PEF Guide it is also called "nonelementary flows". The aggregated LCI results of the process chains that represent the activities of a process are each multiplied by the corresponding activity data and then combined to derive the environmental footprint associated with that process. Examples of activity data include quantity of kilowatt-hours of electricity used, quantity of fuel used, output of a process (e.g. waste), number of hours equipment is operated, distance travelled, floor area of a building, etc. In the context of PEF the amounts of ingredients from the bill of material (BOM) shall always be considered as activity data.

Benchmark A standard or point of reference against which any comparison can be made. In the context of PEF, the term 'benchmark' refers to the average environmental performance of the representative product sold in the EU market. A benchmark may eventually be used, if appropriate, in the context of communicating environmental performance of a product belonging to the same category.

Cradle to grave An assessment, including raw material extraction, processing, distribution, storage, use, and disposal or recycling stages. All relevant inputs and outputs are considered for all of the stages of the life cycle.

**Comparative assertion** An environmental claim regarding the superiority or equivalence of one product versus a competing product that performs the same function (adapted from ISO 14025:2006).

**Composite product** Food product containing a certain fraction of milk. Typical examples of composite products are milk-based desserts, butter cookies, infant formula, edible ice, pizza, etc.

**Dairy ingredient** Dairy part of a composite product.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

Dairy product	"Dairy product" or "Milk product" defines a product derived exclusively from milk, on the understanding that substances necessary for their manufacture may be added provided that those substances are not used for the purpose of replacing, in whole or in part, any milk constituent. (Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013).
Data Quality Rating (DQR)	Semi-quantitative assessment of the quality criteria of a dataset based on Technological representativeness, Geographical representativeness, Time- related representativeness, and Precision. The data quality shall be considered as the quality of the dataset as documented.
Final product	Final products qualify products sold from business to consumer (B2C), for which the PEFCR provides rules from cradle-to-grave.
Functional unit	The functional unit defines the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the function(s) and/or service(s) provided by the product being evaluated; the unit of analysis definition answers the questions "what?", "how much?", "how well?", and "for how long?"
Input flow	Product, material or energy flow that enters a unit process. Products and materials include raw materials, intermediate products and co-products (ISO 14040:2006).
Intermediate product	An intermediate product is a product that requires further processing before it is saleable to the final consumer.
Life cycle	Consecutive and interlinked stages of a product system, from raw material acquisition or generation from natural resources to final disposal. (ISO 14040:2006)
Life cycle approach	Takes into consideration the spectrum of resource flows and environmental interventions associated with a product or organisation from a supply chain perspective, including all stages from raw material acquisition through processing, distribution, use, and end-of-life processes, and all relevant related environmental impacts (instead of focusing on a single issue).
Life cycle assessment (LCA)	Compilation and evaluation of the inputs, outputs and the potential environmental impacts of a product system throughout its life cycle. (ISO 14040:2006)

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain/



#### connect to the world of dairy

Life cycle inventory A document or file with life cycle information of a specified product or other (LCI) dataset reference (e.g., site, process), covering descriptive metadata and quantitative life cycle inventory. A LCI dataset could be a unit process dataset, partially aggregated or an aggregated dataset. Milk "Milk", or "Raw milk", means exclusively the normal mammary secretion obtained from one or more milkings without either addition thereto or extraction therefrom. (Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013). Product, material or energy flow that leaves a unit process. Products and **Output flow** materials include raw materials, intermediate products, co-products and releases (ISO 14040:2006). The PEF study done on the basis of a draft PEFCR. It is used to confirm the **PEFCR Supporting** decisions taken in the draft PEFCR before the final PEFCR is released. study **PEF** screening A preliminary study carried out on the representative product(s) and intended to identify the most relevant life cycle stages, processes, elementary flows, impact categories and data quality needs to derive the preliminary indication about the definition of the benchmark for the product category/sub-categories in scope, and any other major requirement to be part of the final PEFCR. **Primary data** This term refers to data from specific processes within the supply-chain of the company applying the PEFCR. Such data may take the form of activity data, or foreground elementary flows (life cycle inventory). Primary data are site-specific, company-specific (if multiple sites for the same product) or supply-chain-specific. Primary data may be obtained through meter readings, purchase records, utility bills, engineering models, direct monitoring, material/product balances, stoichiometry, or other methods for obtaining data from specific processes in the value chain of the company applying the PEFCR. Primary data is synonym of "company-specific data" or "supply-chain specific data". Material that first envelops the product. For dairy products, primary **Primary packaging** packaging can consist either of a container (bottle, beverage carton, cup,

jar, pouch) and a closure (lid, cap), or of a wrapper.

Product Any goods or service (ISO 14040:2006)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

- Product category Group of products (or services) that can fulfil equivalent functions (ISO 14025:2006).
- Product Category<br/>Rules (PCR)Set of specific rules, requirements and guidelines for developing Type III<br/>environmental declarations for one or more product categories (ISO<br/>14025:2006).
- Product environmental<br/>footprint category<br/>rules (PEFCR)Product category-specific, life-cycle-based rules that complement general<br/>methodological guidance for PEF studies by providing further specification<br/>at the level of a specific product category. PEFCRs help to shift the focus of<br/>the PEF study towards those aspects and parameters that matter the most,<br/>and hence contribute to increased relevance, reproducibility and<br/>consistency of the results by reducing costs versus a study based on the<br/>comprehensive requirements of the PEF guide.
- Representative The "representative product" may or may not be a real product that one can buy on the EU market. Especially when the market is made up of different technologies, the "representative product" can be a virtual (non-existing) product built, for example, from the average EU sales-weighted characteristics of all technologies around. A PEFCR may include more than one representative product if appropriate.
- **Representative sample** A representative sample with respect to one or more variables is a sample in which the distribution of these variables is exactly the same (or similar) as in the population from which the sample is a subset.

Sample A sample is a subset containing the characteristics of a larger population. Samples are used in statistical testing when population sizes are too large for the test to include all possible members or observations. A sample should represent the whole population and not reflect bias toward a specific attribute.

Secondary data It refers to data not from specific process within the supply-chain of the company applying the PEFCR. This refers to data that is not directly collected, measured, or estimated by the company, but sourced from a third-party life-cycle-inventory database or other sources. Secondary data includes industry-average data (e.g., from published production data, government statistics, and industry associations), literature studies, engineering studies and patents, and can also be based on financial data, and contain proxy data, and other generic data. Primary data that go through a horizontal aggregation step are considered as secondary data.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

Secondary packaging	Package or containment of a primary package. Multipacks and labels are considered as secondary packaging.
System boundary	Definition of aspects included or excluded from the study. For example, for a "cradle-to-grave" EF analysis, the system boundary should include all activities from the extraction of raw materials through the processing, distribution, storage, use, and disposal or recycling stages.
System boundary diagram	Graphical representation of the system boundary defined for the PEF study.
Tertiary packaging	Packaging conceived so as to facilitate handling and transport of a number of sales units or grouped packaging in order to prevent physical handling and transport damage.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy



### **1** Introduction

The Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) Guide provides detailed and comprehensive technical guidance on how to conduct a PEF study. PEF studies may be used for a variety of purposes, including inhouse management and participation in voluntary or mandatory programmes.

For all requirements not specified in this PEFCR the applicant shall refer to the most recent version of the PEF Guide.

The compliance with the present PEFCR is optional for PEF in-house applications, whilst it is mandatory whenever the results of a PEF study or any of its content is intended to be communicated.

### Terminology: shall, should and may

This PEFCR uses precise terminology to indicate the requirements, the recommendations and options that could be chosen when a PEF study is conducted.

- The term "shall" is used to indicate what is required in order for a PEF study to be in conformance with this PEFCR.
- The term "should" is used to indicate a recommendation rather than a requirement. Any deviation from a "should" requirement has to be justified when developing the PEF study and made transparent.
- The term "may" is used to indicate an option that is permissible. Whenever options are available, the PEF study shall include adequate argumentation to justify the chosen option.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



## **2** General information about the PEFCR

### 2.1 Technical Secretariat

The Technical Secretariat (TS) responsible for the development of the PEFCR for the dairy sector is composed of the following organisations:



### Table 1: Members of the Technical Secretariat

Name of the organisation	Type of organisation	Name of the	Participation
	· · · · · ·	members	since
European Dairy Association (EDA)	Industry association	Helene Simonin,	01/04/2014
Alliance for Deverage Carten and the	Inductry accordiation		01/01/2015
Annance for Beverage Carton and the	industry association	Frank weitenreuther	01/01/2015
		<b>D</b> : <b>D</b>	04/04/2044
	Research Institute	Pierre Barrucand	01/04/2014
BEL Group	Industry	Noël Pallez,	01/04/2014
		Vanessa Azar	
French Environment and Energy	Governmental	Valérie To,	01/04/2014
Management Agency (CGDD)		Florence Scarsi	
Coopérative Laitière de la Sèvre (CLS)	SME	Pierre Barrucand	01/04/2014
Centre National Interprofessionnel de	Industry association	Hélène Perennou,	01/04/2014
l'Économie Laitière (CNIEL) &		Pierre Barrucand	
Association de la Transformation Laitière			
Française (ATLA)			
Constantia Flexibles	Industry	Thomas Greigeritsch	01/01/2015
Danone	Industry	Marie-Pierre	01/04/2014
		Bousquet, Nathalie	
		Guillaume, Philippe	
		Diercxsens	
DMK GROUP	Industry	Karla Stuehmeier,	01/04/2014
		Philipp Inderhees	
European Container Glass Federation	Industry association	Romeo Pavanello,	01/01/2015
(FEVE)		Fabrice Rivet	
Fonterra	Industry	Ross Abercrombie,	01/04/2014
	-	Francesca Eggleton,	
		Francis Reid	
FrieslandCampina	Industry	Jaap Petraeus,	01/04/2014
		Sanne Dekker,	
		Mia Lafontaine,	
		Jeroen Hospers	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

Name of the organisation	Type of organisation	Name of the members	Participation since
International Dairy Federation (IDF)	Industry association	Delanie Kellon, Nico van Belzen, María Sánchez	01/04/2014
Institut français de l'élevage (IDELE)	Research institute	Jean-Baptiste Dollé	01/04/2014
REWE Group	Industry	Félix Barth, Günther Kabbe	01/04/2014
Quantis	Consultant	Xavier Bengoa, Carole Dubois, Sébastien Humbert	01/04/2014

Six of these organisations (Bel Group, CLS, Danone, DMK GROUP, Fonterra and FrieslandCampina) performed a supporting study, testing the applicability of the PEFCR on real products.

### 2.2 Consultation and stakeholders

The procedure for the development of a PEFCR considers a number of steps that have been followed by this Technical Secretariat, namely:

- Definition of the PEF product category and scope of the PEFCR
- Definition of the product "model" based on representative product(s)
- PEF screening study
- Draft PEFCR
- PEF supporting studies
- Confirmation of the benchmark(s)
- Final PEFCR

A first online consultation with stakeholders took place in October-November 2014. It was followed by a physical consultation in Brussels on October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2014, where the definition of PEF product category, the scope of PEFCR and the definition of the representative product were presented and commented.

Following this first consultation, three additional organisations representing the packaging sector (i.e. ACE, Constantia Flexibles and FEVE) joined the Technical Secretariat. After completing the PEF screening study as required by the EC (including the critical review of the PEF screening report and model by the

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dainy



connect to the world of dairy

European Commission and by a third-party reviewer), a second draft PEFCR, was submitted for virtual consultation in June-July 2015. After completion of the supporting studies, an updated draft PEFCR was submitted for a third and last public consultation in the summer 2016.

	1 <sup>st</sup> consultation	2 <sup>nd</sup> consultation	3 <sup>rd</sup> consultation
Туре	Online and physical	Online	Online
Start	16.10.2014	26.06.2015	29.07.2016
End	09.11.2014	26.07.2015	09.09.2016
Duration	4 weeks	4 weeks	6 weeks
Number of participating stakeholders (online)	8	5	11
Number of participating stakeholders (physical)	13	Not applicable	Not applicable
Number of comments	77	140	185
Organisations that have provided comments	<ul> <li>Ambiente Italia</li> <li>Distretto Latte Lombardo</li> <li>ENEA</li> <li>Italian Ministry of Environment</li> <li>SOLTUB Ltd</li> <li>Technische Universität Berlin</li> <li>University of Milan</li> <li>University of Piacenza</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>DG Envionnement du SPF Santé publique, Sécurité de la Chaîne alimentaire et Environnement, Belgium</li> <li>EPD International</li> <li>FEFAC</li> <li>Royal Canin</li> <li>Technische Universität Berlin</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ENEA</li> <li>FEFAC</li> <li>IFOAM - Organics International</li> <li>Italian Ministry of Environment</li> <li>Maki Consulting</li> <li>Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke)</li> <li>SOLTUB Ltd</li> <li>Technische Universität Berlin</li> <li>U.S: Dairy Export Council</li> <li>U.S. National Milk Producers Federation</li> <li>WWF</li> </ul>

Table 2: Consultations and stakeholders

After each consultation, comments were analysed, and answers were published on the EF wiki space. When relevant, the PEFCR was adapted accordingly. All documents related to the work performed by the Technical Secretariat as well as the stakeholder consultation are available upon request to EDA.

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



connect to the world of dairy

### 2.3 Review panel and review requirements



The PEFCR was reviewed by a third-party panel through two rounds of review, the first in the course of October and November 2016 (on the draft PEFCR) and the second in February 2018 (on the final PEFCR).

Table 3: PEFCR review panel

	Chair	Expert #2	Expert #3	Expert #4
Name	Greg Thoma	Stewart Ledgard	Ying Wang	Sandra Vijn <sup>1</sup>
Affiliation	University of Arkansas	AgResearch	Dairy Management Inc.	WWF
Expertise/Role	LCA and dairy expert	LCA and dairy expert	LCA and dairy expert	NGO representative

<sup>1</sup> Reviewed the draft PEFCR (October 2016) but not the final PEFCR

The reviewers have verified that the following requirements have been fulfilled:

- The PEFCR has been developed in accordance with the requirement provided in the PEFCR Guidance version 6.3 and where appropriate in accordance with the requirements provided in the most recent approved version of the PEF Guide, and supports creation of credible and consistent PEF profiles,
- The functional unit, allocation and calculation rules are adequate for the product category under consideration,
- The selected LCIA indicators and additional environmental information are appropriate for the product category under consideration and the selection is done in accordance with the guidelines stated in the PEFCR Guidance version 6.3 and the most recent approved version of the PEF Guide,
- The benchmarks are correctly defined,
- Both LCA-based data and the additional environmental information prescribed by the PEFCR give a description of the significant environmental aspects associated with the product.

The following additional contributors are acknowledged for their work related to supporting or reviewing the PEFCR, the PEF screening study and various PEF supporting studies: Ugo Pretato (Studio Fieschi) and Marc-Andree Wolf (Maki Consulting). The review report is provided in Annex 3 of this PEFCR.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

### 2.4 Review statement

The review panel has evaluated this PEFCR and reports the following:

- This PEFCR has been developed in compliance with Version 6.3 of the PEFCR Guidance, and with the PEF Guide adopted by the Commission on 9th April 2013 and deviations are justified,
- The representative products reasonably describe typical products sold in Europe for the product group in scope of this PEFCR (according to Annex 4).
- PEF studies carried out in compliance with this PEFCR would reasonably lead to reproducible results and the information included therein may be used to make comparisons and comparative assertions for different products in the same sub-category under the prescribed conditions (see chapter on limitations).
- Functional unit, allocation and calculation rules are adequate for the product category under consideration.
- The benchmark and performance classes are correctly defined, or the lack of performance classes is appropriately justified,
- Both LCA-based data and the additional environmental information prescribed by the PEFCR give a description of the significant environmental aspects associated with the product.
- Selected LCIA indicators and additional environmental information are appropriate for the product category under consideration and the selection is done in accordance with the guidelines stated in this Guidance and the PEF Guide, Guidance for the implementation of the EU PEF during the EF pilot phase
- The panel was not able to evaluate the primary and secondary datasets used in the screening and the supporting studies for relevance, representativeness, and reliability due to the proprietary nature of the datasets used by the consultant preparing the benchmarks. However, the reported results are reasonably aligned with other published studies in the scientific literature for the average products included.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

### 2.5 Geographic validity

This PEFCR is valid for products in scope consumed in the European Union + EFTA. Each PEF study shall identify its geographical validity listing all the countries where the product object of the PEF study is consumed with the relative market share. In case the information on the market for the specific product object of the study is not available, Europe + EFTA shall be considered as the default market, with an equal market share for each country.

### 2.6 Language of PEFCR

The PEFCR is written in English. The original in English supersedes translated versions in case of conflicts.

### 2.7 Conformance to other documents

This PEFCR aims to provide means to evaluate the environmental impacts of dairy products sold in Europe, applying a harmonised approach, in order to have comparable results. This PEFCR has been prepared in conformance with the following documents (in prevailing order):

- PEFCR Guidance version 6.3 (EC-JRC 2017)
- Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) Guide; Annex II to the Recommendation 2013/179/EU,
   9 April 2013. Published in the official journal of the European Union Volume 56, 4 May 2013
- A common carbon footprint approach for Dairy. The IDF guide to standard life cycle assessment methodology for the dairy sector. (International Dairy Federation 2015).

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

While the already existing IDF Guide solely focuses on carbon footprint, this PEFCR covers a wide range of environmental indicators and aims to complement the French Guidance (AFNOR 2014) in a way that reflects the diversity of dairy products in the EU. These two reference documents are however not totally aligned with the PEFCR because they do not fully fulfil all mandatory requirements set by the EC (e.g. some stages are excluded from the product life cycle or default EF impact categories are not included). Nevertheless, these documents represent very useful sources of information and are used as references when relevant.

The Technical Secretariat identified several existing PCR, sectorial guidance documents and other useful publications on dairy products. The analysis of these documents was carried out in order to check the similarities and differences with the above-mentioned reference documents. The conclusions of this analysis were presented by the TS to the EF steering committee and were approved on the December 16, 2014. These documents, listed in Annex 7 "PEFCR-DairyProducts\_Version1\_Annex-7\_ExistingGuidanceDocuments.pdf", represent useful sources of information and are used as references when relevant.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy



### 3 PEFCR scope

The product category for this PEFCR is **dairy products**, which includes the following:

- Liquid milk
- Dried whey products
- Cheeses
- Fermented milk products
- Butterfat products

Other dairy products are not covered by this PEFCR (see section 3.1). This PEFCR could however be used for calculating - the whole or part of - the PEF of other dairy products. However, an EF study carried out for a product not in scope of this PEFCR cannot be declared in compliance with it. The following definitions<sup>1</sup> apply to the product category:

- Raw milk, or, as defined in EU regulation, "Milk means exclusively the normal mammary secretion obtained from one or more milkings without either addition thereto or extraction therefrom" (Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013)
- Dairy products, or, as defined in EU regulation, "Milk products means products derived exclusively from milk, on the understanding that substances necessary for their manufacture may be added provided that those substances are not used for the purpose of replacing, in whole or in part, any milk constituent." (Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013). Dairy products can include nondairy ingredients such as salt, sweeteners, fruit preparations, etc.
- Composite product means any food product containing a certain fraction of milk. Typical examples of composite products are milk-based desserts, butter cookies, infant formula, edible ice, pizza, etc.
- **Dairy ingredient** means the dairy part of a composite product.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy Q

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The definitions from the EU Regulation No 1308/2013 are adapted from the Codex Alimentarius (WHO/FAO, 2011). It should therefore be understood that the definitions used in the PEFCR also apply to a regulatory context wider than the EU.



### connect to the world of dairy

This PEFCR covers the dairy ingredients of composite products, when these dairy ingredients can be assimilated to dairy products that are explicitly included in the scope.

Specific non-dairy ingredients added to dairy products are included in this PEFCR and are a part of the product environmental footprint. However, this PEFCR does not provide detailed guidance on how to model the upstream production of these ingredients. Rules on how to include those ingredients in the PEF of dairy products (e.g. amounts) are provided in this PEFCR, together with some relevant secondary data that fulfils the required quality standard. Typical examples of non-dairy ingredients added to dairy products are fruit preparation in yoghurt or salt in cheese.

The PEFCR covers raw milk produced by cattle only, and its derived dairy products. The full life cycle (cradle to grave) for dairy products sold on the EU market are within the scope of this PEFCR.

The main function of dairy products is to provide nutritional and health benefits to humans or animals. Nutritional benefits found in dairy products include energy (calories), proteins, carbohydrates, fat, calcium, phosphorus and vitamins, among others. Several applications of dairy products are distinguished, corresponding to the product sub-categories shown in Table 4 where "F" stands for "final products" and "I" for "intermediate product".

Sub-category	Туре	Typical products
Liquid milk	F	Standardised milk (skimmed, semi-skimmed, whole milk)
Dried whey products	Ι	Whey powder, whey protein powder, lactose powder
Cheeses	F	Ripened cheese (soft and hard), unripened cheese (spoonable, spreadable, solid)
Fermented milk products	F	Spoonable yoghurt (set, stirred), fermented milk drinks (liquid yoghurt, kefir)
Butterfat products	F	Butter (salted, unsalted), spreadable dairy fats

### Table 4: Sub-categories of dairy products

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

These sub-categories were defined with the aim to make the complexity of the dairy sector understandable for all types of stakeholders: consumers, dairy producers, retailers, food processors and regulators. To ensure alignment with the PEF guidance, all dairy products could however not be included in the scope of this PEFCR. For those dairy products that are covered, the following reasoning was followed:

- From a consumer perspective, each sub-category corresponds to a different type of product with its own application (i.e. products from different sub-categories are not exchangeable)
- These sub-categories correspond to different sets of process stages
- A clear and synthetic process diagram can be made of each of these sub-categories

Most dairy products can either be final or intermediate products used as ingredients into composite products. In the PEFCR, only products from the sub-category "dried whey products" are considered as intermediate product. Others are considered as final products.

The PEFCR shall enable comparative assessment of different products from the same subcategory. The PEFCR shall not serve comparisons of products from different sub-categories, or with non-dairy products in general.

Dairy products manufacturing relies on a unique technology at every stage, both for the production of raw milk at the farm and for further processing. Therefore, option B *"The scope is wide, there is a single main function but different applications"*, as defined in the PEF Guidance for Implementation v5.2 (European Commission, 2016), was chosen for the purpose of this PEFCR (Figure 1 below).

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



Figure 1: Scope definition pattern chosen for the PEFCR on dairy products (option B)

For each of the sub-categories included in this PEFCR one screening study and at least one supporting study was conducted. One benchmark has been defined per sub-category.

Packaging is included in the scope of the PEFCR and is an integral part of the final dairy products (including dried whey products). Packaging is a multi-functional product: according to a report of the UNEP/SETAC Life Cycle Initiative, "the most important role of packaging is to protect and contain the product during distribution and storage. When designed intelligently, it can ensure product safety—particularly important for food and beverages—and minimize losses. In the food and beverage industry, packaging also serves to preserve the product and prevent spoilage, provide information, provide convenience and portion control, and market to the consumer<sup>2</sup>.

As it is recognized that the multi-functionality of packaging is not fully captured by the current LCA and PEF methodology<sup>3,4</sup>, the dairy PEFCR is not meant to support specific comparison or comparative assertion between packaging formats. Once this limitation is taken into account, the PEFCR can still be used to compare the global environmental performance of different dairy products. Efforts should be made to

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UNEP/SETAC Life Cycle Initiative, 2013: An Analysis of Life Cycle Assessment in Packaging for Food & Beverage Applications

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Technische Universität Berlin, Prof. Dr. Matthias Finkbeiner, 2016: High-Level-Analysis Of Gaps For Comparability Of Packaging Materials In The EU Product Environmental Footprint (PEF).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Outcomes of the EF TAB meeting, 31<sup>st</sup> May 2016



connect to the world of dairy

correctly estimate the functionality of the dairy product under study, especially regarding food waste. If this is not possible due to lack of data, the results regarding packaging should be interpreted with care.

### 3.1 Product classification

This section lists categories and codes from the Classification of Products by Activity (CPA) that are covered by this PEFCR. Terminology used here is from the CPA, which is not necessarily consistent with the terminology used in this PEFCR.

CPA code	Coverage
10.5 Dairy products	Partly covered (see below)
10.51 Dairy and cheese products	Partly covered (see below)
10.51.1 Processed liquid milk and cream	Liquid milk covered. Cream not covered
10.51.11 Processed liquid milk	Covered
10.51.12 Milk and cream >6% fat, not concentrated or	Not covered
sweetened	
10.51.2 Milk in solid forms	Not covered
10.51.3 Butter and dairy spreads	Covered
10.51.4 Cheese and curd	Cheese covered. Curd not covered.
10.51.5 Other dairy products	Partly covered (see below)
10.51.51 Milk and cream, concentrated or containing	Not covered
added sugar or other sweetening matter, other	
sweetening matter, other than in solid forms	
10.51.52 Yoghurt and other fermented or acidified milk	Covered, except acidified cream
or cream	
10.51.53 Casein	Not covered
10.51.54 Lactose and lactose syrup	Covered, dried
10.51.55 Whey	Covered, dried

### Table 5: CPA codes covered by the PEFCR

The following list (not exhaustive) of products are not covered by this PEFCR:

- Dried milks (powders and concentrate)
- Creams
- Sweetened or flavoured milk-based drinks
- Whey drinks
- Processed cheese

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

- Greek-style yoghurts
- Milk-based desserts
- Casein products
- Butteroils
- Composite products (only the dairy ingredients included in composite products are covered)
- Edible ice or ice cream (only milk, as an ingredient of edible ices, is covered, but ice cream is not)
- Infant formula (milk, as an ingredient of infant formula, is covered, but not infant formula)
- Milk and dairy products from other mammals than cattle (e.g. water buffalo, sheep or goat).

Despite that the above products are not officially within the scope of this PEFCR, the TS recommends that it is used as a starting point when calculating their PEF. The TS initially had the intention to include most of these in the scope but could not due to procedural constraints inherent to the pilot phase.

### 3.2 Representative products



Five different representative products are considered in this PEFCR (Table 6), one for each of the product sub-categories. All representative products are virtual products.

These representative products characterise what is potentially sold on the European market, not what is produced within the European Union. For products that are more largely exported from, or imported to the EU, this nuance may have significant effects on assumptions made transportation, storage, use and end-of-life.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

Sub-category		Representative product
Liquid milk	RP1	Liquid milk, standardised to specific fat content, and thermally treated, homogenised, unsweetened and unflavoured, packaged and conditioned.
Dried whey products	RP2	Whey, whey protein or lactose powder, standardised, with average lactose, protein and dry matter content, average packaging (partly packaged, partly bulk)
Cheeses	RP3	Average of unripened and ripened (soft, semi-hard, hard) cheese, standardised protein and fat, packaged and conditioned
Fermented milk products	RP4	Fermented milk, standardised, cultured, average of skimmed/plain, spoonable/liquid, plain/flavoured/fruited (strawberry), packaged and conditioned
Butterfat products	RP5	Average of butter, half-fat butter and dairy spreads, unsalted/salted, packaged and conditioned

### Table 6: Representative products for each sub-category

Detailed description of the representative products and underlying data is provided in Annex 4 as well as in the screening study report. The screening study is available upon request to the European Dairy Association (EDA) that has the responsibility of distributing it with an adequate disclaimer about its limitations.

### 3.3 Functional unit and reference flow

The functional unit (FU) is defined below. Table 7 defines the key aspects used to define the FU.

Product	Aspect detail	Dairy products PEFCR
What?	Function provided	To provide nutritional and health benefits (protein, calcium, vitamins, etc.) to humans
How much?	Magnitude of the function	Mass, volume, serving size or specific nutritional aspect (fat, calcium, protein, etc.) relevant to the study objectives
How well?	Expected level of quality	Fit for human consumption
How long?	Duration of the product provided	From milking to consumption: duration is related to the product conservation (i.e. up to the expiration date), which depends on multiple parameters such as type of processing, thermal treatment or packaging

### Table 7: Key aspects of the FU

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

The PEFCR provides rules for the assessment of PEF studies conducted by various stakeholders, with diverse scopes and multiple targeted audiences. The appropriate functional unit shall be chosen in relation to the scope of the PEF study and the factors driving the decision-making process (e.g. buying product A versus product B). The following rules shall be applied when defining the functional unit for dairy products:

- **By default**, the functional unit shall be per **mass** or per **volume**, depending on the reference used on the product packaging.
- When justified by the study objectives, additional functional units can be selected, such as the serving size (e.g. portion, consumption unit, unit sold) or nutritional value (e.g. energy content, protein content, fat content). In such cases, the decision tree in Figure 2 should be followed as to select the appropriate alternative functional unit, in PEF studies conducted in B2B and B2C contexts.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org


connect to the world of dairy



Figure 2: Decision tree to select appropriate functional unit in B2B and B2C contexts

The reference flow is the amount of product needed to fulfil the defined function and shall be measured with specific units. All quantitative input and output data collected in the study shall be calculated in relation to this reference flow. The default functional unit and associated reference flow for each sub-category is defined in Table 8.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

Sub-category	Functional unit	Reference flow
Liquid milk	Liquid milk, consumed at home as final product without heating, cooking or further transformation	1000 ml
Dried whey products	Dried whey product, at plant gate, for further processing into final products	1000 kg
Cheeses	Cheese, consumed at home as final product without cooking or further transformation	10 g dry matter equivalent
Fermented milk products	Fermented milk or yoghurt, consumed at home as final product without cooking or further transformation	125 g
Butterfat products	Butterfat product, consumed at home as final product without cooking or further transformation	50 g

#### Table 8: Default functional unit and reference flow for each sub-category

Packaging is accounted in the functional unit described above, as it is an integral part of the final dairy products (including dried whey products). Packaging provides different functions, of which the main are:

- To contain a certain amount of food or beverage; this function is accounted for by the "how much" parameter.
- To protect food or beverage quality (e.g., taste) and preserve it over time; these functions are partially accounted for by, respectively, the "how well" and "how long" parameters.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



connect to the world of dairy

### 3.4 System boundary

### 3.4.1 Life cycle stages

The entire life cycle, from cradle to grave, shall be assessed for the following sub-categories: liquid milk, cheeses, fermented milk products and butterfat products. The system includes seven life cycle stages: 1) "Raw milk", 2) "Dairy processing", 3) "Non-dairy ingredients supply", 4) "Packaging", 5) "Distribution", 6) "Use" and 7) "End-of-life" (Table 9). Dried whey products are considered as "intermediate products", and therefore only stages 1, 2, 3 and 4 shall be included.

Life cycle stage	Activities
1. Raw milk supply	Feed production (incl. pesticide and fertiliser inputs and emissions, energy, irrigation water, land transformation, feed processing, etc.)
	Milk production (incl. direct emissions at the farm)
	Milk collection and transport to dairy unit
2. Dairy processing	Dairy products processing (incl. energy use and wastewater treatment)
	Dairy ingredients processing (incl. energy use and wastewater treatment)
	Dairy ingredients transport to dairy processing unit
	Container filling or product packing
	On-site warehousing (storage)
3. Non-dairy	Production of non-dairy ingredients
ingredients supply	Non-dairy ingredients packaging manufacturing
	Non-dairy ingredients transport to dairy unit
4. Packaging	Raw materials production
	Packaging manufacturing (primary and secondary)
	Packaging transport to dairy unit
5. Distribution	Transport to the distribution centre
	Warehousing at distribution centre (storage, incl. refrigeration where relevant)
	Transport to point of sale
	Retailing at point of sale (storage, incl. refrigeration where relevant)
	Transport to final user
6. Use	Chilling operations in domestic refrigerator (at final user) and dishwashing
7. End-of-life	Household waste: packaging (and food) waste transport and treatment

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain



#### connect to the world of dairy

"Packaging" is considered a separate life cycle stage from other raw materials acquisition given that it gives distinctive set of properties to the final dairy product as it typically influences the duration of the product. Also, packaging type, size and shape are key components differentiating brands.

### 3.4.2 Foreground and background systems

Figure 3 illustrates the system boundaries for dairy products in the view of a traditional LCA. The foreground system is greyed while the background (upstream and downstream) system is in white. This distinction is made in the perspective of dairy processors, but when other stakeholders (e.g. dairy farmers, retailers, restaurants, food processors) are using the current PEFCR, the actual foreground and background systems may differ.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



Figure 3: System boundaries diagram for dairy products ("traditional LCA" view)

In the PEF context, the foreground and background systems shall however be defined in relation to the so-called "materiality approach", which considers a) the relevance of the processes/stages driving the environmental impact, and b) the level of influence that the company performing the PEF study has on them. The Data Needs Matrix (DNM) combines information on the level of relevance to the environmental footprint (most-relevant or not) and the level of influence of the company performing the study. See section 5.5 for more details.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 3.4.3 Overview of inputs and outputs for the LC stage "raw milk supply"

This section provides a simplified overview of main inputs and outputs related to the production of raw milk. For detailed data collection requirements, see section 5.2.1.

#### Inputs to the dairy farm

- Feed (grass, fodder, concentrate)
- Mineral fertilisers and pesticides for feed production
- Animals for dairy production
- Bed materials (straw, paper, sand)
- Manure
- Fuel for machinery
- Production of energy used at the farm
- Refrigerants used at farm
- Farming equipment (capital goods) & barn

#### Outputs from the dairy farm

- Raw milk
- "Meat", or live animals for slaughter or further fattening (cull cows and calves)
- Manure
- Renewable energy
- Emissions from combustion of fossil fuels
- Emissions from enteric fermentation
- Emissions from manure storage
- Emissions from manure application
- Emissions from mineral fertilisers and pesticides application
- Emissions from mineral and organic soils

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



Figure 4: Illustration of material and energy flows in dairy farming system

### 3.4.4 Overview of inputs and outputs for the LC stage "dairy processing"

The main inputs and outputs related to the dairy processing stage can be summarised as follows:

#### Inputs to the dairy unit

- Raw milk
- Dairy ingredients (i.e. intermediate dairy products)
- Non-dairy ingredients (i.e. salt, sugar, fruit preparation, herbs) (treated in life cycle stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")
- Cleaning agents
- Packaging (treated in life cycle stage "packaging")
- Energy (i.e. heat and electricity)
- Water
- Refrigerant gases

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

### Outputs

- Dairy products
- Wastewater
- Waste materials (to recycling or disposal)
- Emissions to air and water

Process diagrams for the stage "Dairy processing" of every sub-category are detailed in the figures below.



Figure 5: Process diagram for liquid milk

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy



Figure 6: Process diagram for dried whey products

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy



Figure 7: Process diagram for cheeses

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy





EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy



Figure 9: Process diagram for butterfat products

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 3.4.5 Exclusions and cut-off

The evaluation focuses on significant contributions to the overall footprint. Small contributions do add up however, so this PEFCR attempts to avoid exclusions whenever possible. According to this PEFCR, the following processes can be excluded based on the cut-off rule:

- Cattle insemination and administration of medicine or antibiotics
- Cleaning products at the dairy farm
- Refrigerants at the dairy farm
- Lactic ferments production
- Rennet production
- Yeast and bacteria production
- Transportation of input products to the dairy unit accounting for less than 1% in mass
- Solid waste at the dairy unit
- Capital goods at the dairy unit
- Capital goods at distribution centre and at retail
- Ambient storage at the consumer home
- Cutlery for dairy products consumption at consumer home

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

### **3.5 PEF impact assessment**



Each PEF study carried out in compliance with this PEFCR shall calculate the PEF-profile including all PEF impact categories listed in Table 10 below.

#### Table 10: List of the impact categories to be used to calculate the PEF profile

Impact category	Indicator	Unit	Recommended default LCIA method
Climate change⁵			
<ul> <li>Climate change- biogenic</li> </ul>	Radiative forcing as Global Warming Potential	kg CO <sub>2 eq</sub>	Baseline model of 100 years of
- Climate change – land use and land transformation	(GWP100)		the IPCC (based on IPCC 2013)
Ozone depletion	Ozone Depletion	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	Steady-state ODPs 1999 as in
	Potential (ODP)		WMO assessment
Human toxicity,	Comparative Toxic Unit	CTUh	USEtox model (Rosenbaum et al,
cancer*	for humans (CTU <sub>h</sub> )		2008)
Human toxicity,	Comparative Toxic Unit	CTUh	USEtox model (Rosenbaum et al,
non-cancer*	for humans (CTU <sub>h</sub> )		2008)
Particulate matter	Impact on human health	disease incidence	UNEP recommended model
			(Fantke et al 2016)
Ionising radiation,	Human exposure	kBq U <sup>235</sup> <sub>eq</sub>	Human health effect model as
human health	efficiency relative to U <sup>235</sup>		developed by Dreicer et al. 1995
			(Frischknecht et al, 2000)
Photochemical	Tropospheric ozone	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	LOTOS-EUROS model (Van Zelm
ozone formation,	concentration increase		et al, 2008) as implemented in
human health			ReCiPe
Acidification	Accumulated Exceedance	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	Accumulated Exceedance
	(AE)		(Seppälä et al. 2006, Posch et al,
			2008)
Eutrophication,	Accumulated Exceedance	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	Accumulated Exceedance
terrestrial	(AE)		(Seppälä et al. 2006, Posch et al,
			2008)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The sub-indicators "Climate change – biogenic" and "Climate change - land use and land transformation" shall be reported separately because their contribution to the total climate change impact, based on the benchmark results, is more than 5% each



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Indicator	Unit	Recommended default LCIA method
Eutrophication, freshwater	Fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment (P)	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	EUTREND model (Struijs et al, 2009b) as implemented in ReCiPe
Eutrophication, marine	Fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment (N)	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	EUTREND model (Struijs et al, 2009b) as implemented in ReCiPe
Ecotoxicity, freshwater*	Comparative Toxic Unit for ecosystems (CTU <sub>e</sub> )	CTUe	USEtox model, (Rosenbaum et al, 2008)
Land use	<ul> <li>Soil quality index<sup>6</sup></li> <li>Biotic production</li> <li>Erosion resistance</li> <li>Mechanical filtration</li> <li>Groundwater</li> <li>replenishment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dimensionless (pt)</li> <li>kg biotic production<sup>7</sup></li> <li>kg soil</li> <li>m<sup>3</sup> water</li> <li>m3 groundwater</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Soil quality index based on LANCA (EC-JRC)<sup>8</sup></li> <li>LANCA (Beck et al. 2010)</li> </ul>
Water use**	User deprivation potential (deprivation- weighted water consumption)	m <sup>3</sup> world <sub>eq</sub>	Available WAter REmaining (AWARE) Boulay et al., 2016
Resource use, minerals and metals	Abiotic resource depletion (ADP ultimate reserves)	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	CML 2002 (Guinée et al., 2002) and van Oers et al. 2002.
Resource use, fossils	Abiotic resource depletion – fossil fuels (ADP-fossil)	MJ	CML 2002 (Guinée et al., 2002) and van Oers et al. 2002

\*Long-term emissions (occurring beyond 100 years) shall be excluded from the toxic impact categories. Toxicity emissions to this sub-compartment have a characterisation factor set to 0 in the EF LCIA (to ensure consistency). If included by the applicant in the LCI modelling, the sub-compartment 'unspecified (long-term)' shall be used.

\*\* The results for water use might be overestimated and shall therefore be interpreted with caution. Some of the EF datasets tendered during the pilot phase and used in this PEFCR include inconsistencies in the regionalization and elementary flow implementations. This problem has nothing to do with the impact assessment method or the implementability of EF methods, but occurred during the technical development of some of the datasets. The PEFCR remains valid and usable. The affected EF datasets will be corrected by mid-2019. At that time, it will be possible to review this PEFCR accordingly, if seen necessary.

The list of normalisation and weighting factors is available in Annex A.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dainy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This index is the result of the aggregation, performed by JRC, of the 4 indicators provided by LANCA model as indicators for land use

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This refers to occupation. In case of transformation the LANCA indicators are without the year (a)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Forthcoming document on the update of the recommended Impact Assessment methods and factors



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 3.6 Limitations

Some dairy products listed in section 3.1 are not part of the scope of this PEFCR. However, as long as no specific PEFCR is addressing creams, milk-based drinks, milk powders, fruited yogurts other than with strawberry or milk-based desserts, companies desiring to assess the PEF of their products are invited to be aligned as much as possible with this PEFCR. However, an EF study carried out for one of these products cannot claim compliance with this PEFCR.

Another main limitation of this PEFCR is the availability of data on land use (LU) land use change (LUC) and water use for crop production in supplying markets, and the way these data are reflected in the impact categories "land use" and "water use". Typically, traceability of LU and LUC in feed supply chains is very complex, hence data generally account for LU and LUC using statistical information at national scale. LUC from cattle grazing on pasture is also sparsely taken into account in secondary datasets.

Default data provided through this PEFCR has limited applicability to products or materials imported from outside the EU. This may have significant effects on results. Default datasets can be tested against geographically adequate alternatives in sensitivity analyses for nuanced results reporting.

Since the multi-functionality of packaging is not fully captured by the current PEF methodology, the dairy PEFCR is not meant to support specific comparison or comparative assertion between packaging.

The results of any PEF study based on the current PEFCR may be used for supply chain management, product design, optimization, and, under specific conditions, for comparative assertions among dairy products from the same sub-category (see limitations below). The PEFCR is not designed to support comparative claims between dairy and non-dairy products, though it can be referenced as a basis to model the PEF of dairy products in such contexts.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

The following limitations are particularly relevant to the dairy sector and must be reported by the PEF applicant when relevant:

- Inconsistent use of data for raw milk supply (i.e. primary vs secondary) may lead to misinterpretation of the PEF results. As a consequence, the current PEFCR may only support comparative assertions (i.e. comparative claims) among dairy products from the same subcategory, and only under the following conditions:
  - o Primary data for raw milk supply is used for all products compared, or
  - o Secondary data for raw milk supply is used for all products compared, or
  - Primary or secondary data for raw milk supply is used for comparison but only against the benchmark
- Impact of feed production is a key driver for most impact categories. Therefore, whenever primary data is used for modelling this sub-stage, the PEFCR for "Feed for food producing animals" shall be used;
- Impacts of dairy systems (and their supply chain) on biodiversity are only partly covered by LCA impact categories. The current PEFCR therefore recommends a simple framework with additional indicators which can be reported in addition to the mandatory impact categories.
- The choice of functional unit may significantly affect the PEF results. It is therefore recommended to assess additional functional units (based on relevant nutritional criteria) as sensitivity analyses.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



# 4 Most relevant impact categories, life cycle stages and processes

The most relevant impact categories for all five product sub-categories in scope of this PEFCR are:



- Climate change
- Particulate matter
- Acidification terrestrial and freshwater
- Eutrophication freshwater
- Eutrophication marine
- Eutrophication terrestrial
- Land use
- Water use
- Resource use, fossils

Additional impact sub-categories that shall be reported separately (since they contribute to over 5% of the total climate change impact):

- Climate change biogenic
- Climate change land use and land transformation

According to the PEFCR Guidance v6.3, the identification of the most relevant impact categories is based on the normalised and weighted results of the representative product(s) as recalculated after the remodelling. The most relevant impact categories shall be identified as all impact categories that cumulatively contribute to at least 80% of the total environmental impact (excluding toxicity-related impact categories). This should start from the largest to the smallest contributions. The TS may add more impact categories to the list of the most relevant ones, but none shall be deleted. In the current PEFCR, the TS decided to add the impact category "Water use".

The most relevant life cycle stages for each of the five product sub-categories in scope of this PEFCR are the following (Table 11):

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



connect to the world of dairy

Sub-category	Raw milk supply	Dairy processing	Non-dairy ingredients supply	Packaging	Distribution	Use stage	End of life
Liquid milk	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Dried whey products	Yes	Yes	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Cheeses	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Fermented milk products	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Butterfat products	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

#### Table 11: Most relevant life cycle stages for each product sub-category

According to the PEFCR Guidance v6.3, the most relevant life cycle stages are the life cycle stages which together contribute to at least 80% of any of the most relevant impact categories previously identified.

The most relevant processes for the different product sub-categories in scope of this PEFCR are presented in the following tables. According to the PEFCR Guidance v6.3, the most relevant processes are those that collectively contribute at least with 80% to any of the most relevant impact categories identified. See section 7.4 of the PEFCR Guidance for details.

Impact category	Processes
Climate change	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
Climate change - biogenic	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
and transformation	
Particulate matter	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Acidification terrestrial and	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
freshwater	
Eutrophication freshwater	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication marine	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication terrestrial	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Kraft paper, uncoated   kraft pulping process, pulp pressing and drying   production mix, at
	plant1 <120 g/m2 {FU-28+FFTA} (from LC stage "Fnd-of-life")

Table 12: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Liquid milk"

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dainy



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Processes
Water use	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Tap water   technology mix   at user   per kg water {EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy
	processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
	Treatment of residential wastewater, large plant   waste water treatment including sludge
	treatment  production mix, at plant  1m3 of waste water treated {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC
	stages "Distribution" and "Use stage")
Resource use, fossils	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
	HDPE granulates   Polymerisation of ethylene   production mix, at plant   0.91-0.96 g/cm3, 28
	g/mol per repeating unit {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")
	Beverage carton   precursor material processing, carton assembling and printing   production
	mix, at plant   grammage: 0.338 kg/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")
	Waste incineration of PE  waste-to-energy plant with dry flue gas treatment, including
	transport and pre-treatment  production mix, at consumer  polyethylene waste {EU-
	28+EFTA} (from LC stage "End-of-life")
	Kraft paper, uncoated   kraft pulping process, pulp pressing and drying   production mix, at
	plant  <120 g/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "End-of-life")
	Corrugated box, uncoated   Kraft Pulping Process, pulp pressing and drying   production mix,
	at plant  280 g/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")

#### Table 13: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Dried whey products"

Impact category	Processes
Climate change	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - biogenic	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
and transformation	
Particulate matter	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Acidification terrestrial and	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
freshwater	
Eutrophication freshwater	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Treatment of effluents from potato starch production   waste water treatment including
	sludge treatment   production mix, at plant   1m3 of waste water treated {EU-28+EFTA} (from
	LC stage "Dairy processing")
Eutrophication marine	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication terrestrial	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Water use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Tap water   technology mix   at user   per kg water {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Dairy
	processing")
	Treatment of effluents from potato starch production  waste water treatment including
	sludge treatment   production mix, at plant   1m3 of waste water treated {EU-28+EFTA} from
	LC stage "Dairy processing")
Resource use, fossils	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Processes
	Thermal energy from natural gas  technology mix regarding firing and flue gas cleaning  production mix, at heat plant  MJ, 100% efficiency {EU-28+3} from LC stage "Dairy processing")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV

#### Table 14: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Cheeses"

Impact category	Processes
Climate change	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - biogenic	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
and transformation	
Particulate matter	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Acidification terrestrial and	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
freshwater	
Eutrophication freshwater	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication marine	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication terrestrial	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Water use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Tap water   technology mix   at user   per kg water {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Dairy
	processing")
Resource use, fossils	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
	Ascorbic acid production   technology mix   production mix, at plant   100% active substance
	{RER} (from LC stage "Dairy processing") (*)
	Thermal energy from natural gas  technology mix regarding firing and flue gas cleaning
	production mix, at heat plant  MJ, 100% efficiency {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Dairy
	processing")

(\*) Ascorbic acid was used a proxy for additives and vitamins, hence accuracy is limited.

#### Table 15: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Fermented milk products"

Impact category	Processes
Climate change	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
	Thermal energy from natural gas  technology mix regarding firing and flue gas cleaning
	production mix, at heat plant  MJ, 100% efficiency {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Dairy
	processing")
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix   at plant   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")
	Polystyrene production, high impact   polymerisation of styrene   production mix, at plant
	1.05 g/cm3 {World w/o EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dainy



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Processes
Climate change - biogenic	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
and transformation	
Particulate matter	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)
Acidification terrestrial and	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
freshwater	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
Eutrophication freshwater	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Treatment of effluents from potato starch production  waste water treatment including
	sludge treatment   production mix, at plant   1m3 of waste water treated {EU-28+EFTA} (from
	LC stage "Dairy processing")
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)
Eutrophication marine	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix   at plant   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")
Eutrophication terrestrial	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)
Land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} [LCI result]
	Corrugated box, uncoated Kraft Pulping Process, pulp pressing and drying production mix,
	at plant  280 g/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} [LCI result]
Water use	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix  at farm   {EU+28} (from LC
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (7)
	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   perkg FPCIVI {EU-28+3} (from LC stage ' kaw milk supply )
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix  at plant  {EU+28} (from LC
	Stage Non-dairy ingredients supply )
	rap water [ technology mix] at user [ per kg water {EU-28+3} (from LC stages Dairy
	processing, Distribution and Ose stage)
	cludge treatment of enderits from polato statch production waste water treated [E11.28] EETA] (from
	LC stage "Dainy processing")
	Electricity grid mix 1k/ 60k// AC technology mix! consumption mix_at consumer! 1k/ 60k//
	{FIL-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing" "Distribution" and "Lise stage")
Resource use fossils	Electricity grid mix $1kV - 60kV/1$ AC technology mix 1 consumption mix at consumer 1 $1kV - 60kV/1$
	{FII-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing" "Distribution" and "Lise stage")
	Cow milk production mix at farm per kg EPCM {EIL-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Polystyrene production high impact polymerisation of styrenel production mix at plant
	1.05 g/cm3 {World w/o EU-28+EFTA} (from I C stage "Packaging")

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairg



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Processes
	Thermal energy from natural gas  technology mix regarding firing and flue gas cleaning  production mix, at heat plant  MJ, 100% efficiency {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Dairy processing")
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix   at plant   {EU+28} (from LC stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")
	Articulated lorry transport, Euro 5, total weight 28-32 t, cooled   diesel driven, Euro 5, cooled cargo   consumption mix, to consumer   28 - 32t gross weight / 21,4t payload capacity {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Distribution")
	HDPE granulates   Polymerisation of ethylene   production mix, at plant   0.91-0.96 g/cm3, 28 g/mol per repeating unit {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)
	PET granulates, amorphous   Polymerisation of ethylene   production mix, at plant   0.91-0.96 g/cm3, 28 g/mol per repeating unit {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")
	Kraft paper, uncoated   kraft pulping process, pulp pressing and drying   production mix, at plant   <120 g/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "End-of-life")

(\*) Maize (corn grain) was used a proxy for strawberry production, in the absence of more appropriate EF compliant secondary dataset, hence accuracy is limited.

#### Table 16: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Butterfat products"

Impact category	Processes
Climate change	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - biogenic	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Climate change - land use	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
and transformation	
Particulate matter	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Acidification terrestrial and	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
freshwater	
Eutrophication freshwater	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication marine	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Eutrophication terrestrial	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Land use	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Water use	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
Resource use, fossils	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy



### **5** Life cycle inventory

### 5.1 List of mandatory company-specific data

The complete list of mandatory company-specific activity data (processes and elementary flows) and the processes to be modelled with company-specific data are listed in the sections below. Details for all mandatory company-specific data to be collected with the complete DQRs and the UUIDs are provided in Annex 6.



An example for raw milk as input to dairy processing is provided in Table 17 below. The activity data required include the amount of fat and protein corrected milk (FPCM) used in the product formulation. For the representative product, the European average dataset was used but datasets for more specific geographies exist and shall be used when relevant.

Requirements	Data type	Example		
For data	Activity data to be collected	Quantity and dry matter content of raw milk (FPCM) used in		
collection		the formulation of the dairy product		
purposes	Specific requirements (e.g.,	Company-specific primary data on mass (in g) required per FU		
	frequency, measurement	that are no older than 5 years old		
	standard, etc.)			
For modelling	Unit of measure	g/FU		
purposes	Default dataset to be used	Cow milk ; production mix ; at farm; EU-28+3		
	Dataset source (i.e. node)	https://lcdn.quantis-software.com/PEF/		
	UUID	b6b3aedf-d824-4a3b-b028-bab860b696ad		
	TiR (average)	2.2		
	TeR	2.3		
	GR	2.4		
	Р	2.4		
	DQR	2.3		

Table 17 Data collection requirements for an example mandatory process

All newly created processes shall be EF-compliant.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 5.1.1 Dairy processing

Mandatory company-specific data shall be used to model dairy processing. The manufacturing of dairy products is typically a multi-processes activity, starting with a single common input (raw milk) and resulting in numerous products with various nutritional properties. Section 5.8.3 details how multi-functionality of dairy processing shall be handled. Foreground specific data required for dairy processing is presented in Table 18. Details (DQRs, UUIDs, etc.) are provided in Annex 6.

Table 18: Foreground specific activity data required for dairy processing

Item	Complementary information	Unit
Input parameters		
Raw milk	Mass and dry matter content of FPCM	kg/y
Other dairy inputs	Mass and dry matter (DM) content of any other dairy input in the product's formulation (e.g. cream, skimmed milk, milk powder, whey, etc.)	kg/y
Chemicals	Mass and types of chemicals (cleaning agents and reactants) used in the dairy unit	kg/y
Refrigerants	Mass and types of refrigerants used in the dairy unit	kg/y
Energy	Amount and type of fuel (natural gas, fuel oil, diesel, biogas, etc.) for heat and electricity use (from grid, produced on-site) for all activities at the dairy unit, including storage at the local warehouse. Emissions (CO <sub>2</sub> , NOx, SO <sub>2</sub> , particles) related to fuel combustion shall also be calculated and included (not included in secondary datasets for fuel production). Country specific values for energy content of combusted natural gas shall be used when available. IEA data can be used for that purpose.	kWh/y or MJ/y
Water	Volume of water used. A regionalized (i.e. minimally country-specific) water flow shall be used in the model.	m3/y
Output parameters		
Co-products	Mass and dry matter content of every co-product	kg/y
Wastewater	Volume, COD content of wastewater released to treatment	m3/y
Direct emissions	Amount of different direct emissions to air not due to energy use (e.g. refrigerants) and to water (e.g. $PO_4^{3-}$ ).	kg/y

Guidance to assess the data quality of dairy processing modelled with primary data is provided in Table 19.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dainy



connect to the world of dairy

Quality rating	Time representativeness	Technological representativeness	Geographical representativeness
1	0-1.9 years (age of data) with respect to 2016	All amounts and types of inputs and outputs are known and reported. Energy and water use are attributable to specific products.	Origin of all materials and energy carriers are known and reported
2	2-4.9 years with respect to 2016	All amounts and types of inputs and outputs are known and reported. Energy and water use are reported for an entire process line or the whole dairy unit.	Origin of >60% of materials (in kg) and energy carriers (in MJ) are known and reported
3	5-9.9 years with respect to 2016	Amounts and types of all main inputs and outputs (raw milk, dairy ingredients, energy, sugar, fruits, co-products, wastewater) are known and reported. Proxys are used for other inputs or outputs. Energy and water use are attributable to specific products.	Origin of 40-60% of materials (in kg) and energy carriers (in MJ) are known and reported
4	10-14.9 years with respect to 2016	Amounts and types of all main inputs and outputs (raw milk, dairy ingredients, energy, sugar, fruits, co-products, wastewater) are known and reported. Energy and water use are reported for an entire process line or the whole dairy unit.	Origin of 20-39% of materials (in mass) and energy carriers (in MJ) are known and reported
5	>15 years with respect to 2016	n/a	Origin of materials and energy carriers are not reported.

#### Table 19: DQR guidance for dairy processing

#### Specific requirements for wastewater at dairy processing

The effluent from a dairy processing unit is essentially composed of wastewater from pre-rinse, interrinse and clean-in-place rinse-down operations together with material losses from the dairy products. Dairy residues in the wastewater essentially drive an organic-rich effluent waste with a high carbon organic demand (COD). Wastewater from dairies can be treated in municipal sewage treatment plants. However, no EF-compliant life cycle inventory (LCI) data representative of such treatment is yet available. To evaluate the surplus energy required for the treatment of dairy wastewater due to the excess COD, a dilution factor should be applied. The rationale is that sewage treatment plants are characterized by an input COD reduction capacity and an output COD level; therefore, treating a higher-COD wastewater can

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



#### connect to the world of dairy

be approximated by treating a higher volume of same-COD-level wastewater. The dilution factor is calculated as the ratio of the effluent COD at the dairy unit and the COD input in a reference LCI dataset.

The EF-compliant dataset "*Treatment of effluents from potato starch production; waste water treatment including sludge treatment; production mix, at plant, EU-28+EFTA*" (UUID: 2c42b213-0e00-4d8f-8a02-bda8c3f9b652) with input COD content of 11.5 g/l shall be used as reference. This value is higher than values sampled in 18 French dairy sites, with COD content in the wastewater effluent ranging from 0.72 g/l to 5.29 g/l (AFNOR 2014) but is used as best proxy in the absence of more accurate EF-compliant dataset.

TI	he dilution facto	r shall therefore be calculated as:	
		$COD\_Dilution\_Factor = \frac{COD_{effluent} (g/l)}{COD_{ref}(g/l)} = \frac{COD_{effluent}}{11.5}$	
		[Equation 1]	
w	vith:		
	$COD_{effluent}$	= COD in effluent wastewater at dairy unit (g/l)	
	COD <sub>ref</sub>	= COD in reference dataset = 11.5 g/l	

### 5.1.2 Non-dairy ingredients

Mandatory company-specific data shall be used to model the supply chain of non-dairy ingredients (e.g. sugar, fruit preparation, salt, yeast, ferments, rennet, vegetal oils). Typically, the following data should be collected:

- Mass of each non-dairy ingredient in the product's formulation (mandatory)
- Country of production of each non-dairy ingredient (non-mandatory)
- Transport mode and distance to the dairy unit (non-mandatory)
- Packaging type and amount of each non-dairy ingredient (non-mandatory)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

For sugar, it shall be specified if originates from sugar beet or from sugarcane. EF-compliant secondary datasets may be used to represent the production of the non-dairy ingredients (see Annex 6), their transport and packaging. Section 6.2 provides guidance on default data to be used in the PEF of dairy products.

#### 5.1.3 Packaging

Mandatory company-specific data shall be used for the mass and volume of primary packaging.

### 5.2 List of processes expected to be run by the company

The following processes are expected to be run by the company applying the PEFCR:

- Raw milk production (only for companies with direct access to dairy farmers such as cooperatives)
- Raw milk transport to the dairy unit (only for companies with direct access to dairy farmers such as cooperatives)
- Dairy processing (from raw milk delivery to shipping of packaged products)

Other stakeholders such as retailers or food processors may however use this PEFCR, in which case the perimeter of their direct activities will differ from the above. However, without the mandatory company-specific data listed in Section 5.1, a stakeholder claim compliance with this PEFCR. Details on the data collection requirements are provided in following sections.

#### 5.2.1 Raw milk production

Modelling of raw milk production shall be based on the following tables. Table 20 shall be used to determine which purchased farm inputs have to be included in raw milk modelling, whereas Table 21 shall be used to calculate on farm emissions and Table 22 to determine which farm products have to be considered including the allocation method to be used. National Inventory Reports should be used as a leading document for country-specific modelling parameters. Annex 6 lists the secondary datasets, UUIDs and DQRs to be linked to each data input.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

Farm inputs	Subtype	Comment
Included		
Mineral	Nitrogen fertilizer	Including all N-containing fertilisers
fertilisers	Phosphorus fertilizer	Including all P-containing fertilisers
	Potassium fertilizer	Including all K-containing fertilisers
	Lime	Including all Ca-containing fertilisers
Organic	Manure	Only emissions from manure application should be accounted for
fertilisers	Organic fertilizers	Any bio-based fertiliser, such as plant-based fertiliser, manure pellets, biochar.
Dairy cattle	Dairy cattle	Dairy cows (dry and lactating), calves, young stock until 1 year of age and young stock over 1 year, heifers.
Energy	Electricity	Country-specific (from grid) or produced on-site
	Diesel	Diesel used at farm
	Natural gas	Natural gas used at farm
	Other energy	Any other energy input such as propane, wood pellets, etc.
Feed	Compound feed	All types of compound feed (i.e. feed concentrate)
	Roughage	All roughage types
	Other feeds	All purchased other feeds, such as single ingredients, (wet) by-
		products from industry
Other	Bedding material	All types of bedding material used to house dairy cattle
	Pesticides	All pesticides, i.e. herbicides, insecticides, nematocides, fungicides
	Silage plastic	Packaging etc.
Water	Irrigation	On farm irrigation for feed crops. differentiate between ground, surface and tap water.
	Drinking and cleaning water	Differentiate between ground, surface and tap water.
Excluded		
Capital goods	Stables	Excluded due to low relevance and lack of background data <sup>1</sup>
	Machinery	Excluded due to low relevance and lack of background data <sup>1</sup>
Refrigerants	Milk cooling	Excluded due to low relevance

#### Table 20: Included and excluded inputs on the dairy farm<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Included in the EF-compliant datasets for cow milk, hence included in the benchmark calculation

#### Table 21: Included and excluded on-farm resources use and emissions

Substance	Process	Minimum requirement <sup>1</sup>	Optional
Included			

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain



#### connect to the world of dairy

Substance	Process	Minimum requirement <sup>1</sup>	Optional
Water	Irrigation water Drinking water	ISO 14046: Annual water consumption at country scale (with proper regionalization tag)	Monthly water consumption at country scale (with proper regionalization tag)
Land occupation and trans- formation	Feed production	Type and surface of agricultural land occupied for feed production Type and surface of agricultural land transformed from natural land (i.e. primary forest, secondary forest or natural grassland) for feed production	Type and surface of agricultural land transformed from previous agricultural use for feed production
	Grazing	Surface of grassland used for grazing Surface of grassland transformed from natural or agricultural land (i.e. primary forest, secondary forest or arable land) for pasture	n/a
Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ), emitted to air	Enteric fermentation	IPCC Tier 2: Animal numbers and animal feeding type (e.g. feedlot cattle, cattle grazing) are taken into account. It is based on emission factors (Ym) per animal types and on Gross Energy intake (GE). Emission = GE x Ym.	IPCC Tier 3 (considering national specificities): Total dry matter intake (DMI) and digestibility of feed are added to equation used in Tier 2 or utilize alternative estimation methods based on country- specific methodology.
	Manure storage (and pre-treatment)	IPCC Tier 2: Detailed information about the manure characteristics (calculated based on gross energy intake, digestibility of the feed) and manure management practices (default values).	IPCC Tier 3: Country specific methodologies and emission factors are used.
Direct nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O), emitted to air	Manure storage (and pre-treatment) Manure excretion on the pasture	IPCC Tier 1: the total amount of nitrogen excretion in each type of manure management system is multiplied by an emissions factor for that type of manure management system (default values used).	IPCC Tier 2: As tier 1 but country-specific data for some or all variables are used. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
	Manure application	IPCC Tier 1: Amount of nitrogen from manure, artificial fertilizer or crop residues	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain/



### connect to the world of dairy

Substance	Process	Minimum requirement <sup>1</sup>	Optional
	Nitrogen fertilizer application Crop residues <sup>4</sup>	applied to soils is multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC Tier 2: As Tier 1 but with country specific emission factors. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
	Organic soils (peat)	IPCC Tier 1: Hectares of managed or drained organic soils multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC: Tier 2: As Tier 1 but with country specific emission factors. IPCC: Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
	Mineral soils	IPCC: Tier 1: Amount of nitrogen in mineral soils that is mineralized multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC Tier 2: As tier 1 but with country specific emission factors. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
Indirect nitrous oxide due to N volatilization (ammonia and nitric oxides) <sup>2</sup> , emitted to air	Manure storage (and pre-treatment) Manure application Manure excretion in the pasture Nitrogen fertilizer application	IPCC Tier 1: Nitrogen volatilization multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC Tier 2: As tier 1 but country-specific emission factor used. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
Indirect nitrous oxide due to N leaching <sup>3</sup> , emitted to air	Manure application Manure excretion in the pasture	IPCC Tier 1: Nitrogen leaching multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC Tier 2: As tier 1 but country-specific emission factor used. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dain/



#### connect to the world of dairy

Substance	Process	Minimum requirement <sup>1</sup>	Optional
	Nitrogen fertilizer application Crop residues <sup>4</sup>	-	methods based on country-specific methodology.
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ) and nitric oxides (NOx), emitted to air	Manure storage (and pre-treatment) Manure application Manure excretion in the pasture Nitrogen fertiliser application	EMEP/EEA Tier 2: based on livestock numbers; total nitrogen excretion rates (calculated based on IPCC guidelines); proportion of nitrogen excreted in livestock buildings, uncovered yards and grazing; proportion of nitrogen excreted as total ammoniacal nitrogen (TAN) and proportion of the excretion place; amount of manure handled as slurry or as solid manure; use of bedding for animals; manure storage system; and amount of manure and nitrogen fertiliser spread on fields	EMEP/EEA Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country- specific methodology.
Phosphate (PO <sub>4</sub> -), emitted to ground and surface water	Manure application Manure excretion in the pasture Artificial fertilizer application	Amount of phosphorus applied	Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country- specific methodology.
Phosphorous(P), emitted to surface water	Manure application Manure excretion in the pasture Artificial fertilizer application	Amount of phosphorus applied	Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country- specific methodology.
Particulate matter (PM2.5), emitted to air	Animal housing	EMEP EAA tier 2: based on share of time animals spend in the animal house and share of population kept in slurry-based systems	EMEP EAA Tier 3: country specific emission factors or methods.
Non-methane volatile solids (NMVOC), emitted to air	Silage feeding Housing Grazing	EMEP EAA Tier 2: based on gross feed intake (country specific or default), time animals spend indoors during a year, fraction of silage feed during housing, volatile solid excreted (country specific if possible)	EMEP EAA Tier 3: country specific emission factors or methods.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairg



#### connect to the world of dairy

Substance	Process	Minimum requirement <sup>1</sup>	Optional
Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ), emitted to ground water	Manure application Manure excretion in the pasture Artificial fertilizer application Crop residues <sup>4</sup>	IPCC Tier 1: Nitrate leaching is based on multiplication of the amount of nitrogen excreted or added to soils by a default fraction of leached nitrogen.	IPCC Tier 2: As tier 1 but country-specific leaching factor and or nitrogen excretion. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), emitted to air	Application of lime	IPCC Tier 1: Amount of lime (limestone or dolomite) applied multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC: Tier 2: As tier 1 but with country specific emission factors. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
	Application of urea	IPCC Tier 1: Amount of urea applied multiplied by a default emission factor.	IPCC: Tier 2: As tier 1 but with country specific emission factors. IPCC Tier 3: Utilizes alternative estimation methods based on country-specific methodology.
	Peat drainage	IPCC Tier 1: Drained inland organic soils	IPCC: Tier 2: As tier 1 but with country specific emission factors.
	Fuel combustion	Depending on available dataset. Use fuel and country specific heating values and emission factors.	
Heavy metals, emitted to groundwater and soil	Application of manure	Heavy metal emissions from field inputs shall be modelled as emission to soil and/or leaching or erosion to water. The inventory to water shall specify the oxidation state of the metal (e.g., Cr+3, Cr+6). As crops assimilate part of the heavy metal emissions during their cultivation and since the inventory does not account for the final emissions of the heavy metals (after human consumption), it shall not either account for the uptake of heavy metals by the crop.	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairg



#### connect to the world of dairy

Substance	Process	Minimum requirement <sup>1</sup>	Optional
		Different models exist for calculating heavy metal partitioning to waters and soil. One recommended model is Freiermuth (2006). Kühnholz (2001) also gives a comparison of such partitioning models.	
Pesticides, emitted to soil	Application of pesticides	Country and crop specific amounts of active component from pesticides applied. 100% of pesticides applied emitted to the soil.	
Excluded			
Refrigerants, emitted to air	Milk cooling	Excluded, due to lack of data.	
Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ), emitted to air	Carbon sequestration	Excluded: Changes in soil carbon levels are re carbon stocks, and therefore, shall not be inc category 'climate change', unless the change change that happened less than 20 years before	garded as changes in luded in the PEF impact s are related to land use ore the assessment year.

<sup>1</sup> If a PEF study concerns a comparative assertion, methods to calculate emissions shall be identical.

 $^{2}$  N volatilization in line with NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emission elsewhere in this table.

<sup>3</sup> N leaching in line with nitrate leaching elsewhere in this table.

<sup>4</sup> Include crop residues occurring during harvesting, meadowing, grassland renewal, crop rotation and plant fertilizers.

#### Table 22: Allocation methods to be used for products produced on dairy farms

Farm products	Description	Allocation method
Raw milk	Raw milk delivered for consumption.	Biophysical allocation (IDF, 2015)
Dairy products produced on farm	Any dairy product directly sold for consumption.	If both raw milk and dairy products are produced on the farm use system subdivision for on farm dairy processing.
Sold live dairy cattle	Dairy cattle sold for fattening or replacement.	Biophysical allocation (IDF, 2015)
Dead dairy cattle leaving the farm	Dairy cattle that died on the farm.	No allocation.
Manure as residual product	Manure is exported from the farm as product with no economic value.	No allocation: burden allocated to other products produced at farm, including pre-treatment of manure.
Manure as co-product	Manure is exported from the farm as product with economic value.	Economic allocation of the upstream burden shall be used for manure by using the relative economic value of manure compared to milk and live animals at the farm gate, provided proof is given that it is sold and used for fertiliser replacement at optimal rates for crops (i.e. if excess is applied it is treated as a Residual).

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

Farm products	Description	Allocation method
		Biophysical allocation based on IDF rules shall be applied to allocate the remaining emissions between milk and live animals. Environmental burden form manure treatment is fully allocated to manure as co- product.
Manure as waste	Manure is not used to produce products but treated as waste.	Apply end-of-life formula and allocate environmental burden to other products produced on the farm, including treatment of manure.
Sold non-dairy products.	Sold feed, arable products and non-dairy animal products, non-dairy animals.	If both raw milk and non-dairy products are produced on the farm use system subdivision for non-dairy farming activities.
Energy produced on farm	Any type of energy produced at farm, such as solar energy, biogas, heat- recovery and wind-energy.	If both raw milk and energy are produced on the farm use system subdivision for on farm energy production. Within the assessed system boundary, energy may be produced from renewable sources. If renewable energy is produced in excess of the amount consumed for dairy production and it is provided to third parties, this may only be credited to the dairy products assessed provided that the credit has not already been taken into account in other schemes.

All modelling requirements shall be aligned with the PEF Guidance 6.3.

Specific requirements:

- **Regionalisation**: all inputs and processes should be regionalised whenever the location is known or can be assumed (e.g. country tags should be used for water flows, adequate electricity mixes should be used, etc.)
- Feed production: priority should be given to specific data, whenever possible. Feed production shall be handled in conformance with the requirements from the PEFCR on "Feed for food producing animals" and country of origin of each feed material should be specified. See Annex 6 for complete list.
- Water use: for irrigation and drinking water should be regionalised whenever the origin of the feed is known or can be assumed.



EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

- Land use change, deforestation and land degradation: land use change (or land conversion) shall be assessed in the upstream feed production, in accordance with the PEF Guidance: all carbon emissions and removals shall be modelled following the modelling guidelines of PAS 2050:2011 (BSI 2011) and the supplementary document PAS2050-1:2012 (BSI 2012) for horticultural products. Land use change related to cattle grazing should be considered whenever robust supporting information is available (see below). The PEF guide prescribes to include land use change related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, but allows only to separately report land use effects as 'Additional Environmental Information'. The difference between land use and land use change can be confusing. In the case of dairy, land use change (LUC) refers to any long-term change in the type of use of a plot of land directly required to produce dairy. In relation to dairy there are four categories of land use between which changes in use are possible: 1) land used for infrastructure or buildings, 2) natural land use, 3) arable land use and 4) grassland use. Land use (LU) refers to the changes in carbon stock in soils without changing between these four types of land use. For raw milk production land use change due to deforestation is most relevant in relation to production of certain feed ingredients (mainly soy and palm oil). On the dairy farm itself often both arable (mainly maize silage production) and grassland use occur. Often the plot of land used for arable production is changed between different years. The changes in carbon stock that occur from these kind of crop rotations, however, should be classified as land use and not land use change, since over the long term (i.e. over 100 years) the net amount of farm land used for arable or grassland does not change. Only if share of grass- and arable-based roughage in the diet of the cow is actually changing over time, this should be considered to result in land use change.
- Grassland management: As per the PEFCR Guidance v6.3, carbon sequestration and release related to grassland management (i.e. land use effects) may be considered when sufficient information is available (EC-JRC 2015). The work from the dedicated LEAP Technical Working Group may be used as a method to support such calculation. As of current knowledge, if no primary data on the type of grass is available, no carbon sequestration shall be considered

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy


#### connect to the world of dairy

(conservative approach). When research provides an average value representative of the part of grass in the European feed supply for dairy systems (or at national scale), this can be used. To ensure consistency between carbon sequestration and the release fluxes (i.e. carbon destocking), a carbon release factor has to be considered in relation with land use change and grassland management practices. As described in the FAO guidelines on the "Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains" (LEAP 2015a), it is recommended to estimate land use change using the ENVIFOOD method. The ENVIFOOD/PAS2050 method identifies three different situations. Applied to the French context, the carbon release represents 160 kg C/ha/year for change of grassland to arable land (Dollé et al., 2013). This carbon release factor can be adapted to other national or EU contexts providing scientific evidence. In the future, default destocking factors for crops may be proposed. Various research projects are already looking at this topic.

• Fat and protein content: shall be documented and the corresponding amount of fat and protein corrected raw milk (FPCM) shall be reported. See section 5.8.2 for details.

Raw milk used in dairy products generally comes from multiple dairy farms. A representative sample of farms in the supply chain should be defined, in a way that properly represents the variability of the dairy systems. Main aspects influencing such variability, and which should be taken considered when defining the samples, are:

- Breed;
- Feed supply and rations;
- Yield (average milk production per cow)
- Grazing vs. non-grazing systems;
- Manure management systems.

Given the seasonal variability, all data should be collected for a minimum of 1 year of exploitation of each dairy farm. Average data collected over 2+ years is preferable, when available. The origin of feed used in the rations should be reported, particularly for soy-based meals.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Table 23 summarizes the data that typically have to be collected when modelling raw milk production with primary data:

	Table 23: Foreground	specific activity	data required for	raw milk modelling
--	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--------------------

Parameter	Unit
General information	
% of supply chain	% (of kg FPCM)
Breed	-
Number of lactating cows	-
Age at first calving	months
Replacement rate	%
Dairy farm area	ha
Manure management system	-
Time spent in stable	days/y
Input parameters	
Feed for lactating cows as grazed grass	kg/y
Feed for lactating cows as hay or haylage	kg/y
Feed for lactating cows as grass silage	kg/γ
Feed for lactating cows as maize silage	kg/y
Feed for lactating cows as wheat silage	kg/γ
Feed for lactating cows as soybean meal	kg/y
Feed for lactating cows as compound feed	kg/y
Feed for lactating cows as agricultural by-products	kg/y
Feed for heifers and dry cows as grazed grass	kg/y
Feed for heifers and dry cows as hay or haylage	kg/γ
Feed for heifers and dry cows as grass silage	kg/y
Feed for heifers and dry cows as maize silage	kg/y
Feed for heifers and dry cows as wheat silage	kg/γ
Feed for heifers and dry cows as soybean meal	kg/y
Feed for heifers and dry cows as compound feed	kg/y
Feed for heifers and dry cows as agricultural by-products	kg/y
Milk powder for calves	kg/y
Bedding materials	kg/y

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

Parameter	Unit
Drinking water	m3/y
Cleaning water	m3/y
Electricity used on farm (for general operations vs. for dairy cattle)	kWh/y
Fuel oil used on farm (for general operations vs. for dairy cattle)	MJ/y
Natural gas used on farm (for general operations vs. for dairy cattle)	MJ/y
Output parameters	
Milk production (total sold)	kg/y
Milk fat content	g/l
Milk protein content	g/l
Production of cull cows sold to slaughter or further fattening	kg live weight/y
Production of calves sold for further fattening	kg live weight/y

Guidance to assess the data quality of raw milk modelled with primary data is provided in Table 24.

#### Table 24: DQR guidance for raw milk production

Quality rating	Time representativeness (TiR)	Technological representativeness (TeR)	Geographical representativeness (GR)
1	Production average over 2+ years, in the previous 5 years, with respect to the year the study was commissioned	Sample of farms representing >50% of total supply chain in volume	All areas in supply chain
2	Production average over 2+ years, in the previous 10 years, with respect to the year the study was commissioned	Sample of farms representing 40- 49% of total supply chain in volume	Selected areas representing >50% of supply chain in volume
3	Production average for a single year, in the previous 5 years, with respect to the year the study was commissioned	Sample of farms representing 30- 39% of total supply chain in volume	Single area representing 30-49% of supply chain in volume
4	Production data for a single year, in the previous 10 years, with respect to the year the study was commissioned	Sample of farms representing 10- 29% of total supply chain in volume	Single area representing <30% of supply chain in volume
5	Production data for an unknown period or a period lower than 1 year	Single farm or sample of farms representing <10% of total supply chain in volume	Unknown or proxy

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dainy



#### connect to the world of dairy

In situations where several raw milk supply chains are involved in the life cycle of a single dairy product (e.g. raw milk is supplied from local farms but milk powder is supplied from the market), separate DQR assessment should be made for each supply chain and documented accordingly.

#### 5.2.2 Transport from dairy farm to processing unit

Raw milk is typically collected from several farms on a daily basis. A tanker truck leaves the dairy processing unit and gets filled up while collecting raw milk at the different dairy farms. A weighted average transport distance should be calculated and

associated to appropriate secondary dataset for refrigerated bulk transport (**0.4 L diesel/km travelled,** or **0.0023 L diesel/kg milk transported**).

In cases where company-specific data for raw milk collection is not available, section 6.1 provides guidance on default secondary data to be used.

#### 5.2.3 Packaging

Dairy products are sold in a wide variety of packaging materials and formats. Some products, such as dried whey products, are also sold in bulk. Company-specific data should be used to model the production of dairy products primary packaging: site-specific data for packaging are presented the following table. Secondary and tertiary packaging can be modelled using secondary data. Annex 6 lists the secondary datasets, UUIDs and DQRs to be linked to each data input.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Item	Complementary information	Unit
Bill of materials	Mass of every material contained in the packaging, including recycled content	g/unit
Capacity	Volume or mass of product in each packaging unit	l or g
Energy	Amount and types of fuel for heat and electricity used for manufacturing the packaging	kWh/unit or MJ/unit
Water	Volume of water used for manufacturing the packaging	m3/unit
Transport	Transport mode and distance from packaging manufacturing plant to dairy unit	km

#### Table 25: Foreground specific activity data required for packaging

Guidance to assess the data quality of packaging modelled with primary data is provided in the following table.

#### Table 26: DQR guidance for packaging

Quality rating	Time representativeness	Technological representativeness	Geographical representativeness
1	0-1.9 years with respect to 2015	Complete bill of materials is known and reported. Energy and water use for packaging manufacturing are known.	Packaging manufacturing place is known and origin of main materials is reported.
2	2-4.9 years with respect to 2015	Complete bill of materials is known and reported. Energy and water use for packaging manufacturing are estimated from published sources or extrapolated.	Packaging manufacturing place is known and origin of main materials is estimated.
3	5-9.9 years with respect to 2015	Bill of materials is partly known and reported. Energy and water use for packaging manufacturing are estimated from published sources or extrapolated.	Packaging manufacturing place is unknown and origin of main materials is estimated.
4	10-14.9 years with respect to 2015	Only the main materials are known and reported. Energy and water use for packaging manufacturing are unknown.	n/a
5	>15 years with respect to 2015	Proxys are used for main materials. Energy and water use for packaging manufacturing are unknown.	n/a

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

For container glass, the quality rating for time representativeness between 2 and 4.9 years, with respect to 2015, is considered equivalent to 1, as current technologies for container glass production have a time representativeness of 5 years (i.e., no major technological changes are foreseen in shorter time period).

#### 5.3 Data gaps

In this PEFCR, the recommendations regarding default data to be used when no primary data are available are provided. According to European Commission (2013), "data gaps exist when there is no specific or generic data available that is sufficiently representative of the given process in the product's life cycle". Based on this definition, few data gaps are foreseen:

- Strawberry production (used in fermented milk products): in the absence of representative data, the EF-compliant dataset "*Maize (corn grain) production; technology mix, production mix; at farm, EU-28+EFTA*" (UUID: 1e3ab044-c0c1-4a1c-9a0d-7a9135851ae6) is used as proxy.
- Food additives such as flavourings, emulsifiers and vitamins: in the absence of representative data, the EF-compliant dataset "Ascorbic acid production; technology mix; production mix, at plant, EU-28+EFTA" (UUID: 2a7985b0-bf14-40ff-bf5b-70536980ce87) is used as proxy.
- Treatment of wastewater from dairy unit: in the absence of representative data, the EFcompliant dataset "Treatment of effluents from potato starch production; waste water treatment including sludge treatment; production mix, at plant, EU-28+EFTA" (UUID: 2c42b213-0e00-4d8f-8a02-bda8c3f9b652) is used as proxy.

#### 5.4 Data quality requirements

The data quality of each dataset and the total EF study shall be calculated and reported. The calculation of the DQR shall be based on the following formula:

$$DQR = \frac{\overline{Te_R} + \overline{G_R} + \overline{Ti_R} + \overline{P}}{4}$$
 [Equation 2]

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

where TeR is the Technological-Representativeness, GR is the Geographical-Representativeness, TiR is the Time-Representativeness, and P is the Precision/uncertainty. The representativeness (technological, geographical and time-related) characterises to what degree the processes and products selected are depicting the system analysed, while the precision indicates the way the data is derived and related level of uncertainty.

The next chapters define the criteria to be used for the semi-quantitative assessment of each parameter. If a dataset is constructed with company-specific activity data, company -specific emission data and secondary sub-processes, the DQR of each shall be assessed separately.

The Data Quality Rating (DQR) shall correspond to a data quality level defined as follows:

- Overall data quality rating (DQR) from 1,6: excellent quality
- Overall data quality rating (DQR) from 1,6 to 2,0: very good quality
- Overall data quality rating (DQR) from 2,0 to 3,0: good quality
- Overall data quality rating (DQR) from 3 to 4,0: fair quality
- Overall data quality rating (DQR) > 4: poor quality

This semi-quantitative assessment shall be done at least for the datasets related to the most relevant processes identified by the analysis.

#### 5.4.1 Company-specific datasets

The score of P cannot be higher than 3 while the score for TiR, TeR, and GR cannot be higher than 2 (the DQR score shall be ≤1.6). The DQR shall be calculated at the level-1 disaggregation, before any aggregation of sub-processes or elementary flows is performed. The DQR of mandatory processes shall be calculated as following:

1) Select the most relevant sub-processes and direct elementary flows that account for at least 80% of the total environmental impact of the mandatory process, listing them from the most contributing to the least contributing one.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

2) Calculate the DQR parameters TeR, TiR, GR and P for each most relevant process and each most relevant direct elementary flow. The values of each parameter shall be assigned based on Table 27.

2.a) Each most relevant elementary flow consists of the amount and elementary flow naming (e.g. 40 g carbon dioxide). For each most relevant elementary flow, evaluate the 4 DQR parameters named  $Te_{R-EF}$ ,  $Ti_{R-EF}$ ,  $G_{R-EF}$ ,  $P_{EF}$  in Table 27. It shall be evaluated for example, the timing of the flow measured, for which technology the flow was measured and in which geographical area.

2.b) Each most relevant process is a combination of activity data and the secondary dataset used. For each most relevant process, the DQR is calculated by the applicant of the PEFCR as a combination of the 4 DQR parameters for activity data and the secondary dataset: (i) Ti<sub>R</sub> and P shall be evaluated at the level of the activity data (named Ti<sub>R-AD</sub>, P<sub>AD</sub>) and (ii) Te<sub>R</sub>, Ti<sub>R</sub> and G<sub>R</sub> shall be evaluated at the level of the secondary dataset used (named Te<sub>R-SD</sub>, Ti<sub>R-SD</sub> and G<sub>R-SD</sub>) As Ti<sub>R</sub> is evaluated twice, the mathematical average of Ti<sub>R-AD</sub> and Ti<sub>R-SD</sub> represents the Ti<sub>R</sub> of the most relevant process.

3) The applicant of the PEFCR shall calculate the Te<sub>R</sub>, Ti<sub>R</sub>, G<sub>R</sub> and P of the mandatory process dataset as the weighted average of the parameters for each most relevant process and direct elementary flow, based on their relative environmental contribution to the total single score (weighted using 13 EF impact categories, with the exclusion of the 3 toxicity-related ones). For example, the most-relevant processes and elementary flows account for 82.5% of the total environmental impact (single score). The 82.5% is rescaled to 100% together with the weights for the processes and elementary flows contributing. These are the weights used to average the Te<sub>R</sub>, Ti<sub>R</sub>, G<sub>R</sub> and P.

4) The applicant of the PEFCR shall the total DQR of the newly developed dataset using the equation 2, where  $\overline{\text{Te}_{R}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{G}_{R}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{Ti}_{R}}$ ,  $\overline{P}$  are the weighted average calculated as specified in point 3).

$$DQR = \frac{\overline{Te_R} + \overline{G_R} + \overline{Ti_R} + \overline{P}}{4}$$
 [Equation 3]

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



connect to the world of dairy

Table 27: How to assess the value of the DQR parameter for the processes for which company specific values are used.

	P <sub>EF</sub> and P <sub>AD</sub>	Ti <sub>R-EF</sub> and Ti <sub>R-AD</sub>	Ti <sub>R-SD</sub>	Te <sub>R-EF</sub> and Te <sub>R-SD</sub>	G <sub>R-EF</sub> and G <sub>R-SD</sub>
1	Measured/calculated and	The data refers to the	The EF report	The elementary	The data(set)
	externally verified	most recent annual	publication	flows and the	reflects the exact
		administration period	date happens	secondary	geography where
		with respect to the EF	within the	dataset reflect	the process
		report publication date	time validity of	exactly the	modelled in the
			the dataset	technology of the	newly created
				newly developed	dataset takes place
				dataset	
2	Measured/calculated and	The data refers to	The EF report	The elementary	The data(set) partly
	internally verified, plausibility	maximum 2 annual	publication	flows and the	reflects the
	checked by reviewer	administration periods	date happens	secondary	geography where
		with respect to the EF	not later than	dataset is a proxy	the process
		report publication date	2 years	of the technology	modelled in the
			beyond the	of the newly	newly created
			time validity of	developed	dataset takes place
<u> </u>			the dataset	dataset	
3	Measured/calculated/literature	The data refers to	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
	and plausibility not checked by	maximum three annual			
	reviewer OR Qualified estimate	administration periods			
	based on calculations	with respect to the EF			
	plausibility checked by	report publication date			
	reviewer				
4-	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
5					

#### Table 28: Criteria for the data quality assessment

Quality level	Quality rating	TiR	Р	TeR	GR	EoL
Very good	1	Data are not older than 0 years as expressed in the ILCD field ("data set valid until" and the difference between the "valid until" and the "reference year" shall not be higher than 8 years, confirmed by the reviewer(s)	≤ 10%	Technology aspects have been modelled exactly as described in the title and metadata, without any significant need for improvement	The processes included in the dataset are fully representative for the geography stated in the "location" indicated in the metadata	The EoL formula is implemented in the entire dataset (foreground and all background processes)
Good	2	Data are not older than 3 years as expressed in the ILCD field ("data set valid until" and the difference between the "valid until" and the "reference year" shall not be higher than 8	10% to 20%	Technology aspects are very similar to what described in the title and metadata with need for limited improvements. For example: use of generic technologies'	The processes included in the dataset are well representative for the geography stated in the "location" indicated in the metadata	The EoL formula is implemented in foreground at level-1 aggregated processes

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

Quality level	Quality rating	TiR	Р	TeR	GR	EoL
		years, confirmed by the reviewer(s)		data instead of modelling all the single plants.		
Fair	3	Data are not older than 6 years as expressed in the ILCD field ("data set valid until" and the difference between the "valid until" and the "reference year" shall not be higher than 8 years, confirmed by the reviewer(s)	20% to 30%	Technology aspects are similar to what described in the title and metadata but merits improvements. Some of the relevant processes are not modelled with specific data but using proxies.	The processes included in the dataset are sufficiently representative for the geography stated in the ""location" indicated in the metadata. E.g. the represented country differs but has a very similar electricity grid mix profile,	The EoL formula is not implemented but an alternative EoL formula or approach is implemented, clearly reported and documented
Poor	4	Data are not older than 10 years as expressed in the ILCD field ("data set valid until" and the difference between the "valid until" and the "reference year" shall not be higher than 8 years, confirmed by the reviewer(s)	30% to 50%	Technology aspects are different from what described in the title and metadata. Requires major improvements.	The processes included in the dataset are only partly representative for the geography stated in the "location" indicated in the metadata. E.g. the represented country differs and has a substantially different electricity grid mix profile	An EoL formula or approach is implemented, but it is not clearly reported and transparently documented
Very poor	5	Data are older than 10 years as expressed in the ILCD field ("data set valid until" and the difference between the "valid until" and the "reference year" shall not be higher than 8 years, confirmed by the reviewer(s)	> 50%	Technology aspects are completely different from what described in the title and metadata. Substantial improvement is necessary	The processes included in the dataset are not representative for the geography stated in the ""location" indicated in the metadata.	No EoL formula or approach is implemented

#### 5.5 Data needs matrix (DNM)

All processes required to model the product and outside the list of mandatory company-specific shall be evaluated using the Data Needs Matrix (Table 29). The DNM shall be used by the PEFCR applicant to evaluate which data is needed and shall be used within the modelling of its PEF, depending on the level of influence the applicant (company) has on the specific process. The following three cases can be found in the DNM and are explained below:

- 1. Situation 1: the process is run by the company applying the PEFCR
- 2. **Situation 2**: the process is not run by the company applying the PEFCR but the company has access to (company-)specific information.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



3. **Situation 3**: the process is not run by the company applying the PEFCR and this company does not have access to (company-)specific information.

		Most relevant process	Other process		
rocess run by applying the CR	Option 1	Provide company-specific data (as requested in the PEFCR) and create a company specific dataset partially disaggregated at least at level 1 (DQR ≤1.6). Calculate the DQR values (for each criteria + total)			
<mark>Situation 1</mark> : p the company PEF	Option 2		Use default secondary dataset in PEFCR, in aggregated form (DQR ≤3.0). Use the default DQR values		
applying the S information		Provide company-specific data (as red specific dataset partially disagg Calculate the DQR val	Provide company-specific data (as requested in the PEFCR) and create a company specific dataset partially disaggregated at least at level 1 (DQR ≤1.6). Calculate the DQR values (for each criteria + total)		
iss <u>not</u> run by the company ccess to (company-)specific	Option 2	Use company-specific activity data for transport (distance), and substitute the sub-processes used for electricity mix and transport with supply-chain specific PEF compliant datasets (DQR ≤3.0).* Re-evaluate the DQR criteria within the product specific context			
Situation 2: proce PEFCR but with a	Option 3		Use company-specific activity data for transport (distance), and substitute the sub- processes used for electricity mix and transport with supply-chain specific PEF compliant datasets (DQR $\leq$ 4.0).		
Situation 3: process <u>not</u> run by the company applying the PEFCR and	Option 1	Use default secondary dataset, in aggregated form (DQR ≤3.0). Re-evaluate the DQR criteria within the product specific context			

Table 29: Data Needs Matrix (DNM)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



	Most relevant process	Other process
Option 2		Use default secondary dataset in PEFCR, in aggregated form (DQR ≤4.0) Use the default DQR values

Each PEF study done in accordance with this PEFCR shall provide in the PEF study a diagram indicating the organizational boundary, to highlight those activities under the control of the organization and those falling into Situation 1, 2 or 3 of the data need matrix.

#### 5.5.1 Processes in situation 1

For each process in situation 1 there are two possible options:

- The process is in the list of most relevant processes as specified in the PEFCR or is not in the list of most relevant process, but still the company wants to provide company specific data (option 1);
- The process is not the list of most relevant processes as specified in the PEFCR and the company prefers to use a secondary dataset (option 2).

#### Situation 1/Option 1

For all processes run by the company and where the company applying the PEFCR uses company specific data. The DQR of the newly developed dataset shall be evaluated as described in section 5.4

#### Situation 1/Option 2

For the non-most relevant processes only, if the applicant decides to model the process without collecting company-specific data, then the applicant shall use the secondary dataset listed in the PEFCR together with its default DQR parameters listed.

If the default dataset to be used for the process is not listed in the PEFCR, the applicant of the PEFCR shall take the DQR parameters from the metadata of the original dataset.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 5.5.2 Processes in situation 2

When a process is not run by the company applying the PEFCR, but there is access to company-specific data, then there are two possible options:

- The company applying the PEFCR has access to extensive supplier-specific information and wants to create a new EF-compliant dataset<sup>9</sup> (Option 1);
- The company has some supplier-specific information and want to make some minimum changes (Option 2).
- The process is not in the list of most relevant processes and the company prefers to use a secondary dataset (option 3).

#### Situation 2/Option 1

For all processes run by the company and where the company applying the PEFCR uses company specific data. The DQR of the newly developed dataset shall be evaluated as described in section B.5.4.1.

#### Situation 2/Option 2

Company-specific activity data for transport are used and the sub-processes used for electricity mix and transport with supply-chain specific PEF compliant datasets are substituted starting from the default secondary dataset provided in the PEFCR.

Please note that, the PEFCR lists all dataset names together with the UUID of their aggregated dataset. For this situation, the disaggregated version of the dataset is required.

The applicant of the PEFCR shall make the DQR values of the dataset used context-specific by reevaluating  $Te_R$  and  $Ti_{R,}$  using the table(s) provided. The criteria  $G_R$  shall be lowered by 30%<sup>10</sup> and the criteria P shall keep the original value.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The review of the newly created dataset is optional

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  In situation 2, option 2 it is proposed to lower the parameter G<sub>R</sub> by 30% in order to incentivize the use of company specific information and reward the efforts of the company in increasing the geographic representativeness of a secondary dataset through the substitution of the electricity mixes and of the distance and means of transportation.



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### Situation 2/Option 3

For the non-most relevant processes, the applicant may use the corresponding secondary dataset listed in the PEFCR together with its DQR values.

If the default dataset to be used for the process is not listed in the PEFCR, the applicant of the PEFCR shall take the DQR values from the original dataset.

Table 30: How to assign the values to parameters in the DQR formula when secondary datasets are used

	TiR	TeR	G <sub>R</sub>
1	The EF report publication date happens within the time validity of the dataset	The technology used in the EF study is exactly the same as the one in scope of the dataset	The process modelled in the EF study takes place in the country the dataset is valid for
2	The EF report publication date happens not later than 2 years beyond the time validity of the dataset	The technologies used in the EF study is included in the mix of technologies in scope of the dataset	The process modelled in the EF study takes place in the geographical region (e.g. Europe) the dataset is valid for
3	The EF report publication date happens not later than 4 years beyond the time validity of the dataset	The technologies used in the EF study are only partly included in the scope of the dataset	The process modelled in the EF study takes place in one of the geographical regions the dataset is valid for
4	The EF report publication date happens not later than 6 years beyond the time validity of the dataset	The technologies used in the EF study are similar to those included in the scope of the dataset	The process modelled in the EF study takes place in a country that is not included in the geographical region(s) the dataset is valid for, but sufficient similarities are estimated based on expert judgement.
5	The EF report publication date happens later than 6 after the time validity of the dataset	The technologies used in the EF study are different from those included in the scope of the dataset	The process modelled in the EF study takes place in a different country than the one the dataset is valid for

#### 5.5.3 Processes in situation 3

When a process is not run by the company applying the PEFCR and the company does not have access to company-specific data, there are two possible options:

- It is in the list of most relevant processes (situation 3, option 1)
- It is not in the list of most relevant processes (situation 3, option 2)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### Situation 3/Option 1

In this case, the applicant of the PEFCR shall make the DQR values of the dataset used context-specific by re-evaluating  $Te_R$ ,  $Ti_R$  and  $G_r$ , using the table(s) provided. The criteria P shall keep the original value.

#### Situation 3/Option 2

For the non-most relevant processes, the applicant shall use the corresponding secondary dataset listed in the PEFCR together with its DQR values.

If the default dataset to be used for the process is not listed in the PEFCR, the applicant of the PEFCR shall take the DQR values from the original dataset.

#### 5.6 Which datasets to use?

The secondary datasets to be used by the applicant are those listed in the MS Excel Annex "PEFCR-DairyProducts\_Version1\_Annex-6\_2018-04-25- Life cycle inventory.xlsx" available at: <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR\_OEFSR.htm">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR\_OEFSR.htm</a>. Whenever a dataset needed to calculate the PEF-profile is not among those listed in this PEFCR, then the applicant shall choose between the following options (in hierarchical order):

- Use an EF-compliant dataset available on one of the following nodes:
  - <u>https://lcdn.quantis-software.com/PEF/</u> (raw milk, fodder, fertilisers, pesticides)
  - o <u>http://lcdn.blonkconsultants.nl</u> (feed crops and compounds)
  - <u>http://lcdn.thinkstep.com/Node</u> (energy, transports, packaging materials, waste treatment, wastewater treatment)
  - o <u>http://ecoinvent.lca-data.com</u> (chemicals not used as fertilisers or pesticides)
  - o <u>http://soda.rdc.yp5.be/index.xhtml?stock=FEVE\_EF\_comp</u> (packaging materials)
  - o <u>http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node/</u>
  - o <u>http://lcdn-cepe.org</u>
- Use an EF-compliant dataset available in a free or commercial source;

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



- Use another EF-compliant dataset considered to be a good proxy. In such case this information shall be included in the "limitation" section of the PEF report.
- Use an ILCD-entry level-compliant dataset that has been modelled according to the modelling requirements included in the Guidance version 6.3. In such case this information shall be included in the "limitations" section of the PEF report.
- Use an ILCD-entry level-compliant dataset. In such case this information shall be included in the "data gap" section of the PEF report.

#### 5.7 How to calculate the average DQR of the study

In order to calculate the average DQR of the EF study, the applicant shall calculate separately the TeR, TiR, GR and P for the EF study as the weighted average of all most relevant processes, based on their relative environmental contribution to the total single score (excluding the 3 toxicity-related ones). The calculation rules explained in section 5.4 shall be used.

#### 5.8 Allocation rules

#### 5.8.1 Multi-functionality decision hierarchy

The following decision hierarchy recommended by the PEF Guide 2013 is in accordance with ISO 14044 (ISO 2006a), the international reference standard for LCA.

**Step 1**: Wherever possible, allocation should be avoided by either:

- Dividing the unit process to be allocated into two or more sub-processes and collecting the input and output data related to these sub-processes
- Expanding the product system (known as system expansion) to include the additional functions related to the co-products

**Step 2:** Where allocation cannot be avoided, the inputs and outputs of the system should be partitioned between its different products or functions in a way that reflects the underlying physical relationships between them

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

**Step 3:** Where physical relationship alone cannot be established or used as the basis for allocation, the inputs should be allocated between the products and functions in a way that reflects other relationships between them. For example, input and output data might be allocated between co-products in proportion to the economic value of the products.

## <u>Stages with multifunctional products and multiproduct processes in the life cycle of dairy products</u>

The following life cycle stages involve dealing with multi-functionality:

- i) Raw milk production at the dairy farm
- ii) Dairy products processing at the dairy unit
- iii) Transportation from retail to consumer home
- iv) Materials recycling, or incineration with energy recovery at the end-of-life.

#### 5.8.2 Multi-functionality at the dairy farm

At the dairy farm, the following outputs are considered:

- Raw milk
- Dairy products produced on farm
- Live animals leaving the farm (for slaughter or further fattening or replacement of dairy cattle), including dry culled cows and calves
- Dead animals leaving the farm
- Manure
- Sold non-dairy products (feed and arable products)
- Energy produced on the farm

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

In accordance with the PEFCR Guidance v6.3, upstream burdens and activities are allocated to raw milk and live animals based on the IDF biophysical allocation method (IDF 2015). Manure and dead animals are considered by default as residues (no economic value) with no upstream burden allocated. However, when manure is exported and has an economic value at farm gate, it is considered a co-product. Therefore, an economic allocation of the upstream burden shall be used for manure by using the relative economic value of manure compared to milk and live animals at the farm gate. Biophysical allocation based on IDF rules shall be applied to allocate the remaining emissions between milk and live animals. When manure is treated as waste (e.g. landfilled), the end of life formula shall be applied. If manure is treated in an anaerobic digester at the farm, manure shall be regarded residual when it goes to the anaerobic digester. The emissions of the anaerobic digestion process shall be allocated to the electricity and heat produced (and used at the farm or sold out of the farm). The residues of the anaerobic digestion process that are used as a fertiliser at the farm shall be regarded residual without allocation of the impacts of the digestion process. In the case that the residues of the anaerobic digestion process are exported, the same allocation rules that are used for untreated manure shall apply.

The allocation factor (AF) for raw milk is calculated as follows:

$$AF = 1 - 6.04 \ x \ \frac{M_{meat}}{M_{milk}}$$

Equation 4: Allocation factor between milk and meat at the dairy farm (IDF 2015)

Where Mmeat is the mass of live weight of all animals sold including bull calves and culled mature animals per year, and Mmilk is the mass of fat and protein corrected milk (FPCM) sold per year (corrected to 4% fat and 3.3% protein).

The FPCM (corrected to 4% fat and 3.3% protein) is calculated with Equation 5:

$$FPCM\left(\frac{kg}{yr}\right) = Production\left(\frac{kg}{yr}\right) \times (0.1226 \times True \ Fat\% + 0.0776 \times True \ Protein\% + 0.2534)$$

Equation 5: Fat and protein corrected milk (IDF 2015)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 5.8.3 Multi-product processes at dairy processing

Dairy manufacturing plants usually produce more than one product, because the fat content in raw milk exceeds the product specification for milk powders or fresh milk products (e.g. market milk, yoghurt or dairy desserts). The excess milk fat can be further processed into butter or anhydrous milk fat (AMF). Another typical example of co-production in the dairy industry is the production of cheese and whey. This creates the need to allocate the environmental impact of production and transport of raw milk and processing to different dairy co-products produced in a specific dairy production plant. In addition, many of the process units (e.g. pasteurization, separation or spray drying) are subsequently used to process unit within the plant is resource-intensive and in some cases impossible due to insufficient metering on a process unit level. In some cases, resource use or emission data are only available on a 'whole-of-factory' basis.

#### Allocation of raw milk and transport from farm to processing plant

Allocation of the environmental footprint embodied in the raw milk as it comes into the processing plant (i.e. including farm to processing plant transport) should be done by mass allocation using the **dry weight** (i.e. dry matter content) of the product under study and its co-products (IDF 2015).

More concentrated products such as butter or milk powder thus get allocated a higher proportion of the processing impacts than less concentrated products, since a greater quantity of raw milk has gone through upstream processing operations. This is reasonable given that for dairy products upstream processing operations (heat treatment, skimming, etc.) are those that require the most energy.

The allocation factor (AF) can be calculated for each product (i) using the following equation:

$$AF_{i} = \frac{DM_{i} \times Q_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (DM_{i} \times Q_{i})}$$

Equation 6: Formula for the allocation factor based on dry matter content Where:

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

- AFi allocation factor for product i;
- DMi dry matter content of product i (expressed as % dry matter or as weight by mass of dry matter/weight by mass of product i). This is semi-specific data: the values proposed are default values (see Annex 5);
- Qi quantity of product i output to the production site or from the unit operation (in kg of product i).

#### Example

In this hypothetical example, a dairy company wants to calculate the carbon footprint of cream. The dairy company produces 25000 tonnes of cream (with 42% dry matter) and 6737 tonnes of skimmed milk powder (with 96% dry matter) using 100000 tonnes of raw milk and 273000 GJ of heat.

Because data are only available for the whole dairy unit, allocation of raw milk is done based on the milk solid content of the cream and the skimmed milk powder (i.e. Equation 6).

The carbon footprint of 1 tonne of raw milk is known to be 1100 kg  $CO_2$ -eq and the carbon footprint of 1 GJ heat 66.9 kg  $CO_2$ -eq.



Figure: Example of allocation of co-products during manufacturing.

- The allocation factor of cream using Equation 6:

$$AF_{cream}:\frac{42*25\ 000}{42*25\ 000+96*6735}=0.62$$

- The calculated Carbon Footprint for cream is:

$$CF_{cream}: \ \frac{100000 * 1100 * 0.62 + 273000 * 66.9 * 0.62}{25000} = 3181 \ kg \ CO_2 eq \ per \ tonne \ cream$$

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### Processing allocation - situation A: detailed data are available on specific processes

Energy use, and materials others than raw milk and emissions at the factory shall be allocated as much as possible to specific processing stages and product flows (step 1 in ISO 14044). If several products result from a single dairy flow in a specific joint process unit (i.e. production of butter and buttermilk; production of cheese and whey; production of skimmed milk and cream), allocation shall be based on dry matter content (Equation 6)

# Processing allocation - situation B: detailed data are available on some processes and co-products, while other data are available at the dairy unit level

In this case, detailed process and co-product data shall be assigned to specific products first, subtract assigned detailed process and co-product data from the factory total and then allocate the remainder based on milk solids (i.e. find where the milk solids go in the various products and use the distribution of the milk solids as the basis for distribution of the environmental burdens).

#### Processing allocation - situation C: data is only available at the company or dairy unit level

When data is only available at the level of a company or of a whole dairy unit, i.e. only the inputs (e.g. raw milk, energy) and the outputs (e.g. various dairy products) of the entire operation are known, all energy use shall be allocated proportionally to the dry weight (milk solids content) of the co-products. In almost all processing scenarios, energy goes primarily toward heating, cooling and drying processes. In that case, the milk solids content (dry matter) of the final products will properly reflect the share in energy use. No distinction is made regarding types of milk solids (i.e. protein, fat, lactose), since in the case of heating, cooling and drying only the amount of milk solids in the product influences the process, but not the type of milk solids. Other inputs that can generally be directly associated with a specific product (e.g. packaging, ingredients) shall not be allocated between co-products. For all other material inputs where allocation is required (e.g. water use, chemicals, cleaning agents, wastewater) allocation shall be done based on the dry matter content of all co-products.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### Default values for the dry matter content of dairy products

The exact dry matter content of the real product assessed needs to be used for the calculation of the PEFCR. In the rare cases where there is no access to the primary data of the dairy product, default values for the dry matter content of dairy products may be used. These default values for the dry matter content of dairy products may be used. These default values for the dry matter content of dairy products are provided in Annex V. The use of a default value shall be an exceptional case, and the correct subcategory needs to be chosen. Therefore, when primary data on the actual product assessed are unavailable, information shall be provided on data unavailability and reasons for it.

#### 5.8.4 Transportation of raw milk and distribution of packaged dairy products

For transportation of raw milk to the dairy unit and transportation of packaged dairy products to the sales point, allocation on mass (i.e. fresh mass) shall be applied.

#### 5.8.5 Transportation from retail to consumer home

Transportation of goods in a personal car requires allocating the journey to the different products transported. As default approach, allocation based on the volume occupied is applied, as detailed in section 6.4.

#### 5.9 Electricity modelling

The guidelines in this section shall only be used for the processes where company-specific information is collected (situation 1 / Option 1 & 2 / Option 1 of the DNM).

The following electricity mix shall be used in hierarchical order:

- (i) Supplier-specific electricity product shall be used if:
  - (a) available, and
  - (b) the set of minimum criteria to ensure the contractual instruments are reliable is met.
- (ii) The supplier-specific total electricity mix shall be used if:
  - (a) available, and

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

- (b) the set of minimum criteria that to ensure the contractual instruments are reliable is met.
- (iii) As a last option the "country-specific residual grid mix, consumption mix" shall be used (available at <u>http://lcdn.thinkstep.com/Node/</u>). Country-specific means the country in which the life cycle stage occurs. This can be an EU country or non-EU country. The residual grid mix characterizes the unclaimed, untracked or publicly shared electricity. This prevents double counting with the use of supplier-specific electricity mixes in (i) and (ii).

Note: if for a country, there is a 100% tracking system in place, case (i) shall be applied.

The environmental integrity of the use of supplier-specific electricity mix depends on ensuring that contractual instruments (for tracking) **reliably and uniquely convey claims to consumers**. Without this, the PEF lacks the accuracy and consistency necessary to drive product/corporate electricity procurement decisions and accurate consumer (buyer of electricity) claims. Therefore, a set of minimum criteria that relate to the integrity of the contractual instruments as reliable conveyers of environmental footprint information has been identified. They represent the minimum features necessary to use supplier-specific mix within PEF studies.

#### Set of minimal criteria to ensure contractual instruments from suppliers:

A supplier-specific electricity product/mix may only be used when the applicant ensures that any contractual instrument meets the criteria specified below. If contractual instruments do not meet the criteria, then 'country-specific residual grid mix, consumption mix' shall be used in the modelling.

A contractual instrument used for electricity modelling shall:

- 1. Convey attributes:
  - Convey the energy type mix associated with the unit of electricity produced.
  - The energy type mix shall be calculated based on delivered electricity, incorporating certificates sourced and retired on behalf of its customers. Electricity from facilities for which the attributes have been sold off (via contracts or certificates) shall be characterized as having the environmental attributes of the country residual consumption mix where the facility is located.
- 2. Be a unique claim:

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

- Be the only instruments that carry the environmental attribute claim associated with that quantity of electricity generated.
- Be tracked and redeemed, retired, or cancelled by or on behalf of the company (e.g. by an audit of contracts, third-party certification, or may be handled automatically through other disclosure registries, systems, or mechanisms).
- 3. Be as close as possible to the period to which the contractual instrument is applied.

#### Modelling 'country-specific residual grid mix, consumption mix':

Datasets for residual grid mix, per energy type, per country and per voltage have been purchased by the European Commission and are available in the dedicated node (<u>http://lcdn.thinkstep.com/Node/</u>). In case the necessary dataset is not available, an alternative dataset shall be chosen according to the procedure described previously. If no dataset is available, the following approach may be used:

Determine the country consumption mix (e.g. X% of MWh produced with hydro energy, Y% of MWh produced with coal power plant) and combined them with LCI datasets per energy type and country/region (e.g. LCI dataset for the production of 1MWh hydro energy in Switzerland):

- Activity data related to non-EU country consumption mix per detailed energy type shall be determined based on:
  - o Domestic production mix per production technologies
  - o Import quantity and from which neighbouring countries
  - o Transmission losses
  - Distribution losses
  - Type of fuel supply (share of resources used, by import and / or domestic supply)
  - These data can be found in the publications of the International Energy Agency (IEA).
- Available LCI datasets per fuel technologies in the node. The LCI datasets available are generally specific to a country or a region in terms of:
  - Fuel supply (share of resources used, by import and / or domestic supply),
  - Energy carrier properties (e.g. element and energy contents)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



• Technology standards of power plants regarding efficiency, firing technology, flue-gas desulphurisation, NOx removal and de-dusting.

#### **Allocation rules:**

Electricity use in the different processes should be allocated following the rules described in section 5.8. If the consumed electricity comes from more than one electricity mix, each mix source shall be used in terms of its proportion in the total kWh consumed. For example, if a fraction of this total kWh consumed is coming from a specific supplier a supplier-specific electricity mix shall be used for this part. See below for on-site electricity use.

A specific electricity type can be allocated to one specific product in the following conditions:

- a) The production (and related electricity consumption) of a product occurs in a separate site (building), the energy type physical related to this separated site can be used.
- b) The production (and related electricity consumption) of a product occurs in a shared space with specific energy metering or purchase records or electricity bills, the product specific information (measure, record, bill) can be used.
- c) All the products produced in the specific plant are supplied with a public available PEF study. The company who wants to make the claim shall make all PEF studies available. The allocation rule applied shall be described in the PEF study, consistently applied in all PEF studies connected to the site and verified. An example is the 100% allocation of a greener electricity mix to a specific product.

#### **On-site electricity generation:**

If on-site electricity production is equal to the site own consumption, two situations apply:

- No contractual instruments have been sold to a third party: the own electricity mix (combined with LCI datasets) shall be modelled.
- Contractual instruments have been sold to a third party: the 'country-specific residual grid mix, consumption mix' (combined with LCI datasets) shall be used.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

If electricity is produced in excess of the amount consumed on-site within the defined system boundary and is sold to, for example, the electricity grid, this system can be seen as a multifunctional situation. The system will provide two functions (e.g. product + electricity) and the following rules shall be followed:

- If possible, apply subdivision.
- Subdivision applies both to separate electricity productions or to a common electricity production where you can allocate based on electricity amounts the upstream and direct emissions to your own consumption and to the share you sell out of your company (e.g. if a company has a wind mill on its production site and export 30% of the produced electricity, emissions related to 70% of produced electricity should be accounted in the PEF study.
- If not possible, direct substitution shall be used. The country-specific residual consumption electricity mix shall be used as substitution<sup>11</sup>.
- Subdivision is considered as not possible when upstream impacts or direct emissions are closely related to the product itself.

#### 5.10 Climate change modelling



The impact category 'climate change' shall be modelled considering three sub-categories:

- Climate change fossil: This sub-category includes emissions from peat and calcination/carbonation of limestone. The emission flows ending with '(fossil)' (e.g., 'carbon dioxide (fossil)'' and 'methane (fossil)') shall be used if available.
- 2. Climate change biogenic: This sub-category covers carbon emissions to air (CO<sub>2</sub>, CO and CH<sub>4</sub>) originating from the oxidation and/or reduction of biomass by means of its transformation or degradation (e.g. combustion, digestion, composting, landfilling) and CO<sub>2</sub> uptake from the atmosphere through photosynthesis during biomass growth i.e. corresponding to the carbon content of products, biofuels or aboveground plant residues such as litter and dead wood. Carbon

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For some countries, this option is a best case rather than a worst case.



#### connect to the world of dairy

exchanges from native forests<sup>12</sup> shall be modelled under sub-category 3 (incl. connected soil emissions, derived products, residues). The emission flows ending with '(biogenic)' shall be used. All biogenic carbon emissions and removals shall be modelled separately. However, note that the corresponding characterisation factors for biogenic CO2 uptakes and emissions within the EF impact assessment method are set to zero. For intermediate products only (i.e. dried whey products), the biogenic carbon content at factory gate (physical content and allocated content) shall be reported as 'additional technical information'.

3. Climate change – land use and land transformation: This sub-category accounts for carbon uptakes and emissions (CO<sub>2</sub>, CO and CH<sub>4</sub>) originating from carbon stock changes caused by land use change and land use. This sub-category includes biogenic carbon exchanges from deforestation, road construction or other soil activities (incl. soil carbon emissions). For native forests, all related CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are included and modelled under this sub-category (including connected soil emissions, products derived from native forest<sup>13</sup> and residues), while their CO<sub>2</sub> uptake is excluded. The emission flows ending with '(land use change)' shall be used.

For land use change, all carbon emissions and removals shall be modelled following the modelling guidelines of PAS 2050:2011 (BSI 2011) and the supplementary document PAS2050-1:2012 (BSI 2012) for horticultural products. PAS 2050:2011 (BSI 2011): Large emissions of GHGs can result as a consequence of land use change. Removals as a direct result of land use change (and not as a result of long-term management practices) do not usually occur, although it is recognized that this could happen in specific circumstances. Examples of direct land use change are the conversion of land used for growing crops to industrial use or conversion from forestland to cropland. All forms of land use change that result in emissions or removals are to be included. Indirect land use change refers to such conversions of land use as a consequence of changes in land use elsewhere. While GHG emissions also arise from indirect land use change, the methods

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Native forests – represents native or long-term, non-degraded forests. Definition adapted from table 8 in Annex V C(2010)3751 to Directive 2009/28/EC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Following the instantaneous oxidation approach in IPCC 2013 (Chapter 2).



#### connect to the world of dairy

and data requirements for calculating these emissions are not fully developed. Therefore, the assessment of emissions arising from indirect land use change is not included.

The GHG emissions and removals arising from direct land use change shall be assessed for any input to the life cycle of a product originating from that land and shall be included in the assessment of GHG emissions. The emissions arising from the product shall be assessed on the basis of the default land use change values provided in PAS 2050:2011 Annex C, unless better data is available. For countries and land use changes not included in this annex, the emissions arising from the product shall be assessed using the included GHG emissions and removals occurring as a result of direct land use change in accordance with the relevant sections of the IPCC (2006). The assessment of the impact of land use change shall include all direct land use change occurring not more than 20 years, or a single harvest period, prior to undertaking the assessment (whichever is the longer). The total GHG emissions and removals arising from direct land use change over the period shall be included in the quantification of GHG emissions of products arising from this land on the basis of equal allocation to each year of the period.

1) Where it can be demonstrated that the land use change occurred more than 20 years prior to the assessment being carried out, no emissions from land use change should be included in the assessment.

2) Where the timing of land use change cannot be demonstrated to be more than 20 years, or a single harvest period, prior to making the assessment (whichever is the longer), it shall be assumed that the land use change occurred on 1 January of either:

- the earliest year in which it can be demonstrated that the land use change had occurred; or
- on 1 January of the year in which the assessment of GHG emissions and removals is being carried out.

The following hierarchy shall apply when determining the GHG emissions and removals arising from land use change occurring not more than 20 years or a single harvest period, prior to making the assessment (whichever is the longer):

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

- where the country of production is known and the previous land use is known, the GHG emissions and removals arising from land use change shall be those resulting from the change in land use from the previous land use to the current land use in that country (additional guidelines on the calculations can be found in PAS 2050-1:2012);
- where the country of production is known, but the former land use is not known, the GHG emissions arising from land use change shall be the estimate of average emissions from the land use change for that crop in that country (additional guidelines on the calculations can be found in PAS 2050-1:2012);
- 3. where neither the country of production nor the former land use is known, the GHG emissions arising from land use change shall be the weighted average of the average land use change emissions of that commodity in the countries in which it is grown.

Knowledge of the prior land use can be demonstrated using a number of sources of information, such as satellite imagery and land survey data. Where records are not available, local knowledge of prior land use can be used. Countries in which a crop is grown can be determined from import statistics, and a cut-off threshold of not less than 90% of the weight of imports may be applied. Data sources, location and timing of land use change associated with inputs to products shall be reported.

The sum of the three sub-categories shall be reported.

The sub-category 'Climate change-biogenic' shall be reported separately

The sub-category 'Climate change-land use and land transformation' shall be reported separately.

#### 5.11 Modelling of wastes and recycled content

The waste of products used during the manufacturing, distribution, retail, the use stage or after use shall be included in the overall modelling of the life cycle of the organisation. Overall, this should be modelled and reported at the life cycle stage where the waste occurs. This section gives guidelines on how to model the End-of-Life of products as well as the recycled content.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



The Circular Footprint Formula is used to model the End-of-Life of products as well as the recycled content and is a combination of "material + energy + disposal", i.e.:

 $\text{Material} \ (1-R_1)E_V + R_1 \times \left(AE_{recycled} + (1-A)E_V \times \frac{Q_{Sin}}{Q_p}\right) + (1-A)R_2 \times \left(E_{recyclingEoL} - E_V^* \times \frac{Q_{Sout}}{Q_P}\right)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Energy} \ \ (1-B)R_3 \times (E_{ER}-LHV \times X_{ER,heat} \times E_{SE,heat}-LHV \times X_{ER,elec} \times E_{SE,elec}) \end{array} \\ \end{array}$ 

Disposal  $(1 - R_2 - R_3) \times E_D$ 

With the following parameters:

A: allocation factor of burdens and credits between supplier and user of recycled materials.

**B**: allocation factor of energy recovery processes: it applies both to burdens and credits. It shall be set to zero for all PEF studies.

**Qs**<sub>in</sub>: quality of the ingoing secondary material, i.e. the quality of the recycled material at the point of substitution.

**Qs**<sub>out</sub>: quality of the outgoing secondary material, i.e. the quality of the recyclable material at the point of substitution.

**Q**<sub>p</sub>: quality of the primary material, i.e. quality of the virgin material.

 $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ : it is the proportion of material in the input to the production that has been recycled from a previous system.

**R**<sub>2</sub>: it is the proportion of the material in the product that will be recycled (or reused) in a subsequent system. R2 shall therefore take into account the inefficiencies in the collection and recycling (or reuse) processes. R2 shall be measured at the output of the recycling plant.

 $\mathbf{R}_3$ : it is the proportion of the material in the product that is used for energy recovery at EoL.

**E**<sub>recycled</sub> (**E**<sub>rec</sub>): specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) arising from the recycling process of the recycled (reused) material, including collection, sorting and transportation process.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

**E**<sub>recyclingEoL</sub> (**E**<sub>recEoL</sub>): specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) arising from the recycling process at EoL, including collection, sorting and transportation process.

**Ev**: specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) arising from the acquisition and preprocessing of virgin material.

**E**\*v: specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) arising from the acquisition and preprocessing of virgin material assumed to be substituted by recyclable materials.

**E**<sub>ER</sub>: specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) arising from the energy recovery process (e.g. incineration with energy recovery, landfill with energy recovery, ...).

E<sub>SE,heat</sub> and E<sub>SE,elec</sub>: specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) that would have arisen from the specific substituted energy source, heat and electricity respectively.

 $E_D$ : specific emissions and resources consumed (per functional unit) arising from disposal of waste material at the EoL of the analysed product, without energy recovery.

 $X_{ER,heat}$  and  $X_{ER,elec}$ : the efficiency of the energy recovery process for both heat and electricity. LHV: Lower Heating Value of the material in the product that is used for energy recovery. [Within the respective chapters, the following parameters shall be provided in the PEFCR:

- Default A values: refer to Annex C of PEFCR Guidance version 6.3.
- Default quality ratios: refer to Annex C of PEFCR Guidance version 6.3.
- Default R1 values for all default material datasets (in case no company-specific values are available): refer to Annex C of PEFCR Guidance version 6.3. They shall be set to 0% when no application-specific data is available.
- Default R2 values to be used in case no company-specific values are available: refer to Annex C of PEFCR Guidance version 6.3

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



### 6 Life cycle stages

### 6.1 Raw milk supply

#### 6.1.1 Raw milk production

In situations where raw milk production does not fall in situation 1 or 2 of the DNM, the following guidance shall be followed.



**Step 1: Identification of dairy systems relevant to the supply chain**. If it can be determined that a specific dairy system, or combination of dairy systems is relevant to the supply chain of raw milk used in the dairy product(s) assessed, secondary data representative of such dairy systems can be used (or combined) providing they comply with all requirements from this PEFCR (e.g. emissions modelling, allocation, land use change. The selection of those systems should be properly justified and documented.

The different dairy systems, relevant to the country of production, available from the EF-compliant datasets shall be used:

- **Grazing** (excluding organic) (e.g. "*Cow milk, grazing system; at farm, per kg FPCM; FR*", UUID: 47edeff1-bb72-4c59-8831-881bd6dfd411)
- Mixed systems (excluding organic) (e.g. "Cow milk, mixed system; at farm, per kg FPCM; NL", UUID: 0dbd7f29-7e3f-43bc-b7be-01be98a40165)
- **Non-grazing** (excluding organic) (e.g. "*Cow milk, non-grazing system; at farm, per kg FPCM; IT*", UUID: c9ce1d87-4930-44d1-8d68-a396139052f5)
- Organic (e.g. "Cow milk, organic; at farm, per kg FPCM; DE", UUID: e6c8dab3-8449-4dc4-9a9c-436e797c0df5)

**Step 2: Use of national average**. When the nature and specificities of the upstream dairy farming systems cannot be determined, an existing inventory dataset representative of the national average should be used. EF-compliant secondary datasets are available for the main dairy producers in the EU: France, Netherlands, Italy, Germany and the UK. National LCI datasets from other commercial LCI databases can

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

be used for other countries providing they comply with all requirements from this PEFCR (e.g. emissions modelling, allocation, land use change), though it must be declared that they are not EF-compliant.

**Step 3: Use of EU-28+EFTA average**. When no EF-compliant data exists or can be modelled from published literature, representing the national average raw milk production, the **EU-28+EFTA** (for EU context) EF-compliant secondary dataset shall be used as a placeholder: *Cow milk; production mix ; at farm, EU-28+EFTA* (UUID: b6b3aedf-d824-4a3b-b028-bab860b696ad).

#### 6.1.2 Raw milk transport from dairy farm to processing unit

In situations where raw milk collection and transport to the dairy processing unit does not fall in situation 1 or 2 of the DNM, a default distance of 60 km shall be used in combination with the EF-compliant dataset *"Articulated lorry transport, Euro 5, Total weight 28-32 t, cooled ; diesel driven, Euro 5, cooled cargo ; consumption mix, to consumer; EU-28+3"* (UUID: 6006c4e5-2d64-4e53-9bd0-f2f200e8b22f).

#### 6.2 Dairy processing and non-dairy ingredients supply

#### 6.2.1 Liquid milk

When primary data on the production of liquid milk is not available, the default activity data from Table 31 shall be used:

	Whole milk	Semi-skimmed milk	Skimmed milk
Ingredient	Amount (g)	Amount (g)	Amount (g)
Raw milk	1000	1000	1000

Table 31: Default list of ingredients for 1 l of liquid milk, unpackaged

For skimming of liquid milk, the following ratios of co-product shall be considered, based on an input of 1 kg raw milk:

- Skimmed milk: 900 g / kg raw milk
- Cream 42%: 100 g / kg raw milk
  - EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.2.2 Dried whey products

When primary data on the production of dried whey products is not available, the default activity data from Table 32 shall be used:

Ingredient	Whey powder	Lactose powder	Whey protein concentrate (WPC)	Whey protein isolate powder	High fat whey protein concentrate powder
	Amount (kg DM)	Amount (kg DM)	Amount (kg DM)	 Amount (kg DM)	Amount (kg DM)
Whey (thin or thick)*	0.97	1	0.97	0.72	0.5
Fat**	0.03	0	0.03	0.28	0.5

#### Table 32: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of dried whey products, unpackaged

\* Whey can be thick or thin whey

\*\* Fats can be milk fat or vegetable fats such as soy bean oil or palm oil, depending on the product recipe

The following typical dry matter (DM) content of each input and product shall be considered:

- Thin whey: 4.8%
- Thick whey: 26.5%
- Whey powder: 96.5%
- Lactose powder: 99.8%
- Whey protein concentrate (WPC): 94.0%
- Whey protein isolate powder: 95.0%
- High fat whey protein concentrate powder: 98.0%

The dry matter content of WPC can range between product varieties from 65% to 94%.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.2.3 Cheeses

When primary data on the production of cheese is not available, the default activity data from Table 33 shall be used:

#### Table 33: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of cheese, unpackaged

	Fresh cheese	Soft cheese	Semi-hard cheese	Hard cheese
Ingredient	Amount (g)	Amount (g)	Amount (g)	Amount (g)
Raw milk	2500	7000	9000	10000
Cream	500	0	0	0
Salt	0.2	14	12	8
Calcium chloride	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
Rennet (excluded)	0	2	2.5	2
Bacteria and yeast (excluded)	0.05	0.15	0.15	0.15

Whey (or thin whey) is a co-product from cheese production. The following default ratios of whey per kg of cheese shall be considered:

- Fresh cheese: 1.5 kg whey / kg
- Soft cheese: 6 kg whey / kg
- Semi-hard cheese: 7.5 kg whey / kg
- Hard cheese: 9 kg whey / kg

#### 6.2.4 Fermented milk products

When primary data on the production of fermented milk products is not available, the default activity data from Table 34 shall be used:

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### Table 34: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of fermented milk products, unpackaged

	Spoonable, plain	Spoonable, flavoured	Spoonable, fruited
Ingredients	Amount (g)	Amount (g)	Amount (g)
Skimmed milk	950	860	700
Cream 42% fat	30	30	80
Skimmed milk powder	20	15	30
Ferments (excluded)	0.15	0.15	0.15
Sugar	0	100	40
Flavours	0	4	0
Fruit preparation	0	0	150

#### 6.2.5 Butterfat products

When primary data on the production of butterfat products is not available, the default activity data from Table 35 shall be used:

#### Butter, unsalted Butter, salted **Dairy spreads** Ingredient Amount (g) Amount (g) Amount (g) **Raw milk** 20000 20000 0 400 **Butter** 0 0 **Buttermilk** 0 0 250 **Skimmed milk** 0 0 250 20 Salt 0 0 Ferments (excluded) ---

#### Table 35: Default list of ingredients for 1 kg of butterfat products, unpackaged

Buttermilk and skimmed milk are co-products from butter production. A default ratio of 1 kg buttermilk per kg of butter and 18 kg skimmed milk per kg butter shall be considered.

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org


#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.2.6 Consumables

When primary data on consumables (energy, water, cleaning agents and refrigerants) are not available, the default activity data in Table 36 shall be used for all subcategories.

Consumable	Unit	Liquid milk	Dried whey products	Cheeses	Fermented milk products	Butterfat products
Electricity	Wh/kg product	77	430	413	137	435
Thermal energy	kJ/ kg product	441	10753	1938	590	1947
Total Energy	kJ/ kg product	718	12301	3425	1083	3513
Water	L/ kg product	1.6	1.5	6.7	3.2	14.1
Cleaning agents (acid)	g/ kg product	30	30	30	30	30
Cleaning agents (base)	g/ kg product	60	60	60	60	60
Refrigerants	g/ kg product	5.00E-6	1.00E-6	5.00E-6	5.00E-6	5.00E-6

#### Table 36: Default data for consumables used in dairy processing

#### 6.2.7 Wastewater treatment

When primary data on the amount of wastewater to be treated and its COD content are not available, the default values in Table 37 shall be used.

Consumable	Unit	Liquid milk	Dried whey products	Cheeses	Fermented milk products	Butterfat products
Wastewater to treatment	l/kg product	1.6	11.5	9.4	4.6	14.12
COD content	g/ kg product	4.25	32.50	30.00	20.70	45.40

Table 37: Default data for wastewater from dairy processing

The procedure described in section 5.1.1 shall be applied, for the modelling of the wastewater flow.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.2.8 Transport of non-dairy ingredients to the dairy unit

The following transport scenarios from supplier to factory shall be used for suppliers located with Europe:

- 130 km by truck (>32 t, EURO 4; UUID 938d5ba6-17e4-4f0d-bef0-481608681f57), default utilisation ratio = 64%; and
- 240 km by train (average freight train; UUID 02e87631-6d70-48ce-affd-1975dc36f5be); and
- 270 km by ship (barge; UUID 4cfacea0-cce4-4b4d-bd2b-223c8d4c90ae).

For all suppliers located outside Europe, the following scenario shall be used:

- 1000 km by truck (>32 t, EURO 4; UUID 938d5ba6-17e4-4f0d-bef0-481608681f57), for the sum of distances from harbour/airport to factory outside and inside Europe. default utilisation ratio = 64%; and
- 18000 km by ship (transoceanic container; UUID 6ca61112-1d5b-473c-abfa-4accc66a8a63) or
  10'000 km by plane (cargo; UUID 1cc5d465-a12a-43da-aa86-a9c6383c78ac).
- If producers country (origin) is known: the adequate distance for ship and airplane should be determined using <u>http://www.searates.com/services/routes-explorer</u> or <u>https://co2.myclimate.org/en/flight\_calculators/newhttps://co2.myclimate.org/en/flight\_calcul</u> <u>ators/new</u>

In case it is unknown if the supplier is located within or outside Europe, the transport shall be modelled as supplier being located outside Europe.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 6.3 Packaging

When primary data on packaging is not available, the default activity data in Table 38 shall be used.

Table 38: Default bill of materials for dairy products primary packaging

Sub-category	Packaging	Amount product	Packaging material	Amount (g)
Liquid milk	Multilayer	1000 ml	LPB	21.2
	carton (UHT)		Aluminium	1.38
			LDPE	5.315
			HDPE (closure)	1.34
			PP (closure)	1.39
	Multilayer	1000 ml	LPB	22.75
	carton		LDPE	3.24
	(PAST)		HDPE (closure)	1.38
			LDPE (closure)	1.25
	Plastic	1000 ml	HDPE or PP	40
	(HDPE et PP)		Aluminium (closure)	0.04
	bottle		HDPE (closure)	3
	Returnable	1000 ml	Glass, white	650
	glass bottle		Aluminium (closure)	0.04
			LDPE (label)	1
	Stand-up	1000 ml	LDPE	3.81
	pouch		РР	5.82
			Other (PP)	6.1
	Plastic (PET)	1000 ml	PET	40
	bottle		HDPE (closure)	1.7
			Aluminium (closure)	0.04
			LDPE (label)	1
Dried whey products	Big bag	1000 kg	РР	1.93
			Plastic (LDPE) film	0.887
	Kraft paper	25 kg	Plastic (LDPE) film	0.073
	bag		Kraft paper, bleached	0.256
Cheeses	Plastic foil	250 g	РР	5
	Plastic box	250 g	PET	7.32
	Aluminium	60 g	Aluminium	0.19
	paper wrap		Wax	0.03

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

Sub-category	Packaging	Amount product	Packaging material	Amount (g)
			Paper	0.10
	Paper foil	250 g	Paper	1.44
	Wooden box	250 g	Wood	15
Fermented milk	Plastic cup	125 g	PS	3.66
products			Aluminium (closure)	0.47
			PP (closure)	0.25
	Plastic cup	500 g	PET	14.64
			Aluminium (closure)	0.86
			PP (closure)	0.45
	Glass jar	125 g	Glass	133
			Aluminium (closure)	0.47
			PP (closure)	0.25
	Paper cup	125 g	Paper	10.2
			Aluminium (closure)	0.47
			PP (closure)	0.25
	Plastic bottle	100 ml	HDPE	5.2
			Board	13
			Aluminium (closure)	15
	Plastic bottle	1000 ml	HDPE	40
			PP (closure)	4
			LDPE (label)	1
	Multilayer	1000 ml	LPB	22.15
	carton		Aluminium foil	0.2
			LDPE	4.6
			HDPE (closure)	1.38
			LDPE (closure)	1.25
Butterfat products	Aluminium	250 g	Aluminium	0.76
	foil		Lacquer	0.06
	laminated		Wax	0.4
	рарег		Paper	1.44
			Ink	0.7
	Plastic cup	250 g	PET	7.32
			PET (closure)	2
	Aluminium	10 g	Aluminium	0.152
	foil		Lacquer	0.012

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



#### connect to the world of dairy

Sub-category	Packaging	Amount product	Packaging material	Amount (g)
	laminated		Wax	0.08
	paper		Paper	0.288
			Ink	0.14
	Preformed	10 g	PET	1
	plastic cup		PP (closure)	0.01
	Butter paper	250 g	Paper	1.44

(c) = closure ; (l) = label

The recycled content (R1) of each packaging material shall be default be aligned with the Annex C of the PEF Guidance 6.3. These default values are summarised in Table 39 for main packaging materials used with dairy products.

Table 39: Default R1 parameters (recycled content) for main packaging materials

Packaging material	Recycled content (R1)
Beverage carton (i.e. liquid packaging board)	0%
Plastic bottle (HDPE or PET)	0%
Plastic cups (PET, PS or PP)	0%
Glass bottle or jar, unspecified colour	52%
Glass bottle or jar, flint colour	50%
Flexible packaging (foil, wrapper, paper)	0%

The default transport distances to be used for the transport of packaging materials from supplier to dairy unit are the following:

For packaging materials from manufacturing plants to filler plants (beside glass)

- 230 km by truck (>32 t, EURO 4; UUID 938d5ba6-17e4-4f0d-bef0-481608681f57), default utilisation ratio = 64%
- 280 km by train (average freight train; UUID 02e87631-6d70-48ce-affd-1975dc36f5be); and
- 360 km by ship (barge; UUID 4cfacea0-cce4-4b4d-bd2b-223c8d4c90ae).

For empty glass bottles:

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



- 350 km by truck (>32 t, EURO 4; UUID 938d5ba6-17e4-4f0d-bef0-481608681f57), default utilisation ratio = 64%
- 39 km by train (average freight train; UUID 02e87631-6d70-48ce-affd-1975dc36f5be); and
- 87 km by ship (barge; UUID 4cfacea0-cce4-4b4d-bd2b-223c8d4c90ae).

Parameter	Amount	Unit
Reuse rates (number of re-use) <sup>14</sup>	17.5 in UK context of milk delivery	-
	20 (for company owned pools)	
	30 (for third party operated pools)	
Tap water	0.8	l/bottle/washing cycle
Natural gas	0.07	MJ/bottle/washing cycle
Electricity	0.06	kWh/bottle/washing cycle
Sodium hydroxide	1	g/bottle/washing cycle
Hydrochloric acid	0.3	g/bottle/washing cycle

#### Table 40: Default parameters for returnable glass bottles

For secondary and tertiary packaging, the default data from Table 41 should be used.

Table 41: Default parameters for secondary and tertiary packaging, per kg of dairy product

Material	Amount	Unit	Recycled content (R1)
Carton boxes (corrugated board)	24	g/kg product	88%
Separators (corrugated board)	1.6	g/kg product	88%
LDPE plastic wrap	1.5	g/kg product	0%
Wooden pallet	6	g/kg product	0%

<sup>14</sup> Packaging Working Group guidance document, version 1.0 – May 2016

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.4 Distribution



When primary data on distribution is not available, the default parameters from the PEF Guidance 6.3 shall be used, as follows:

#### From dairy unit to retail, through distribution centre (DC):

- Local supply chain: 1'200 km by truck
  - o Refrigerated: 28-32 t, cooled, EURO 5; UUID 6006c4e5-2d64-4e53-9bd0-f2f200e8b22f
  - Non-refrigerated (UHT liquid milk only): >32 t, EURO 4; UUID 938d5ba6-17e4- 4f0d-bef0-481608681f57, default utilisation ratio = 64%
- Intracontinental supply chain: 3'500 km by truck
  - o Refrigerated: 28-32 t, cooled, EURO 5; UUID 6006c4e5-2d64-4e53-9bd0-f2f200e8b22f
  - Non-refrigerated (UHT liquid milk only): >32 t, EURO 4; UUID 938d5ba6-17e4- 4f0d-bef0-481608681f57, default utilisation ratio = 64%

#### From retail to final client:

- 62%: 5 km, by passenger car (average; UUID 1ead35dd-fc71-4b0c-9410-7e39da95c7dc) with the following allocation factors (based on the utilisation ratio for each FU, dividing the product volume by 0.2 m<sup>3</sup>)
  - Liquid milk: volume of 1 FU equals 1 L. Allocation factor = 0.005
  - $\circ$  Cheese: volume of 1 FU equals 0.02 L. Allocation factor = 0.0001
  - Fermented milks: volume of 1 FU equals 0.125 L. Allocation factor = 0.000625
  - Butterfat products: volume of 1 FU equals 0.05 L. Allocation factor = 0.00025
- 5%: 5 km round trip, by van (lorry <7.5t, EURO 3 with utilisation ratio of 20%; UUID aea613ae-</li>
  573b-443a-aba2-6a69900ca2ff)
- 33%: no impact modelled

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

Parameter	Liquid milk	Dried whey products	Cheeses	Fermented milk products	Butterfat products
Storage duration at dairy processing warehouse (*)	1 day, refrigerated or 7 days, ambient	7 days, ambient temperature	3 days, refrigerated	3 days, refrigerated	3 days, refrigerated
Storage duration at distribution centre	1 week	n/a	1 week	1 week	1 week
Storage volume at distribution centre	3 times the product's volume	n/a	3 times the product's volume	3 times the product's volume	3 times the product's volume
Storage duration at point of sale	3 days, refrigerated or 14 days, ambient	n/a	5 days, refrigerated	3 days, refrigerated	5 days, refrigerated
Storage volume at point of sale	3 times the product's volume	n/a	3 times the product's volume	3 times the product's volume	3 times the product's volume

#### Table 42: Parameters for dairy products storage in the distribution stage

(\*) Energy use for storage at the dairy processing unit is already included in the stage "dairy processing".

Default values for energy and refrigerants consumption are retrieved from PEF Guidance 6.3. A ceiling height of 5 m (at the distribution centre) 2 m (for refrigerators) is considered as to convert from surface to volume references.

#### Table 43: Energy and refrigerants consumption at the distribution centre and at retail

Parameter	Per surface area (per m².y)	Per volume occupied (per m³.y)
General electricity consumption at distribution centre	30 kWh	6 kWh
General energy at distribution centre (natural gas burned in boiler)	360 MJ	72 MJ
Refrigerated storage at distribution centre (additional electricity)	80 kWh	40 kWh
General electricity consumption at retail	400 kWh	200 kWh
Refrigerated storage at retail (additional electricity)	1900 kWh	950 kWh
Refrigerant gases (leaks)	0.029 kg R404A	0.0145 kg R404A

Refrigerant leaks shall be modelled as input refrigerant and as direct emissions to air. Capital goods can be neglected.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.5 Use stage

The default use stage scenario considers that dairy products are chilled in a refrigerator, with the exception of UHT liquid milk, which is stored at ambient temperature and only chilled after being open. Washing of a glass or cutlery is included since it is product-dependant (i.e. several single-portion dairy products are specifically designed not to require the use of a glass – for drinking – or a knife/spoon – for eating). Heating, cooking or further transformation of dairy products at the consumer home are excluded but may be assessed in sensitivity analysis. Food waste at the consumer home is discussed in section 6.6. Default parameters for the use stage are retrieved from PEF Guidance 6.3 and are presented in Table 44 below.

Parameter	Liquid milk	Dried whey products	Cheeses	Fermented milk products	Butterfat products
Storage duration at the consumer home	5 days, refrigerated (fresh or pasteurised) ; 30 days, ambient and 2 days, refrigerated (UHT)	n/a	10 days, refrigerated	7 days, refrigerated	10 days, refrigerated
Storage volume at the consumer home	3 times the product's volume	n/a	3 times the product's volume	3 times the product's volume	3 times the product's volume
Electricity use for chilled storage (kWh/m3.y) <sup>15</sup>	1350	n/a	1350	1350	1350
Dishwashing	Glass washed in dishwasher (allocation 2.5% of a dishwashing cycle per piece. 1 piece= 1/5 FU)	n/a	Knife washed in dishwasher (allocation 0.5% of a dishwashing cycle per piece. 1 piece= 10 FU)	Spoon washed in dishwasher (allocation 0.5% of a dishwashing cycle per piece. 1 piece= 1 FU)	Knife washed in dishwasher (allocation 0.5% of a dishwashin g cycle per piece. 1 piece= 5 FU)

Table 44: Default parameters for dairy products storage at the consumer home

Energy use for ambient storage at the consumer home can be neglected.

<sup>15</sup> 0.0037 kWh/L.day (ANIA and ADEME 2012) is equivalent to 1350 kWh/m3.y

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 6.6 End of life

The End-of-life stage is a life cycle stage that in general includes the waste of the product in scope, such as the food waste, primary packaging, or the product left at its end of use. The end of life shall be modelled using the formula and guidance provided in chapter 'End of life modelling' of this PEFCR together with the default parameters listed in the table below.

Before selecting the appropriate R<sub>2</sub> value, an evaluation for recyclability of the material shall be done and the PEF study shall include a statement on the recyclability of the materials/products. The statement on the recyclability shall be provided together with an evaluation for recyclability that includes evidence for the following three criteria (as described by ISO 14021:1999, section 7.7.4 'Evaluation methodology'):

- The collection, sorting and delivery systems to transfer the materials from the source to the recycling facility are conveniently available to a reasonable proportion of the purchasers, potential purchasers and users of the product;
- 2. The recycling facilities are available to accommodate the collected materials;
- 3. Evidence is available that the product for which recyclability is claimed is being collected and recycled.

Point 1 and 3 can be proven by recycling statistics (country specific) derived from industry associations or national bodies. Approximation to evidence at point 3 can be provided by applying for example the design for recyclability evaluation outlined in EN 13430 Material recycling (Annexes A and B) or other sector-specific recyclability guidelines if available<sup>16</sup>.

Following the evaluation for recyclability, the appropriate R<sub>2</sub> values (supply-chain specific or default) shall be used. If one criterion is not fulfilled or the sector-specific recyclability guidelines indicate a limited recyclability an R<sub>2</sub> value of 0% shall be applied.

<sup>16</sup> E.g. the EPBP design guidelines (<u>http://www.epbp.org/design-guidelines</u>), or Recyclability by design (<u>http://www.recoup.org/</u>)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

Company-specific  $R_2$  values (measured at the output of the recycling plant) shall be used when available. If no company-specific values are available and the criteria for evaluation of recyclability are fulfilled (see below), application-specific  $R_2$  values shall be used as listed in the table below,

- If an R<sub>2</sub> value is not available for a specific country, then the European average shall be used.
- If an R<sub>2</sub> value is not available for a specific application, the R<sub>2</sub> values of the material shall be used (e.g. materials average).
- In case no R<sub>2</sub> values are available, R<sub>2</sub> shall be set equal to 0 or new statistics may be generated in order to assign an R<sub>2</sub> value in the specific situation.

The applied R<sub>2</sub> values shall be subject to the PEF study verification.

The parameters to be used by the applicant to implement the CFF are all default values from the PEF Guidance 6.3, Annex C.

The reuse rate determines the quantity of packaging material (per product sold) to be treated at end of life. The amount of packaging treated at end of life shall be calculated by dividing the actual weight of the packaging by the number of times this packaging was reused.

#### Food losses and waste

Food wastage throughout the distribution chain is recognised as a potentially important issue, with regards to the environmental footprint of dairy products. Losses occurring within and between the life cycle stages, from the dairy farm to retail, and at the consumer home, are however not documented at European scale. Experience from the dairy industry shows that important variations may occur from one site to another, and from one market to another. Food wastage is seen as a wider issue for the agro-food sector as a whole; the Technical Secretariat therefore encourages the European Commission to propose a consistent approach to be applied transversally by all food-related sectors. In the PEF screening study, FAO data on food losses and waste in the Europe and Russia (FAO 2011) was used to assess the impact of food wastage in a sensitivity analysis. As long as a consistent transversal approach is not defined and endorsed by the EC, it is suggested to use this data in sensitivity analyses.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

Whenever available, primary data for food losses should be used, and the default factors in Table 45 should be applied in case such data is not available.

The relevant definition of food losses and waste is given by FAO: Food losses refer to the decrease in edible food mass throughout the part of the supply chain that specifically leads to edible food for human consumption. Food losses take place at production, postharvest and processing stages in the food supply chain (Parfitt et al., 2010). Food losses occurring at the end of the food chain (retail and final consumption) are rather called "food waste", which relates to retailers' and consumers' behaviour (Parfitt et al., 2010). "Food" waste or loss is measured only for products that are directed to human consumption, excluding feed and parts of products which are not edible. Per definition, food losses or waste are the masses of food lost or wasted in the part of food chains leading to "edible products going to human consumption". Therefore, food that was originally meant to human consumption, but which fortuity gets out the human food chain is considered as food loss or waste even if it is then directed to a non-food use (e.g. animal feed, bioenergy, etc.). This approach distinguishes "planned" non-food uses to "unplanned" non-food uses, which are hereby accounted under losses. With regard to food losses during processing it is important to distinguish between dairy that is waste treated and dairy of which the quality is degraded to a lower quality, but that still will be used for a different purpose than the product under study. In the case of cheese for example the cheese is cut prior to packaging which results in cutting losses that are subsequently used as pet food or cheese spreads. These types of products should not be considered as food waste, but as co-products.

Parameter	Liquid milk	Dried whey products	Cheeses	Fermented milk products	Butterfat products
Food losses from farm to retail	5%	2% (*)	5%	5%	5%
Food waste at consumer home	7%	n/a	7%	7%	7%

(\*) Assumed, from farm to dairy processing

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



### 7 PEF results

### 7.1 Benchmark values

Benchmarks are provided as characterised results, normalised results and weighted results, as requested in the PEFCR Guidance version 6.3. One benchmark was calculated for each sub-category. Since no detailed market study on dairy products exists at the EU level, the benchmarks were assumed to be corresponding to the representative products defined in the screening study. It should therefore be seen as a first attempt to provide sectorial and sub-sectorial benchmarks, as different approaches may be necessary for different subcategories.

The TS provides additional characterised results for different product types within the sub-category "fermented milk products" as to enable comparisons of products with a higher level of granularity. These are provided in Annex 8 but shall not be considered as official benchmarks. In the context of comparative assertions, the benchmark at sub-category level (i.e. the official benchmark for "fermented milk products") shall however always be used as reference. The sub-benchmarks may be used to facilitate the interpretation.

As a matter of principle, the TS does not question the merits of a benchmark approach as a tool among others to enable final consumers to assess the Environmental Footprint (EF) of products placed on the market. However, the TS considers that, at the current stage of development of the PEF methodology, a mandatory and stringent benchmark approach would be premature, and its immediate implementation might give an inaccurate perception to consumers and a wrong incentive to the industry, at least for some of the sub-categories. In fact, a number of uncertainties related to the PEF methodology have been identified by the TS and need further assessment. The results of the supporting studies tend to confirm these gaps, which is fully understandable in a pilot phase. The benchmark values listed below should therefore be seen as an indicative guide only.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### 7.1.1 Characterised benchmark values

#### Impact category Unit Life cycle excl. Use stage Use stage 1.53E+00 8.29E-02 **Climate change** Climate change - biogenic kg CO<sub>2 eq</sub> 7.36E-01 1.67E-03 Climate change - land use and land transformation 1.92E-01 9.45E-05 **Ozone depletion** 4.69E-09 3.26E-10 kg CFC-11 eq **Particulate matter** disease incidence 1.03E-07 2.50E-09 kBq U<sup>235</sup> eq Ionising radiation, human health 5.63E-02 3.23E-02 Photochemical ozone formation, human health kg NMVOC eq 3.37E-03 1.38E-04 Acidification mol H+ <sub>eq</sub> 1.25E-02 2.51E-04 **Eutrophication, terrestrial** 5.34E-02 5.22E-04 mol N<sub>eq</sub> 1.04E-04 **Eutrophication, freshwater** 1.04E-05 kg P <sub>eq</sub> kg N <sub>eq</sub> **Eutrophication**, marine 3.75E-03 7.71E-05 Land use Dimensionless (pt) 1.51E+02 7.51E-01 7.10E-02 Water use m<sup>3</sup> world<sub>eq</sub> 3.11E-01 **Resource use, minerals and metals** kg Sb <sub>eq</sub> 1.24E-06 1.08E-07 MJ 6.79E+00 1.36E+00 **Resource use, fossils**

#### Table 46: Characterised benchmark values for liquid milk (1000 ml)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Unit	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change		1.05E+04	n/a
Climate change - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2 eq</sub>	5.09E+03	n/a
Climate change – land use and land transformation		1.40E+03	n/a
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	8.66E-05	n/a
Particulate matter	disease incidence	6.63E-04	n/a
Ionising radiation, human health	kBq U <sup>235</sup> <sub>eq</sub>	3.32E+02	n/a
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	kg NMVOC $_{eq}$	2.22E+01	n/a
Acidification	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	8.63E+01	n/a
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	3.66E+02	n/a
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	8.89E-01	n/a
Eutrophication, marine	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	2.58E+01	n/a
Land use	Dimensionless (pt)	9.45E+05	n/a
Water use	m <sup>3</sup> world <sub>eq</sub>	2.53E+03	n/a
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	1.05E-02	n/a
Resource use, fossils	MJ	4.43E+04	n/a

#### Table 47: Characterised benchmark values for dried whey products (1000 kg)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



Impact category	Unit	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change		1.22E-01	7.75E-05
Climate change - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2 eq</sub>	6.38E-02	7.73E-07
Climate change – land use and land transformation		1.66E-02	8.06E-08
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	2.39E-09	7.87E-13
Particulate matter	disease incidence	8.05E-09	2.36E-12
Ionising radiation, human health	kBq U <sup>235</sup> eq	3.26E-03	3.08E-05
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	2.61E-04	1.29E-07
Acidification	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	1.06E-03	2.35E-07
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	4.55E-03	4.86E-07
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	9.46E-06	4.31E-09
Eutrophication, marine	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	3.21E-04	5.80E-08
Land use	Dimensionless (pt)	1.18E+01	6.34E-04
Water use	m <sup>3</sup> world <sub>eq</sub>	2.21E-02	3.47E-05
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	1.23E-07	1.46E-10
Resource use, fossils	MJ	4.21E-01	1.31E-03

#### Table 48: Characterised benchmark values for cheeses (10 g dry matter)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



Impact category	Unit	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change		1.87E-01	7.13E-03
Climate change - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2 eq</sub>	6.60E-02	7.59E-05
Climate change – land use and land transformation		1.73E-02	7.46E-06
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	1.20E-09	6.95E-11
Particulate matter	disease incidence	1.08E-08	2.17E-10
Ionising radiation, human health	kBq U <sup>235</sup> eq	1.21E-02	2.83E-03
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	3.99E-04	1.19E-05
Acidification	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	1.36E-03	2.16E-05
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	5.66E-03	4.47E-05
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	1.29E-05	4.29E-07
Eutrophication, marine	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	4.74E-04	5.42E-06
Land use	Dimensionless (pt)	1.48E+01	5.88E-02
Water use	m <sup>3</sup> world <sub>eq</sub>	9.15E-02	3.38E-03
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	4.12E-07	1.32E-08
Resource use, fossils	MJ	1.31E+00	1.20E-01

#### Table 49: Characterised benchmark values for fermented milk products (125 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Unit	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change		4.60E-01	3.18E-03
Climate change - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2 eq</sub>	2.45E-01	2.22E-05
Climate change – land use and land transformation		6.39E-02	3.21E-06
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	1.55E-09	3.80E-11
Particulate matter	disease incidence	3.06E-08	9.69E-11
Ionising radiation, human health	kBq U <sup>235</sup> eq	1.25E-02	1.27E-03
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	9.88E-04	5.31E-06
Acidification	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	4.04E-03	9.61E-06
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	1.74E-02	1.99E-05
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	3.46E-05	1.12E-07
Eutrophication, marine	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	1.21E-03	2.21E-06
Land use	Dimensionless (pt)	4.51E+01	2.52E-02
Water use	m <sup>3</sup> world <sub>eq</sub>	8.48E-02	1.04E-03
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	5.20E-07	6.55E-09
Resource use, fossils	MJ	1.47E+00	5.40E-02

#### Table 50: Characterised benchmark values for butterfat products (50 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### 7.1.2 Normalised benchmark values

Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	1.97E-04	1.07E-05
Ozone depletion	2.01E-07	1.39E-08
Particulate matter	1.62E-04	3.92E-06
Ionising radiation, human health	1.33E-05	7.66E-06
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	8.30E-05	3.39E-06
Acidification	2.25E-04	4.52E-06
Eutrophication, terrestrial	3.02E-04	2.95E-06
Eutrophication, freshwater	4.08E-05	4.07E-06
Eutrophication, marine	1.33E-04	2.73E-06
Land use	1.14E-04	5.63E-07
Water use	2.71E-05	6.19E-06
Resource use, minerals and metals	2.14E-05	1.87E-06
Resource use, fossils	1.04E-04	2.09E-05

#### Table 51: Normalised benchmark values for liquid milk (1000 ml)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	1.35E+00	n/a
Ozone depletion	3.71E-03	n/a
Particulate matter	1.04E+00	n/a
Ionising radiation, human health	7.86E-02	n/a
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	5.48E-01	n/a
Acidification	1.55E+00	n/a
Eutrophication, terrestrial	2.07E+00	n/a
Eutrophication, freshwater	3.48E-01	n/a
Eutrophication, marine	9.14E-01	n/a
Land use	7.08E-01	n/a
Water use	2.21E-01	n/a
Resource use, minerals and metals	1.82E-01	n/a
Resource use, fossils	6.79E-01	n/a

#### Table 52: Normalised benchmark values for dried whey products (1000 kg)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	1.57E-05	9.99E-09
Ozone depletion	1.02E-07	3.37E-11
Particulate matter	1.27E-05	3.70E-09
Ionising radiation, human health	7.72E-07	7.30E-09
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	6.44E-06	3.19E-09
Acidification	1.91E-05	4.22E-09
Eutrophication, terrestrial	2.57E-05	2.75E-09
Eutrophication, freshwater	3.71E-06	1.69E-09
Eutrophication, marine	1.13E-05	2.05E-09
Land use	8.84E-06	4.75E-10
Water use	1.93E-06	3.02E-09
Resource use, minerals and metals	2.12E-06	2.53E-09
Resource use, fossils	6.46E-06	2.00E-08

#### Table 53: Normalised benchmark values for cheeses (10 g dry matter)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	2.41E-05	9.19E-07
Ozone depletion	5.15E-08	2.98E-09
Particulate matter	1.70E-05	3.40E-07
Ionising radiation, human health	2.86E-06	6.70E-07
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	9.82E-06	2.93E-07
Acidification	2.46E-05	3.88E-07
Eutrophication, terrestrial	3.20E-05	2.53E-07
Eutrophication, freshwater	5.05E-06	1.68E-07
Eutrophication, marine	1.68E-05	1.92E-07
Land use	1.11E-05	4.40E-08
Water use	7.98E-06	2.95E-07
Resource use, minerals and metals	7.12E-06	2.28E-07
Resource use, fossils	2.01E-05	1.84E-06

#### Table 54: Normalised benchmark values for fermented milk products (125 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	5.93E-05	4.10E-07
Ozone depletion	6.64E-08	1.63E-09
Particulate matter	4.81E-05	1.52E-07
Ionising radiation, human health	2.96E-06	3.01E-07
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	2.43E-05	1.31E-07
Acidification	7.28E-05	1.73E-07
Eutrophication, terrestrial	9.82E-05	1.13E-07
Eutrophication, freshwater	1.36E-05	4.38E-08
Eutrophication, marine	4.28E-05	7.81E-08
Land use	3.38E-05	1.89E-08
Water use	7.39E-06	9.09E-08
Resource use, minerals and metals	8.99E-06	1.13E-07
Resource use, fossils	2.25E-05	8.28E-07

### Table 55: Normalised benchmark values for butterfat products (50 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 7.1.3 Weighted benchmark values

The weighted benchmark values are based on the weighting factors provided in Annex 1. To calculate the single score, the three toxicity-related impact categories shall be excluded.

Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	4.37E-05	2.37E-06
Ozone depletion	1.36E-08	9.41E-10
Particulate matter	1.55E-05	3.74E-07
Ionising radiation, human health	7.17E-07	4.11E-07
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	4.23E-06	1.73E-07
Acidification	1.49E-05	3.00E-07
Eutrophication, terrestrial	1.18E-05	1.15E-07
Eutrophication, freshwater	1.20E-06	1.20E-07
Eutrophication, marine	4.14E-06	8.51E-08
Land use	9.56E-06	4.74E-08
Water use	2.45E-06	5.59E-07
Resource use, minerals and metals	1.73E-06	1.51E-07
Resource use, fossils	9.28E-06	1.86E-06
SINGLE SCORE	1.19E-04	6.57E-06

#### Table 56: Weighted benchmark values for liquid milk (1000 ml)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	3.01E-01	n/a
Ozone depletion	2.50E-04	n/a
Particulate matter	9.94E-02	n/a
Ionising radiation, human health	4.22E-03	n/a
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	2.79E-02	n/a
Acidification	1.03E-01	n/a
Eutrophication, terrestrial	8.10E-02	n/a
Eutrophication, freshwater	1.03E-02	n/a
Eutrophication, marine	2.85E-02	n/a
Land use	5.96E-02	n/a
Water use	1.99E-02	n/a
Resource use, minerals and metals	1.47E-02	n/a
Resource use, fossils	6.06E-02	n/a
SINGLE SCORE	8.10E-01	n/a

#### Table 57: Weighted benchmark values for dried whey products (1000 kg)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	3.49E-06	2.22E-09
Ozone depletion	6.92E-09	2.28E-12
Particulate matter	1.21E-06	3.53E-10
Ionising radiation, human health	4.15E-08	3.92E-10
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	3.28E-07	1.63E-10
Acidification	1.27E-06	2.80E-10
Eutrophication, terrestrial	1.01E-06	1.07E-10
Eutrophication, freshwater	1.09E-07	4.98E-11
Eutrophication, marine	3.54E-07	6.40E-11
Land use	7.44E-07	4.00E-11
Water use	1.74E-07	2.73E-10
Resource use, minerals and metals	1.71E-07	2.05E-10
Resource use, fossils	5.76E-07	1.79E-09
SINGLE SCORE	9.48E-06	5.93E-09

#### Table 58: Weighted benchmark values for cheeses (10 g dry matter)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	5.34E-06	2.04E-07
Ozone depletion	3.48E-09	2.01E-10
Particulate matter	1.62E-06	3.25E-08
Ionising radiation, human health	1.54E-07	3.60E-08
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	5.01E-07	1.49E-08
Acidification	1.63E-06	2.58E-08
Eutrophication, terrestrial	1.25E-06	9.89E-09
Eutrophication, freshwater	1.49E-07	4.96E-09
Eutrophication, marine	5.23E-07	5.98E-09
Land use	9.32E-07	3.71E-09
Water use	7.21E-07	2.66E-08
Resource use, minerals and metals	5.76E-07	1.84E-08
Resource use, fossils	1.79E-06	1.64E-07
SINGLE SCORE	1.52E-05	5.47E-07

#### Table 59: Weighted benchmark values for fermented milk products (125 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



Impact category	Life cycle excl. Use stage	Use stage
Climate change	1.32E-05	9.09E-08
Ozone depletion	4.48E-09	1.10E-10
Particulate matter	4.59E-06	1.45E-08
Ionising radiation, human health	1.59E-07	1.61E-08
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	1.24E-06	6.67E-09
Acidification	4.83E-06	1.15E-08
Eutrophication, terrestrial	3.84E-06	4.40E-09
Eutrophication, freshwater	4.00E-07	1.29E-09
Eutrophication, marine	1.34E-06	2.44E-09
Land use	2.84E-06	1.59E-09
Water use	6.67E-07	8.21E-09
Resource use, minerals and metals	7.26E-07	9.14E-09
Resource use, fossils	2.01E-06	7.38E-08
SINGLE SCORE	3.58E-05	2.41E-07

#### Table 60: Weighted benchmark values for butterfat products (50 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

### 7.2 PEF profile

The applicant shall calculate the PEF profile of its product in compliance with all requirements included in this PEFCR. The following information shall be included in the PEF report:

- full life cycle inventory;
- characterised results in absolute values, for all impact categories (including toxicity; as a table);
- normalised and weighted result in absolute values, for all impact categories (including toxicity; as a table);
- the aggregated single score in absolute values (based on factors provided in Annex 1)

Together with the PEF report, the applicant shall develop an aggregated EF-compliant dataset of its product in scope. This dataset shall be made available on the EF node (http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node). The disaggregated version may stay confidential.

### 7.3 Additional technical information

Not applicable

### 7.4 Additional environmental information

Applicants should report additional environmental information as described below:

- a) Certification scheme for any ingredients (e.g. organic);
- b) Information on local/site-specific impacts on biodiversity (see below);

Additionally, information regarding the company work with social/environmental responsibility but also data about specific environmental characteristics of the product may be added.

#### 7.4.1 Impact on biodiversity

Livestock production plays an important role on biodiversity with either positive or negative impact depending on farming practices: grassland management, agricultural practices, land use change and agro-ecological infrastructures.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



connect to the world of dairy

In the European context of dairy farming, the biodiversity "hotspots" could be summarised in the following topics:

- Maintaining pastures
- Semi-natural habitats (hedges, trees, wild strips, river banks)
- Deforestation and land conversion in the feed supply chain (mainly soybean and palm meal)
- Natural habitat degradation through emission of eco-toxic, eutrophying or acidifying substances (covered by LCA impact categories), overstocking, soil compaction and soil erosion (not covered)

Therefore, and as highlighted by the FAO LEAP "Principles for the assessment of livestock impacts on biodiversity" (LEAP, 2015b), LCA does not cover the entire array impacts on biodiversity. A combined approach with additional criteria is therefore proposed below. Due to lack of consistent statistical data at European level and acknowledging for the fact that all dairy companies – such as SMEs - are technically not able to gather such data, the below proposition is worded as a recommendation rather than a mandatory reporting requirement. This approach will hopefully be improved in the future when international scientific consensus is reached on the topic, possibly following the Pressure State Response (PSR) framework once operational guidance is published (see LEAP 2015b for more information on the PSR approach). At current stage, practitioners may therefore report on the following four additional indicators:

- Share of total intake from pasture in the feed ration, in % of total dry matter intake (DMI)
- Semi-natural habitats, in % of the dairy farms area: tools such as the French CAP2ER can be used for that purpose.
- Share of feed with possible risk of deforestation in its supply chain within the feed ration, in % of total dry matter intake (DMI) measured as the share of feed offering guarantees for no deforestation.
- Schemes related to biodiversity: a description of the different schemes (certified or not) in the raw milk supply chain (i.e. at the dairy farm and in upstream feed production) and how they relate to biodiversity conservation may be provided.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### Table 61: Typical information to be provided on schemes related to biodiversity

Scheme	Relevance to biodiversity conservation	% of total raw milk supply in product
Name of scheme (mandatory)	Description of the scheme (i.e. applicability, scope, website, etc.) and of how the scheme relates to biodiversity conservation (mandatory)	(optional)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy



### 8 Verification



The verification of an EF study/report carried out in compliance with this PEFCR shall be done according to all the general requirements included in Section 8 of the PEFCR Guidance version 6.3 and the requirements listed below.

The verifier(s) shall verify that the EF study is conducted in compliance with this PEFCR. These requirements will remain valid until an EF verification scheme is adopted at European level or alternative verification approaches applicable to EF studies/report are included in existing or new policies.

The verifier(s) shall validate the accuracy and reliability of the quantitative information used in the calculation of the study. As this can be highly resource intensive, the following requirements shall be followed:

- the verifier shall check if the correct version of all impact assessment methods was used. For each of the most relevant impact categories, at least 50% of the characterisation factors (for each of the most relevant EF impact categories) shall be verified, while all normalisation and weighting factors of all ICs shall be verified. In particular, the verifier shall check that the characterisation factors correspond to those included in the EF impact assessment method the study declares compliance with<sup>17</sup>;
- all the newly created datasets shall be checked on their EF compliancy (for the meaning of EF compliant datasets refer to Annex J of the Guidance). All their underlying data (elementary flows, activity data and sub processes) shall be validated;
- the aggregated EF-compliant dataset of the product in scope (meaning, the EF study) is available on the EF node (http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/EF-node).

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Available at: http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/LCDN/developer.xhtml



#### connect to the world of dairy

- for at least 70% of the most relevant processes in situation 2 option 2 of the DNM, 70% of the underlying data shall be validated. The 70% data shall include all energy and transport sub processes for those in situation 2 option 2;
- for at least 60% of the most relevant processes in situation 3 of the DNM, 60% of the underlying data shall be validated;
- for at least 50% of the other processes in situation 1, 2 and 3 of the DNM, 50% of the underlying data shall be validated.

In particular, it shall be verified for the selected processes if the DQR of the process satisfies the minimum DQR as specified in the DNM.

The selection of the processes to be verified for each situation shall be done ordering them from the most contributing to the less contributing one and selecting those contributing up to the identified percentage starting from the most contributing ones. In case of non-integer numbers, the rounding shall be made always considering the next upper integer.

These data checks shall include, but should not be limited to, the activity data used, the selection of secondary sub-processes, the selection of the direct elementary flows and the CFF parameters. For example, if there are 5 processes and each one of them includes 5 activity data, 5 secondary datasets and 10 CFF parameters, then the verifier(s) has to check at least 4 out of 5 processes (70%) and, for each process, (s)he shall check at least 4 activity data (70% of the total amount of activity data), 4 secondary datasets (70% of the total amount of secondary datasets), and 7 CFF parameters (70% of the total amount of CFF parameters), i.e. the 70% of each of data that could be possible subject of check.

The verification of the EF report shall be carried out by randomly checking enough information to provide reasonable assurance that the EF report fulfils all the conditions listed in section 8 of the PEFCR Guidance.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy



### 9 References

AFNOR (2014) AFNOR (2014). General principles for an environmental communication on mass market products - Part 20: Methodology for the environmental impacts assessment of dairy products. BP X 30-323-0. DRAFT version of July 2014

DairyCo (2011) DairyCo (2014) Dairy Statistics – An insider's guide 2014

Dollé et al. (2013) Dollé J-B., Faverdin P., Agabriel J., Sauvant D., Klumpp K. (2013) Contribution de l'élevage bovin aux émissions de GES et au stockage de carbone selon les systèmes de production. Fourrages (2013) 215, 181-191

EAL (2013)EAL (2013) Enquête Annuelle Laitière - French annual dairy survey (production<br/>data)

EuropeanEuropean Commission (2008). Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the<br/>European Community, Rev. 2 (2008).(2008)<a href="http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/nomenclatures/index.cfm?TargetUrl=DSP\_N">http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/nomenclatures/index.cfm?TargetUrl=DSP\_N</a>

OM\_DTL\_VIEW&StrNom=NACE\_REV2&StrLanguageCode=EN&IntPcKey=18510944 &IntKey=18510944&StrLayoutCode=HIERARCHIC&IntCurrentPage=1

EuropeanEuropean Commission (2013). 2013/179/EU: Commission Recommendation of 9CommissionApril 2013 on the use of common methods to measure and communicate the life<br/>cycle environmental performance of products and organisations. Annex III:<br/>Organisation Environmental Footprint (OEF) Guide. Official Journal of the European<br/>Union, L 124, Volume 56, May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2013.<br/>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/JOHtml.do?uri=OJ:L:2013:124:SOM:EN:HTML

EuropeanEuropean Commission (2017). Environmental Footprint Pilot Guidance document.CommissionGuidance for the implementation of the EU Product Environmental Footprint (PEF)(2017)during the Environmental Footprint (EF) Pilot Phase, v. 6.3.

EuropeanEC-JRC (2015). Default approaches for cross-cutting issues for cattle related ProductCommission JointEnvironmental Footprint pilots. DRAFT final report. European Commission, JointResearch CentreResearch Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Sustainability(2015)Assessment Unit. Ispra, Italy

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

European FoodEuropean Food Sustainable Consumption and Production (SCP) Round Table (2013).SCP Round TableENVIFOOD Protocol - Environmental Assessment of Food and Drink Protocol,<br/>Version 1.0, November 20<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

European Parliament (2013) Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament (2013) Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007

FAO (2011)The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2011). Global food<br/>losses and food waste - Extent causes and prevention report. Rome

FAO/WHO (2011) The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organisation (2011). Codex Alimentarius – Milk and Milk Products, Second edition, Rome

- Freiermuth (2006) Freiermuth R. (2006). Modell zur Berechnung der Schwermetallflüsse in der Landwirtschaftlichen Ökobilanz. Agroscope FAL Reckenholz, 42 p., Available at <u>www.agroscope.admin.ch</u>
- IDF (2015)International Dairy Federation (IDF) (2015). A common carbon footprint approach<br/>for Dairy. The IDF guide to standard life cycle assessment methodology for the dairy<br/>sector. Brussels, Belgium.
- IRI (2013) IRI (2013) IRI survey 2013 (France)

Kühnholz (2001)Kühnholz O. (2001) Schwermetalle in der Ökobilanz von landwirtschaftlichen<br/>Produktionssystemen. Internal Report, FAL, 58p.

LEAP (2015a)LEAP (2015). Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains: Guidelines<br/>for assessment. Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance Partnership.<br/>FAO, Rome, Italy.

LEAP (2015b)LEAP (2015). Principles for the assessment of livestock impacts on biodiversity. Draft<br/>for public review. Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance<br/>Partnership. FAO, Rome, Italy.

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

- MIV (2014) Milchindustrie-Verband e. V. (2014): Geschäftsbericht 2013/2014. Teil II Statistischer Anhang. URL: <u>http://milchindustrie.de/fileadmin/Dokumente/Verband/ZahlenDatenFakten 201</u> <u>3 14.pdf</u>
- WRAP (2010) WRAP (2010) Life cycle assessment of example packing systems of milk: URL: http://www.wrap.org.uk/content/life-cycle-assessment-example-packagingsystems-milk
- ZMP (2013)ZMB Zentrale Milchmarkt Berichterstattung GmbH (2013): ZMB Jahrbuch Milch<br/>2013. Berlin.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim


### **ANNEX 1 – List of EF normalisation and weighting factors**

Global normalisation factors are applied within the EF. The normalisation factors as the global impact per person are used in the EF calculations.

Impact category	Unit	Normalisation factor	Normalisation factor per person	Impact assessment robustness	Inventory coverage completeness	Inventory robustness	Comment
Climate change	kg CO <sub>2 eq</sub>	5.35E+13	7.76E+03	I	II	I	
Ozone depletion	kg CFC- 11 <sub>eq</sub>	1.61E+08	2.34E-02	I	111	11	
Human toxicity, cancer	CTUh	2.66E+05	3.85E-05	11/111	111	III	
Human toxicity, non- cancer	CTUh	3.27E+06	4.75E-04	11/111	111	Ш	
Particulate matter	disease incidence	4.39E+06	6.37E-04	Γ	1/11	I /II	NF calculation takes into account the emission height both in the emission inventory and in the impact assessment.
lonising radiation, human health	kBq U <sup>235</sup> <sup>eq</sup>	2.91E+13	4.22E+03	Ш	П	111	
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	kg NMVOC <sup>eq</sup>	2.80E+11	4.06E+01	II	111	1/11	
Acidification	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	3.83E+11	5.55E+01	Ш	Ш	1/11	
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	1.22E+12	1.77E+02	II	11	1/11	
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	1.76E+10	2.55E+00	II	II	111	
Eutrophication, marine	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	1.95E+11	2.83E+01	11	Ш	/	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Unit	Normalisation factor	Normalisation factor per person	Impact assessment robustness	Inventory coverage completeness	Inventory robustness	Comment
Land use	pt	9.20E+15	1.33E+06	111	II	11	The NF is built by means of regionalised CFs.
Ecotoxicity, freshwater	CTUe	8.15E+13	1.18E+04	11/111	111	111	
Water use	m <sup>3</sup> world	7.91E+13	1.15E+04		I	II	The NF is built by means of regionalised CFs.
Resource use, fossils	MJ	4.50E+14	6.53E+04	Ξ			
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	3.99E+08	5.79E-02	11	I	II	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### Weighting factors for Environmental Footprint

	Aggregated weighting set	Robustness factors	Calculation	Final weighting
	(50:50)	(scale 1-0.1)		factors
WITHOUT TOX CATEGORIES	А	В	C=A*B	C scaled to 100
Climate change	15.75	0.87	13.65	22.19
Ozone depletion	6.92	0.6	4.15	6.75
Particulate matter	6.77	0.87	5.87	9.54
Ionizing radiation, human health	7.07	0.47	3.3	5.37
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	5.88	0.53	3.14	5.1
Acidification	6.13	0.67	4.08	6.64
Eutrophication, terrestrial	3.61	0.67	2.4	3.91
Eutrophication, freshwater	3.88	0.47	1.81	2.95
Eutrophication, marine	3.59	0.53	1.92	3.12
Land use	11.1	0.47	5.18	8.42
Water use	11.89	0.47	5.55	9.03
Resource use, minerals and metals	8.28	0.6	4.97	8.08
Resource use, fossils	9.14	0.6	5.48	8.92

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### **ANNEX 2 – Check-list for the PEF study**

Each PEF study shall include this annex, completed with all the requested information.

ITEM	Included in the study (Y/N)	Section	Page
[This column shall list all the items that shall be included in PEF studies. One item per row shall be listed. This column shall be completed by the TS]	[The PEF study shall indicate if the item is included or not in the study]	[The PEF study shall indicate in which section of the study the item is included ]	[The PEF study shall indicate in which page of the study the item is included ]
Summary			
General information about the product			
General information about the company			
Diagram with system boundary and indication of the situation according to DNM			
List and description of processes included in the system boundaries			
List of co-products, by- products and waste			
List of activity data used			
List of secondary datasets used			
Data gaps			
Assumptions			

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### connect to the world of dairy

ITEM	Included in the study (Y/N)	Section	Page
Scope of the study			
(sub)category to which the product belongs			
DQR calculation of each dataset used for the most relevant processes and the new ones created.			
DQR (of each criteria and total) of the study			

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

### **ANNEX 3 – Critical review report of the PEFCR**

See Excel file: **PEFCR-DairyProducts\_Version1\_Annex-3\_ReviewReport\_2018-04-25.xlsx** available at <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR\_OEFSR.htm">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR\_OEFSR.htm</a>

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



### **ANNEX 4 – Benchmarks definition and parameters**

Annex 4 provides the details for the calculation of each benchmark. One benchmark was calculated for each sub-category.

Since no detailed market study on dairy products exists at the EU level, the benchmarks were assumed to be corresponding to the representative products defined in the screening study. It should therefore be seen as a first attempt to provide sectorial and sub-sectorial benchmarks, though the dairy sector sees several limitations to the proposed approach.

Each representative product was determined based on available data for major EU national markets, or corporate market statistics, which were then weighted or extrapolated to represent the EU market average. Expert knowledge from the Technical Secretariat was used when no market data was available. Tables IV-1 to IV-6 summarise the data used for the composition and packaging of each representative product. The column "EU-28" displays the weights of each parameter used to define the virtual representative product.

Virtual representative products were calculated from individual products and then averaged based on market shares.

Liquid milk	FR	DE	υκ	Other EU	EU-28
Market share <sup>(1)</sup>	11%	17%	22%	50%	100%
Fat content	market data (2)	market data (4)	market data (5)	expert est. (b)	weighted avg
Skimmed (<0.3%)	6%	2%	10%	6%	6%
Semi-skimmed (1.5-1.8%)	85%	52%	70%	61%	61%
Whole milk (3.5%)	9%	46%	20%	33%	33%
Thermal treatment	market data (2)	market data (4)	market data (5)	expert est. (c)	weighted avg
Pasteurised or filtered	2%	31%	95%	30%	41%
UHT	98%	69%	5%	70%	59%
Packaging	market data (3)	expert est. (a)	market data (6)	expert est. (d)	weighted avg
Multilayer carton 1000 ml	53%	100%	11%	70%	60%

Table IV-1: Composition of the representative product for liquid milk

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

Liquid milk	FR	DE	UK	Other EU	EU-28
Plastic bottle 1000 ml	47%	0%	78%	25%	35%
Glass bottle, returnable 1000 ml	0%	0%	11%	5%	5%

1 EUROSTAT (2013) – production data

3 IRI (2013) IRI survey 2013

4 German market (DMK) 2012, ZMB 2013

5 DairyCo (2014) – consumption data

6 WRAP (2010a) Life cycle assessment of example packing systems of milk

a Expert estimate from DMK GROUP

b Expert estimate: weighted average from FR, DE and UK (together 50% of EU production)

c Expert estimate based on 2007 data from Elliott, Valerie «The UHT route to long-life planet». London: Times Online, weighted by production shares

d Expert estimate based on shares of thermal treatments and data for FR, DE and UK

According to WRAP (2010b), the average trippage rate (i.e. number of re-use) of returnable glass bottles

in the UK is 17.5. This value is judged representative of other EU countries using similar packaging.

Table IV-2: Composition of the representative product for dried whey products

Dried whey products	Whey powder	Whey protein powder	Lactose powder	EU-28
Market share <sup>(1)</sup>	70%	10%	20%	100%
Dry matter content				
85-95%	100%	100%	-	80%
>98%	-	-	100%	20%

1 Market shares are estimated based on existing data for most recent years: 2012 EU consumption of whey powder, 2005-2009 average EU production of whey protein powder (i.e. whey protein concentrates and isolates), 2011 EU production of lactose. Source: EUROSTAT (2013), confidential and ZMB (2013)

Table IV-3: Packaging of the representative product for dried whey products

Dried whey products	
Packaging	corporate data (1)
Bulk	70%
Big bag (1000 kg)	14%
Kraft paper bag (25 kg)	16%

Corporate data from the Netherlands - confidential

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

1

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org

<sup>2</sup> EAL (2013) Enquête Annuelle Laitière - French annual dairy survey (production data)



#### Table IV-4: Composition of the representative product for cheeses

Cheeses	FR	DE	π	UK	NL	Other EU	EU-28
Market share	20%	23%	16%	5%	4%	33%	100%
Maturation	market data (2)	market data (3)	expert estimate (a)	expert estimate (b)	expert estimate (c)	expert estimate	weighted avg
Unripened	39%	35%	50%	10%	35%	40%	39%
Ripened	61%	65%	50%	90%	65%	60%	61%
Packaging	default assumption	default assumption	default assumption	default assumption	default assumption	default assumption	default assumption
Plastic foil	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

1 ZMB Jahrbuch MILCH (2013)

2 EAL (2013) Enquête Annuelle Laitière - French annual dairy survey (production data)

3 German market (DMK) 2012, BLE 2014

a Expert estimate based on statement from Massimo Forino, director of Assolatte, and italian production data from Assolatte and CLAL.it

b Expert estimate extrapolated from DairyCo (2011)

c Expert estimate: assumed same as DE

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Fermented milk products	EU-28
Fat content	market data (1)
Skimmed (0-1% fat)	17%
Plain	83%
Spoonable/liquid	market data (3)
Spoonable	75%
Liquid	25%
Flavours	market data (3)
Plain, unsweetened	32%
Flavoured (with flavourings)	18%
Fruited (with strawberry preparation)	51%
Packaging	expert estimate (a) based on market data (1)
Spoonable - plastic cup (125 g)	53%
Spoonable - plastic cup (500 g)	18%
Spoonable - glass jar (125 g)	3%
Spoonable - paper cup (125 g)	1%
Liquid - Plastic bottle (100 ml)	5%
Liquid - Plastic bottle (1000 ml)	10%
Liquid – Multilayer carton (1000 ml)	10%

Table IV-5: Composition of the representative product for fermented milk products

1 IRI (2013) IRI survey 2013 (France)

2 EAL (2013) Enquête Annuelle Laitière - French annual dairy survey (production data)

3 Corporate market data (2014) - confidential

a Expert estimate: extrapolation from French market data

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

#### FR DE NL Other EU EU-28 **Butterfat products** Market share (1) 47% 100% 24% 25% 3% market data Default Fat content expert estimate expert estimate expert estimate (2) (a) (a) (a) value 4% Dairy spreads (<40%) 4% 4% 4% 4% Half-fat butter (40-65%) 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% Butter (> 65%) 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% market data expert estimate expert estimate weighted Salt content corporate data (2) (b) (3) (b) avg Unsalted 90% 70% 90% 82% 61% Salted 39% 10% 30% 10% 18% Default Packaging market data expert estimate expert estimate expert estimate (2) (a) (a) (a) value Aluminium foil laminated paper 86% 86% 86% 86% 86% (250 g) Preformed plastic cup (250 g) 14% 14% 14% 14% 14%

#### Table IV-6: Composition of the representative product for butterfat products

ZMB Jahrbuch MILCH (2013) 1

IRI (2013) IRI survey 2013 (France) 2

3 Corporate data - confidential

Expert estimate: same as FR а

b Expert estimate

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgjum

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org ØEDA\_Dairy



### **ANNEX 5 – Default dry matter content of dairy products**

### Default values for main dairy products

Liquid milk									
				Whole m	ilk	Semi-skimmed milk	emi-skimmed Skimmed milk		
Average dry matter (g/100g)				12.3		10.5		9.1	
Dried whey products	Dried whey products								
	Whey (unspecified)	Thin T whey W	Thick whey	Whey powder	Lactose powder	Whey protein concentrate (WPC)	Whey protein isolate powder	High fat whey protein concentrate powder	
Average dry matter (g/100g)	6.8	4.8	26.5	96.5	99.8	94	95	98	
Cheeses									
		Fres	sh che	ese S	Soft cheese	Semi-ha cheese	ird e	Hard cheese	
Average dry ma	tter (g/100g)		23		49	59.9		66	
Fermented milk proc	ducts								
				oonable,	plain	Spoonable, flavoured		Spoonable, fruited	
Average dry matter (g/100g)				12.2		20.6		23.3	
Butterfat products			_						
			В	utter, uns	alted	Butter, salted	D	airy spreads	
Average dry	matter (g/100g)			84.4		84.1		42.7	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

### Additional values for specific dairy products

Milk and whey	Average dry matter (g/100g)
Raw milk	12.5
Milk, skimmed, UHT pasteurized	9.1
Milk, semi-skimmed, pasteurized	10.7
Milk, semi-skimmed, UHT pasteurized	10.3
Milk, whole, UHT pasteurized	12.3
Whey sweet fluid	6.8
Buttermilk natural	10.0
Buttermilk flavoured	16.8

	Average dry matter	
	(g/100g)	
Cottage cheese 40% fidm, made of whole milk	21.4	
Petit-Suisse type cheese 20% fidm, plain, made of half-skimmed-milk	18.2	
Ricotta cheese	26.5	
Uncured cheese product, low-fat	30.3	
Uncured cheese spread 40% fidm, salted, 13% fat	34.1	
Mozzarella cheese	42.6	
Quark, fresh cheese, 20% fidm	20.5	
Quark, fresh cheese, 40% fidm	26.1	
Fresh cheese, 50% fidm	40.7	
Cheese spread, light	25.9	
Cheese spread	33.5	
Uncured cheese spread 60% fidm, salted, 42% fat	52.0	
Mascarpone	54.7	
Camembert and similar cheese 50% fidm, 26% fat	49.1	
Manchego cheese	59.7	
Edam cheese	58.3	
Maasdam cheese	59.1	
Tomme cheese	58.2	
Raclette cheese	58.0	
Gouda cheese	58.9	
Cheddar cheese	63.3	
Processed cheese 25% fidm. 15% fat	41.1	

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



### connect to the world of dairy

Cheeses	Average dry matter (g/100g)
Processed cheese 45% fidm, 22% fat	48.9
Processed cheese snack with breadsticks, for children	57.4
Emmental cheese	63.8
Gruyere cheese	65.5
Comté cheese	68.5
Cheese Stilton	63.8
Parmesan cheese	73.8
Parmigiano cheese	69.1
Provolone cheese	62.0
Pecorino cheese	66.5
Blue cheese	54.7
Asiago	67.5
Bel paese	54.5
Gorgonzola	60.0
Grana	67.5
Munster	57.0
Tilsit	49.0

Dried products	Average dry matter (g/100g)
Milk, semi-skimmed, dried	96.4
Milk, skimmed, dried	96.0
Milk, whole, dried	96.8
Whey sweet dried	96.4

Fermented milk products	Average dry matter (g/100g)
Yoghurt, low fat, plain	11.4
Yoghurt, nonfat, plain	10.7
Yoghurt, whole milk, plain	12.2
Fermented milk, whole milk, Bifidus, plain	13.5
Yoghurt, whole milk, with cream, plain	16.7
Yoghurt, low fat, with fruit	19.9
Fermented milk, whole milk, Bifidus, flavoured, sweetened	20.6

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim



#### connect to the world of dairy

Fermented milk products	Average dry matter (g/100g)	
Yoghurt, whole milk, with fruit	23.1	
Fermented milk, whole milk, Bifidus, with fruit	24.8	
Yoghurt, whole milk, with cream, flavoured	25.8	
Yoghurt, Greek	21.7	
Kefir	12.0	
Yogurt Bulgarian - cow's full fat milk	11.8	
Yogurt Bulgarian - sheep's full fat milk	16.5	
Yogurt Bulgarian - buffalo's full fat milk	16.0	
Yogurt Bulgarian - goat's full fat milk	11.0	

Butterfat products and cream	Average dry matter (g/100g)	
Butter spread, low-fat 60-62% fat, salted (0,5-3%)	60.5	
Butter spread, low-fat 60-62% fat	63.3	
Butter, unsalted	84.4	
Butter, salted (0,5-3%)	84.1	
Cream, "light", 8% fat, thick or fluid	17.4	
Cream, fluid, 15-20% fat, UHT pasteurized	24.1	
Cream, fluid, 30% fat, UHT pasteurized	37.6	
Cream, 38% fat	42.4	
Dairy spread 25% fat	31.2	
Dairy spread, 39-41% fat	45.2	
Dairy spread, 39-41% fat, salted (0,5-3%)	49.0	
Single cream	23.0	
Whipping cream	45.5	
Double cream	53.1	
Clotted cream	67.8	
Extra thick cream	31.0	
Crème fraiche	44.2	

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

### Data sources

Ciqual	FR	https://pro.anses.fr/TableCIQUAL/
NEVO	NL	http://www.rivm.nl/
SFK	DE	http://www.sfk-online.net/
DTU	DK	http://www.foodcomp.dk/
BEDCA	ES	https://www.bedca.net/
BDA	IT	http://www.bda-ieo.it/
coF IDS	UK	http://tna.europarchive.org/
del Prato	IT	Ottavio Savlvadori del Prato, "trattato di Tecnologia Casearia"
IDF Bulgaria	BL	Bulgarian National standard for BULGARIAN YOGURT
Uokik	PL	Data based on the "Report on consumers and food stuff market"
		December 2009 https://uokik.gov.pl

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy





### **ANNEX 6 – Life cycle inventory**

See Excel file: **PEFCR-DairyProducts\_Version1\_Annex-6\_2018-04-25- Life cycle inventory.xlsx** available at <u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR\_OEFSR.htm</u>

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



connect to the world of dairy

### **ANNEX 7 – Existing sectorial guidance documents**

See PDF file: **PEFCR-DairyProducts\_Version1\_Annex-7\_ExistingGuidanceDocuments.pdf** available at <u>http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/PEFCR\_OEFSR.htm</u>

> EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium

+32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



# ANNEX 8 – Additional characterised results for fermented milk products

In the PEFCR, one representative product (RP) was defined and one benchmark was calculated for each sub-category. The TS pro-actively provides additional RPs and characterised results for different product types within the sub-category "fermented milk products" as to enable comparisons of products with a higher level of granularity:

- Spoonable, plain
- Spoonable, flavoured or fruited
- Liquid, plain
- Liquid, flavoured

In the context of comparative assertions, the benchmark for the sub-category "fermented milk products" shall however always be used as reference. The additional characterised results may be used to facilitate the interpretation.

No hotspot analysis was carried out for these additional characterised results. However, it is highly unlikely that any other most relevant impact category, life cycle stage or processes results from such an exercise. The most relevant processes for the sub-category "fermented milk products" are copied below:

Impact category	Processes
Climate change	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")
	Thermal energy from natural gas  technology mix regarding firing and flue gas cleaning  production mix, at heat plant  MJ, 100% efficiency {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Dairy processing")
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix   at plant   {EU+28} (from LC stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")
	Polystyrene production, high impact   polymerisation of styrene   production mix, at plant   1.05 g/cm3 {World w/o EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")
Climate change - biogenic	Cow milk production mix at farm per kg EPCM {EU-28+3} (from I C stage "Baw milk supply")

Table XVIII-1: List of the most relevant processes for the sub-category "Fermented milk products"

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Processes			
Climate change - land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
and transformation				
Particulate matter	Cow milk  production mix  at farm  per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV			
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")			
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)			
Acidification terrestrial and	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
freshwater	Electricity grid mix 1kV-60kV   AC, technology mix   consumption mix, at consumer   1kV - 60kV			
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")			
Eutrophication freshwater	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
	Treatment of effluents from potato starch production  waste water treatment including			
	sludge treatment   production mix, at plant   1m3 of waste water treated {EU-28+EFTA} (from			
	LC stage "Dairy processing")			
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)			
Eutrophication marine	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)			
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix   at plant   {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")			
Eutrophication terrestrial	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)			
Land use	Cow milk   production mix   at farm   per kg FPCM {EU-28+3} (from LC stage "Raw milk supply")			
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} [LCI result]			
	Corrugated box, uncoated Kraft Pulping Process, pulp pressing and drying production mix,			
	at plant  280 g/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} [LCI result]			
Water use	Maize (corn grain) production technology mix, production mix at farm {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (")			
	Cow milk production mix at farm per kg FPCIVI (EU-28+3) (from LC stage - kaw milk supply )			
	Sugar, from sugar beet   from sugar production, production mix  at plant  {EU+28} (from LC			
	Stage Non-dairy Ingredients Supply )			
	Tap water   technology mix   at user   per kg water {EU-28+3} (from LC stage   Dairy processing			
	reatment of enduents from potato starch production waste water treatment including			
	Siduge (reachient) production mix, at plant into or waste water treated (EO-20TEFTA) (nom			
	Electricity grid mix $1kV_{-}60kV_{-}$			
	{FIL-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing" "Distribution" and "Lise stage")			
Resource use fossils	Electricity grid mix $1kV-60kV/AC$ technology mix   consumption mix   at consumer   $1kV-60kV/AC$			
	{EU-28+3} (from LC stages "Dairy processing", "Distribution" and "Use stage")			
	Cow milk production mix at farm per kg FPCM (FU-28+3) (from I C stage "Raw milk sunnly")			
	Polystyrene production, high impact polymerisation of styrene production mix, at plant			
	1.05 g/cm3 {World w/o EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")			
	Thermal energy from natural gas technology mix regarding firing and flue gas cleaning			
	production mix, at heat plant MJ, 100% efficiency (EU-28+3) (from LC stage "Dairy			
	processing")			

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Dairy



#### connect to the world of dairy

Impact category	Processes			
	Sugar, from sugar beet  from sugar production, production mix  at plant  {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply")			
	Articulated lorry transport, Euro 5, total weight 28-32 t, cooled   diesel driven, Euro 5, cooled			
	cargo   consumption mix, to consumer   28 - 32t gross weight / 21,4t payload capacity {EU-			
	28+3} (from LC stage "Distribution")			
	HDPE granulates   Polymerisation of ethylene   production mix, at plant   0.91-0.96 g/cm3,			
	g/mol per repeating unit {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")			
	Maize (corn grain) production   technology mix, production mix   at farm   {EU+28} (from LC			
	stage "Non-dairy ingredients supply") (*)			
	PET granulates, amorphous   Polymerisation of ethylene   production mix, at plant   0.91-0.96			
	g/cm3, 28 g/mol per repeating unit {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "Packaging")			
	Kraft paper, uncoated   kraft pulping process, pulp pressing and drying   production mix, at			
	plant  <120 g/m2 {EU-28+EFTA} (from LC stage "End-of-life")			

(\*) Maize (corn grain) was used a proxy for strawberry production, in the absence of more appropriate EF compliant secondary dataset, hence accuracy is limited.

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Unit	Spoonable, plain	Spoonable, flavoured	Liquid, plain	Liquid, flavoured
Climate change		1.86E-01	1.91E-01	2.02E-01	1.81E-01
Climate change - biogenic	kg CO <sub>2 or</sub>	5.97E-02	6.44E-02	6.78E-02	6.77E-02
Climate change – land use and land transformation		1.57E-02	1.68E-02	1.78E-02	1.76E-02
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 <sub>eq</sub>	1.24E-09	1.25E-09	1.30E-09	1.24E-09
Particulate matter	disease incidence	1.08E-08	1.08E-08	1.16E-08	9.92E-09
Ionising radiation, human health	kBq U <sup>235</sup> eq	1.55E-02	1.55E-02	1.52E-02	1.36E-02
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	kg NMVOC <sub>eq</sub>	4.00E-04	4.07E-04	4.28E-04	3.75E-04
Acidification	mol H+ <sub>eq</sub>	1.30E-03	1.31E-03	1.48E-03	1.26E-03
Eutrophication, terrestrial	mol N <sub>eq</sub>	5.37E-03	5.43E-03	6.08E-03	5.18E-03
Eutrophication, freshwater	kg P <sub>eq</sub>	1.32E-05	1.30E-05	1.43E-05	1.14E-05
Eutrophication, marine	kg N <sub>eq</sub>	4.79E-04	4.54E-04	5.29E-04	3.72E-04
Land use	Dimensionless (pt)	1.60E+01	1.59E+01	1.54E+01	1.25E+01
Water use	m <sup>3</sup> world <sub>eq</sub>	8.93E-02	6.35E-02	1.19E-01	5.11E-02
Resource use, minerals and metals	kg Sb <sub>eq</sub>	1.25E-07	1.32E-07	5.28E-07	5.19E-07
Resource use, fossils	MJ	1.50E+00	1.48E+00	1.49E+00	1.23E+00

Table XVIII-2: Characterised results for specific types of fermented milk products (125 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Spoonable, plain	Spoonable, flavoured	Liquid, plain	Liquid, flavoured
Climate change	2.40E-05	2.46E-05	2.61E-05	2.34E-05
Ozone depletion	5.30E-08	5.37E-08	5.57E-08	5.33E-08
Particulate matter	1.69E-05	1.70E-05	1.82E-05	1.56E-05
Ionising radiation, human health	3.68E-06	3.67E-06	3.60E-06	3.22E-06
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	9.85E-06	1.00E-05	1.05E-05	9.23E-06
Acidification	2.33E-05	2.36E-05	2.66E-05	2.27E-05
Eutrophication, terrestrial	3.03E-05	3.07E-05	3.44E-05	2.93E-05
Eutrophication, freshwater	5.17E-06	5.11E-06	5.59E-06	4.47E-06
Eutrophication, marine	1.69E-05	1.61E-05	1.87E-05	1.31E-05
Land use	1.20E-05	1.19E-05	1.15E-05	9.39E-06
Water use	7.78E-06	5.53E-06	1.03E-05	4.46E-06
Resource use, minerals and metals	2.16E-06	2.27E-06	9.12E-06	8.97E-06
Resource use, fossils	2.30E-05	2.27E-05	2.29E-05	1.88E-05

### Table XVIII-3: Normalised results for specific types of fermented milk products (125 g)

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org



Impact category	Spoonable, plain	Spoonable, flavoured	Liquid, plain	Liquid, flavoured
Climate change	5.33E-06	5.47E-06	5.78E-06	5.18E-06
Ozone depletion	3.58E-09	3.62E-09	3.76E-09	3.60E-09
Particulate matter	1.61E-06	1.62E-06	1.74E-06	1.49E-06
Ionising radiation, human health	1.98E-07	1.97E-07	1.93E-07	1.73E-07
Photochemical ozone formation, human health	5.03E-07	5.11E-07	5.38E-07	4.71E-07
Acidification	1.55E-06	1.57E-06	1.76E-06	1.51E-06
Eutrophication, terrestrial	1.19E-06	1.20E-06	1.34E-06	1.14E-06
Eutrophication, freshwater	1.53E-07	1.51E-07	1.65E-07	1.32E-07
Eutrophication, marine	5.28E-07	5.01E-07	5.83E-07	4.10E-07
Land use	1.01E-06	1.00E-06	9.72E-07	7.90E-07
Water use	7.03E-07	5.00E-07	9.34E-07	4.03E-07
Resource use, minerals and metals	1.75E-07	1.84E-07	7.37E-07	7.25E-07
Resource use, fossils	2.05E-06	2.03E-06	2.04E-06	1.68E-06
TOTAL SCORE	1.50E-05	1.49E-05	1.68E-05	1.41E-05

Table XVIII-4: Weighted results for specific types of fermented milk products (125 g)

Icons: The Noun Project

EDA (European Dairy Association) Av. d'Auderghem 22-28 BE- 1040 Brussels Belgium +32 2 549 50 47 www.euromilk.org/eda eda@euromilk.org @EDA\_Daim